

08 - Frameworks and Inversion of Control

Software Architect

Organizes the architecture of the system to be developed and the architecture of how the work should be organized. It must be aware of all the technologies employed in the project.

Frameworks

A **framework** consist of parts that are found in many apps of that type:

- **Libraries**;
- **Engines**: ready-made extensible programs;
- **Tools**: optional, useful for development, configuration...;

We can have two type of frameworks:

- **Software Framework**: a collection of common code providing **generic functionality** that can be selectively overridden or specialized by user code providing specific functionality;
- **Application Framework**: a software framework used to implement the **standard structure** of an application for a specific development environment.

We can **extend** a framework to meet the needs of a particular application:

- **Within the framework language**: sub-classing, implementing interfaces, registering event handlers...;
- **Plug-ins**: the framework loads extra code in a specific format.

Comparing frameworks to **IDEs**, we can observe that they are **orthogonal concepts**:

- A **framework** can be **supported** by **several IDEs** (e.g. Spring supported by NetBeans);
- An **IDE** can **support several frameworks** (NetBeans support Spring).

Inversion of Control

Frameworks, like libraries, can provide **reusable abstractions** (e.g. abstract data structure) that the user must implement in order to make use of the full framework.

However, the overall program's flow of control is not dictated by the caller, but by the framework: this is called **inversion of control**. The framework determines the application **architecture**: the user generally implements a few callback functions or specializes a few classes, and then invokes a single method or procedure. This **opposes** to what a **library** does, where the user calls code has the control over the architecture and the library contains the implementation.

Dependency Injection

Often, inversion of control also concerns **dependencies**, **coupling** and **configuration**.

Dependency injection is an instance of Inversion of Control, where dependencies are passed into an object through constructors/setters/service lookups, which the object will **depend** on in order to behave correctly. It is a way to provide **decoupling** between two objects, as it helps improving **extensibility** (e.g. changing a database with another one), **testability** (we're testing an object which is using another object) and **reusability**.

There are 3 types:

- **Setter Injection**: the **setter methods** are called on the user's beans after invoking a **no-argument constructor** or no-argument static factory method to instantiate their bean. It **leverages** existing JavaBean reflective patterns, but it makes possible to create **partially constructed objects** and **dependencies can be changed** over time (can be either a bug or a feature);

```
public class TradeMonitor{
    private LimitRepository limitRepo;

    // NOTE: we do not instantiate the field limitRepo
    public TradeMonitor(){}

    public void setLimitRepository(LimitRepository limitRepo){
        this.limitRepo = limitRepo;
    }

    // NOTE: we must check that limitRepo != null
    public bool TryTrade(String tradeOp, int amount) { ... }
}
```

language-java

- **Constructor Injection**: a **constructor** is invoked with a number of **arguments**, each representing a **collaborator**. Using this we can validate that the injected beans are not null and fail at compile time. Differently from Setter Injection, objects **can't be partially constructed**, but class evolution could grow **complicated**, as constructors could get big and parameters become confusing;
- **Interface Injection**: never used.

Opposed to Dependency Injection as another solution to solve decoupling, we could use a **ServiceLocator**, which is a **static registry** of the components you need. The registry saves the name and/or the type of the component and when a component needs another component it **queries** the registry (using name and/or type). ServiceLocator allows new components to be **dynamically created** and used by other components later. However, every component needs to be registered to the service locator:

- If **bound by name**: service can't be **type-checked** (as we only get the name) and an object depends on the **dependent component names** (what if dependent component changes name? We would need to modify the name looked in the registry in every code);
- If **bound by type**: we can have **only two objects of the same type**, allowing one instance per type in the container;

While with **Dependency Injection** there is **no explicit request** (as components appears in the application class) and it is **easier to find dependencies** of component (check constructors and setters vs check all invocations to locator in the source code), it might be **harder to understand** than the **Service Locator**, which in turns have the **application** still **dependent** to the **locator**.

Spring

Spring is a framework used to develop java client-server web app. It heavily employs dependency injection and it is very light.

The objects that form the backbone of a Spring application are called beans: a **bean** is an object that is instantiated, assembled, and otherwise managed by a Spring **IoC container**.

An **Inversion of Control container** have configuration info about the objects that can be instantiated and assure that the dependencies between objects are satisfied. Most IoC containers support **auto-wiring**: automatic wiring between

properties of a bean and other beans based (e.g. name or type). In particular, bean's definition contains the information called **configuration meta-data**, which is needed for the container to know the following:

- How to create a bean;
- Bean's lifecycle details;
- Bean's dependencies.

Framework Construction

A **software family** is a set of different solutions for a common problem. An aspect of a solution can be implemented by one or more methods.

In a software family we can have some:

- **Frozen Spot**: **common** aspect of the family. The implementation is generally done through one or more **Template Method**, a **concrete** method of an abstract class;
- **Hot Spot**: **variable** aspect of the family. The implementation can't be provided by the Framework, so the framework exposes one or more **Hook Method**, which is an **abstract** method that must be implemented by the user. Indeed, to completely implement an hot spot we will need to realize an **Hot Spot Subsystem**: an abstract base class + some concrete subclasses.

There are **2 principles** than can be exploited in order to **implement** a **Hot Spot Subsystem**:

- **Unification** (**Template Method** Design Pattern): it is a bottom-up approach. It uses **inheritance** for the implementation: an abstract base class defines template/hook methods and leave to sub-classes the implementation of the hook methods. The **algorithm selection** happens at **compile-time**;
 - In details: the Template Method pattern suggests that you break down an algorithm into a series of steps, turn these steps into methods, and put a series of calls to these methods inside a single template method. The steps may either be **abstract**, or have some default implementation. To use the algorithm, the client is supposed to provide its own subclass, implement all abstract steps, and override some of the optional ones if needed (but not the template method itself);
- **Separation** (**Strategy** Design Pattern): it is a top-down approach. It uses **delegation** (composition) for the implementation, directly implementing the **template methods** in a concrete **context** class and defining the **hook methods** in a separate **abstract** class. Then, we will have **subclasses** of the abstract class that will implement the hook methods, which will also make use of the **delegates** of the template methods. Thus, template methods are **separated** from hook methods. The **algorithm selection** happens at **run-time**;
 - In details: the Strategy pattern suggests that you take a class that does something specific in a lot of different ways and extract all of these algorithms into separate classes called **strategies**. The original class, called **context**, must have a field for storing a reference to one of the strategies. The context delegates the work to a linked strategy object instead of executing it on its own. The context isn't responsible for selecting an appropriate algorithm for the job. Instead, the client passes the desired strategy to the context. In fact, the context doesn't know much about strategies. It works with all strategies through the same generic interface, which only exposes a single method for triggering the algorithm encapsulated within the selected strategy. This way the context becomes independent of concrete strategies, so you can add new algorithms or modify existing ones without changing the code of the context or other strategies.

Template Method works at the class level, so it's static. The client and the chosen algorithm are tightly coupled.

Strategy works on the object level, letting you switch behaviours at runtime. Thanks to dependency injection, it is not tightly coupled. The two patterns could easily be used together. You might have a strategy pattern where several implementations belong to a family of strategies implemented using a template pattern.

In conclusion, the steps to design a framework are:

- Identify software family;
- Identify frozen spots and hot spots;
- Exploit design patterns and other techniques to achieve as generality as possible and to reduce coupling to the possible minimum. Inversion of Control and dependency injection arises naturally and are keys to framework design.