

Robotics II

September 11, 2019

Exercise 1

Consider the 3R robot in Fig. 1, moving on a horizontal plane. The robot has identical links (each of length L , uniformly distributed mass m , and inertia $I_L = mL^2/12$ around the barycentric vertical axis) and is commanded at the joint level by torques $\tau(t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Neglect in the following any dissipative/friction effects. With the system at $t = 0$ in a generic initial state $(\mathbf{q}(0), \dot{\mathbf{q}}(0)) = (\mathbf{q}_0, \dot{\mathbf{q}}_0)$ with $\dot{\mathbf{q}}_0 \neq \mathbf{0}$, we want to control the robot so that its kinetic energy $T = T(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})$ in the closed-loop dynamics satisfies the following desired target equation:

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -\gamma T, \quad \text{with } \gamma > 0.$$

Determine the expression of the control law $\tau = \tau(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})$ that realizes this behavior. For $L = 0.2$ [m], $m = 3$ [kg], $\mathbf{q}_0 = (0, \pi/2, \pi/2)$ [rad], $\dot{\mathbf{q}}_0 = (0, -\pi, -\pi)$ [rad/s] and $\gamma = 1$, compute the numerical value of such a control torque at $t = 0$, i.e., $\tau(0)$.





Figure 1: A 3R robot moving on a horizontal plane, and its coordinates $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, q_3)$.

Exercise 2

The RP planar robot shown in Fig. 2 should execute a rest-to-rest motion task in minimum time under torque/force bounds $|\tau_i| \leq \tau_{max,i} > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, with its end-effector moving along a circular path of radius $R > d$ by an angle α from A to B . Determine the analytic expression of the minimum time T^* in terms of the task data and of the robot dynamic parameters. Draw the profile of the two components of the time-optimal command $\tau^*(t)$, for $t \in [0, T^*]$.



$$L = \|B - A\| = \alpha(q_1) R(q_2)$$

Figure 2: A RP robot moving its end-effector along a circular path on a horizontal plane.

Exercise 3

With reference to Fig. 3, a mass m_1 is moving at constant speed $v_0 > 0$ and collides at some time $t = t_c$ with a mass m_2 which is initially at rest. Assume a purely ideal situation: there is no dissipation due to friction and the collision is perfectly elastic. Therefore, the total kinetic energy T and the total (scalar) momentum P of the two masses will both remain constant over time. Determine the expressions of the velocities $v_1(t_c^+)$ and $v_2(t_c^+)$ of the two masses after the collision. Describe what happens when $m_1 > m_2$, $m_1 = m_2$, or $m_1 < m_2$, and in the limit cases when $m_2 \rightarrow 0$ or $m_2 \rightarrow \infty$.




Figure 3: A mass m_1 in motion collides with a second mass m_2 initially at rest.

[open books, 180 minutes]

Solution

September 11, 2019

Exercise 1

The dynamic model of a frictionless robot in the absence of gravity is given by

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q})\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \boldsymbol{\tau}, \quad (1)$$

where any factorization matrix \mathbf{S} can be used for the (quadratic) Coriolis and centrifugal terms. From the expression of the kinetic energy $T = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q})\dot{\mathbf{q}}$, we obtain

$$\dot{T} = \frac{dT}{dt} = \dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q})\ddot{\mathbf{q}} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \dot{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{q})\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \dot{\mathbf{q}}^T (\boldsymbol{\tau} - \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})\dot{\mathbf{q}}) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \dot{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{q})\dot{\mathbf{q}} = \dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \boldsymbol{\tau}, \quad (2)$$

where we have used (1) and the principle of energy conservation (implying $\dot{\mathbf{q}}^T (\dot{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{q}) - 2\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}))\dot{\mathbf{q}} \equiv 0$, $\forall(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})$). In order to impose the desired behavior to the Kinetic energy, it follows immediately that

$$\dot{T} = \dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \boldsymbol{\tau} = -\gamma T = -\frac{\gamma}{2}\dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q})\dot{\mathbf{q}} \implies \boldsymbol{\tau} = -\frac{\gamma}{2}\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q})\dot{\mathbf{q}}. \quad (3)$$

The control law should apply a torque that is the (scaled) negative value of the current generalized momentum $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q})\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ of the robot.

