WIP: The Optimization of Alcoholism under a Hypothetical Bartering System

Kevin Palani and Kevin Zheng

August 4, 2019

Contents

| 1 | Introduction to the hypothetical bartering system | 1 |
|---|--|-------------|
| 2 | Naive solution | 1 |
| 3 | Modeling amount of caps and bottles 3.1 Vector representation of caps and bottles | 1 1 2 |
| 4 | Analysis of the graph | 3 |
| 5 | Algebraic Solution | 4 |
| 6 | Using the final state of the vector to deduce the amount of drinks drunk | 7 |

1 Introduction to the hypothetical bartering system

You have \$10, and a beer is 2\$. Very quickly you can see that if you spend all 10\$, you will get 5 beers. Once you've drunken the 5 beers, you are left with 5 beer bottles and 5 caps. The store owner strikes you a deal. If you give him two empty bottles or four bottle caps, he'll give you a new bottle of beer. He is also kind enough to let you drink before you pay, but you are not allowed to be in bottle or cap-debt. How many drinks you can get?

- 2 Naive solution
- 3 Modeling amount of caps and bottles
- 3.1 Vector representation of caps and bottles

Will be the vector that represents the bottles and caps such that a is the amount of bottles, and b is the amount of caps.

3.2 Representation of the bartering system

If we can spend two empty bottles and receive a full drink, that is equivalent to spending two bottles and getting one bottle and one cap. We will represent this operation as the addition of the following vector;

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And since we can drink before we pay, having only one empty bottle is enough to drink.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$


Using 4 caps can be represented simliarly

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -4+1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Which can simply be evaluated to.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

These two operations can be represented geometrically as a translation of a point on a 2 dimensional Cartesian plane. For example, if we start with 5 empty bottles and 5 empty caps, we can trace the motion of the point as following.



4 Analysis of the graph

Since having either 1 bottle, or 3 caps is enough to do another transaction, we know from the context of the problem, that the final state must be one of the following points:

(0,0)

(0,1)

(0,2)

Visually, you can already tell that it's impossible to get to the point (0,0) unless if you have already started there (sorry mate, you gotta buy some beer to play the game).

You can also tell that you cannot get to (0,1), because that means you came from (1,0) through a blue line, but that means you came from (0,2) from a red line, which is impossible because (0,2) is not enough to continue a transaction.

So already from this graph, you can tell that you will always end up with 2 caps left over (if we ignore the trivial case that you do not buy beer in the first place).

5 Algebraic Solution

Let \vec{i} be the initial state, \vec{f} be the final state, c be the number of cap-based transactions, and b be the number of bottle-based transactions.

$$\vec{i} + c \begin{bmatrix} -3\\1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{f}$$

$$c \begin{bmatrix} -3\\1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{f} - \vec{i}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1\\1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c\\b \end{bmatrix} = \vec{f} - \vec{i}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c\\b \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1\\-1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} (\vec{f} - \vec{i})$$

Initially we get the same amount of caps and bottles according to how many drinks i that we start with.

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} (\vec{f} - \vec{i})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} (\vec{i} - \vec{f})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} i \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \vec{f}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ b \end{bmatrix} = i \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \vec{f}$$

If we simply make $\vec{f} = \vec{0}$, then we don't reach a contradiction even though we know from looking at the graph that it's not possible. This is because there's always a way to get to (0,0) if we choose to fall into debt, but since that's against the rules, we have to make a check that there exists a way to reach \vec{f} .

We can describe the validity v of transaction c and b using the following recursive function:

$$v(c,b) = v(c-1,b) \times v(c,b-1) \times \underbrace{\left(\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c \\ b \end{bmatrix} + \vec{i} > \vec{f} \right)}_{\text{1 if this is true, 0 if this is false}}$$

$$v(0,0) = 1$$


U(0,0) = 1

If we brute force using a script:

$$t = np.array([[-3, 1],$$

```
[1, -1]
def transaction(a, b):
   ok = [] # ok points that have already been checked
check = [(0, 0)] \# ok \ points, \ but \ may \ lead \ to \ more
tmp = []
while not len(check) = 0:
   for i in check:
        if not i in ok:
           ok.append(i)
       tran = transaction(i[0], i[1] + 1)
        if tran [0] >=0 and tran [1] >=0:
           tmp.append((i[0], i[1] + 1))
       tran = transaction(i[0] + 1, i[1])
        if tran[0] >=0 and tran[1] >=0:
           tmp.append((i[0] + 1, i[1]))
   check = tmp
   tmp = []
plt.scatter([i[0] for i in ok], [i[1] for i in ok], c=(0, 1, 0))
plt.scatter([5], [10], c=(1, 0, 0))
plt.xlabel('Bottle-based_transactions')
plt.ylabel('Cap-based_transactions')
plt.xticks([i[0] for i in ok])
plt.yticks([i[1] for i in ok])
plt.savefig('debt.png')
```

Then we get the following result where the green dots represents the possible transactions:



The goal is to get as drunk as possible, which is reaching as many transactions as possible, thus we want to maximize b+c with respect to the elements of f. The above matrix equation can be represented as the following set of equations.

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(-(f_c - i_c) - (f_b - i_b))$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2}(-(f_c - i_c) - 3(f_b - i_b))$$

$$c + b = \frac{1}{2}(-2(f_c - i_c) - 4(f_b - i_b))$$

Which can be simplified to:

Since $i_b = i_c$, $i_b + i_c \in 2\mathbb{N}$

$$c = \frac{1}{2}(-f_c - f_b + i_c + i_b))$$

$$b = \frac{1}{2}(-f_c - 3f_b + i_c + i_b))$$

$$c + b = -f_c - 2f_b + i_c + 2i_b$$

Using the typical optimization with derivatives isn't going to help us here, because the function is linear with respect to both f_c and f_b . Instead we can use the constraint that c, b, and c + b must be natural numbers (in my definition, the natural numbers include 0).

$$c \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$-f_c - f_b + i_b + i_c \in 2\mathbb{N}$$

$$-f_c - f_b \in 2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$f_c + f_b \in 2\mathbb{N}$$

Thus, we can say that f_c and f_b have the same parity.

6 Using the final state of the vector to deduce the amount of drinks drunk

Since two empty bottles can get you a drink and a drink is worth \$2, then that means one bottle is worth \$1. Similarly since four bottle caps can get you a drink and a drink is worth \$2, then that means bottle cap is worth \$0.5.

Since a full drink is consisted of one cap, one bottle, and some drink, we can use simple algebra to deduce that:

$$\$2 = d + \$1 + \$0.5 \tag{1}$$

$$d = \$0.5 \tag{2}$$

the worth of the drink is \$0.5. If we started with \$10 dollars, and we are left with a bottles and b caps, then:

$$\$10 = \$0.5x + \$a + \$0.5b \tag{3}$$

$$x = \frac{\$10 - \$a - \$0.5b}{\$0.5} \tag{4}$$