To realize (3), one needs to derive only the inertia matrix $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q})$ for the 3R planar robot at hand. The kinetic energy is given by

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^3 T_i, \quad T_i = \frac{1}{2} m \|\mathbf{v}_{ci}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} I_L \omega_{z,i}^2, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

We compute first

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{2} m \left(\frac{L}{2} \dot{q}_1 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} I_L \dot{q}_1^2 \quad \left(\dots = \frac{1}{2} m \frac{L^2}{3} \dot{q}_1^2 \right).$$

Then, from

$$\mathbf{p}_{c2} = \begin{pmatrix} L \cos q_1 + (L/2) \cos(q_1 + q_2) \\ L \sin q_1 + (L/2) \sin(q_1 + q_2) \end{pmatrix} \implies \mathbf{v}_{c2} = \begin{pmatrix} -L \sin q_1 \dot{q}_1 - (L/2) \sin(q_1 + q_2)(\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2) \\ L \cos q_1 \dot{q}_1 + (L/2) \cos(q_1 + q_2)(\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_{c3} &= \begin{pmatrix} L(\cos q_1 + \cos(q_1 + q_2)) + (L/2) \cos(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) \\ L(\sin q_1 + \sin(q_1 + q_2)) + (L/2) \sin(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) \end{pmatrix} \\ \implies \mathbf{v}_{c3} &= \begin{pmatrix} -L(\sin q_1 \dot{q}_1 + \sin(q_1 + q_2)(\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2)) - (L/2) \sin(q_1 + q_2 + q_3)(\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2 + \dot{q}_3) \\ L(\cos q_1 \dot{q}_1 + \cos(q_1 + q_2)(\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2)) + (L/2) \cos(q_1 + q_2 + q_3)(\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2 + \dot{q}_3) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{2} m \left(L^2 \dot{q}_1^2 + \frac{L^2}{4} (\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2)^2 + L^2 \cos q_2 \dot{q}_1 (\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2) \right) + \frac{1}{2} I_L (\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2)^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &= \frac{1}{2} m \left(L^2 \dot{q}_1^2 + L^2 (\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2)^2 + 2L^2 \cos q_2 \dot{q}_1 (\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2) + \frac{L^2}{4} (\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2 + \dot{q}_3)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + L^2 (\cos(q_2 + q_3)\dot{q}_1 + \cos q_3(\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2)) (\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2 + \dot{q}_3) \right) + \frac{1}{2} I_L (\dot{q}_1 + \dot{q}_2 + \dot{q}_3)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the compact notation for trigonometric functions and substituting for $I_L = mL^2/12$, the inertia matrix is

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q}) = mL^2 \begin{pmatrix} 4 + 3c_2 + c_3 + c_{23} & \frac{5}{3} + \frac{3}{2}c_2 + c_3 + \frac{1}{2}c_{23} & \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}(c_3 + c_{23}) \\ & \frac{5}{3} + c_3 & \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}c_3 \\ \text{symm} & & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Finally, evaluating the control law at $\mathbf{q}_0 = (0, \pi/2, \pi/2)$ [rad] and $\dot{\mathbf{q}}_0 = (0, -\pi, -\pi)$ [rad/s] and with the data $L = 0.2$ [m], $m = 3$ [kg] (thus $I_L = 0.01$ [kg·m²]) and $\gamma = 1$, gives

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q}_0) \dot{\mathbf{q}}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{25} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & \frac{7}{6} & -\frac{1}{6} \\ \frac{7}{6} & \frac{5}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\pi \\ -\pi \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\pi}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0.12 \\ 0.24 \\ 0.08 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1885 \\ 0.3770 \\ 0.1257 \end{pmatrix} \text{ [Nm]}. \quad (5)$$

Exercise 2

We start by deriving the dynamic model of the RP planar robot in Fig. 2. For the kinetic energy

$$T = T_1 + T_2 = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q}) \dot{\mathbf{q}},$$

since $\mathbf{p}_{c2} = (q_2 - d) \begin{pmatrix} \cos q_1 & \sin q_1 \end{pmatrix}^T$ and $\mathbf{v}_{c2} = \dot{\mathbf{p}}_{c2}$, we have

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{2} I_{c1} \dot{q}_1^2, \quad T_2 = \frac{1}{2} I_{c2} \dot{q}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_2 \|\mathbf{v}_{c2}\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} (I_{c2} + m_2 (q_2 - d)^2) \dot{q}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_2 \dot{q}_2^2,$$

with an obvious interpretation of the dynamic parameters. The robot inertia matrix is then

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{c1} + I_{c2} + m_2 (q_2 - d)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

From this, we compute the Coriolis/centrifugal terms using the matrices of Christoffel symbols

$$\mathbf{C}_i(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}_i(\mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right) + \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{m}_i(\mathbf{q})}{\partial \mathbf{q}} \right)^T - \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{q})}{\partial q_i} \right) \right], \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We obtain

$$\mathbf{C}_1(\mathbf{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_2 (q_2 - d) \\ m_2 (q_2 - d) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} -m_2 (q_2 - d) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and thus

$$\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \mathbf{C}_1(\mathbf{q}) \dot{\mathbf{q}} \\ \dot{\mathbf{q}}^T \mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{q}) \dot{\mathbf{q}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2m_2 (q_2 - d) \dot{q}_1 \dot{q}_2 \\ -m_2 (q_2 - d) \dot{q}_1^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

From (6) and (7), we write the (unconstrained) dynamic equations in their scalar form as

$$(I_{c1} + I_{c2} + m_2 (q_2 - d)^2) \ddot{q}_1 + 2m_2 (q_2 - d) \dot{q}_1 \dot{q}_2 = \tau_1, \quad (8)$$

$$m_2 \ddot{q}_2 - m_2 (q_2 - d) \dot{q}_1^2 = \tau_2. \quad (9)$$

In order to execute the task, the second joint variable should remain constant at all times, namely $q_2 = R$, $\dot{q}_2 = \ddot{q}_2 = 0$. Therefore, from (8) with $q_2 = R$ and $\dot{q}_2 = 0$, the robot dynamics along the path can be described by

$$I_0 \ddot{q}_1 = \tau_1, \quad \text{with } I_0 = I_{c1} + I_{c2} + m_2 (R - d)^2 > 0, \quad (10)$$

whereas, from (9) used as inverse dynamics with $q_2 = R$ and $\ddot{q}_2 = 0$, the second motor should apply the force

$$\tau_2(t) = -m_2 (R - d) \dot{q}_1^2(t) \quad (11)$$

in order to have the end-effector remaining perfectly on the path. Equations (10–11) are the core of the solution. Based on the linear dynamics (10), to perform the desired rest-to-rest motion task in minimum time, the first motor should apply a bang-bang torque profile $\tau_1(t)$ (with maximum positive and negative torque $\pm \tau_{max,1}$, each applied for half of the motion interval). The total motion time should be sufficient to complete the rotation $\Delta q_1 = \alpha > 0$. Again from (10), this corresponds to using a maximum (absolute) acceleration bound in the definition of the time-optimal motion of joint 1, i.e.,

$$|\ddot{q}_1| \leq A_{max,1} = \frac{\tau_{max,1}}{I_0}. \quad (12)$$

While doing so, however, the velocity $\dot{q}_1(t)$ of the first joint will increase linearly and, according to (11), the force that the second motor needs to apply in order to keep the robot end-effector on the path will increase quadratically. As a result, the second actuator may exceed its dynamic capabilities. Therefore, the bound $|\tau_2| \leq \tau_{max,2}$ will impose also a bound $V_{max,1}$ on the (absolute) velocity that the first joint can reach. We have¹

$$|\tau_2| = m_2 (R - d) \dot{q}_1^2 \leq \tau_{max,2} \quad \implies \quad |\dot{q}_1| \leq V_{max,1} = \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{max,2}}{m_2 (R - d)}}. \quad (13)$$

Under the combined velocity/torque (viz. velocity/acceleration) bounds for the motion of joint 1, the minimum time solution will have in general a bang-coast-bang profile for the first torque (and its acceleration as well). The motion time T^* is computed then from known formulas.

¹Note that $R - d > 0$ by assumption, so the argument of the square root is positive.

If $\alpha > V_{max,1}^2/A_{max,1}$, a coast phase will exist. Then

$$T_s = \frac{V_{max,1}}{A_{max,1}} \implies (T^* - T_s)V_{max,1} = \alpha \implies T^* = \frac{\alpha}{V_{max,1}} + \frac{V_{max,1}}{A_{max,1}}, \quad (14)$$

where one should replace the definitions of bounds in (12) and (13). The (qualitative) plots of the resulting torque/force vector $\tau^*(t)$ are reported in Fig. 4. The second joint force $\tau_2^*(t)$ follows from (11), with a quadratic time profile where the velocity of the first joint is linear in time and a constant value where \dot{q}_1 is constant. The other special cases (with pure bang-bang commands) are treated similarly.




Figure 4: Optimal profiles of the torque τ_1^* , of the related velocity \dot{q}_1^* , and of the force τ_2^* for the requested rest-to-rest minimum time motion of the RP robot in Fig. 2.

Exercise 3

This is a simple application of conservation principles of the total kinetic energy T and total momentum P (along the direction x) for the system with the two masses m_1 and m_2 . In formulas,

$$T(t) = \frac{1}{2}m_1v_1^2(t) + \frac{1}{2}m_2v_2^2(t) = \text{constant}, \quad P(t) = m_1v_1(t) + m_2v_2(t) = \text{constant}, \quad \forall t.$$

We apply these identities around the collision time $t = t_c$, just before ($t = t_c^-$) and just after ($t = t_c^+$). Let

$$v_1 = v_1(t_c^+), \quad v_1(t_c^-) = v_0 > 0, \quad v_2 = v_2(t_c^+), \quad v_2(t_c^-) = 0,$$

where v_1 and v_2 are the unknowns of our problem. Thus,

$$\frac{1}{2}m_1v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}m_2v_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}m_1v_0^2 \quad (15)$$

and

$$m_1v_1 + m_2v_2 = m_1v_0. \quad (16)$$

Equations (15) and (16) are rewritten respectively as

$$m_1(v_1^2 - v_0^2) + m_2v_2^2 = m_1(v_1 - v_0)(v_1 + v_0) + m_2v_2^2 = 0 \quad (17)$$

and

$$m_1(v_1 - v_0) = -m_2v_2. \quad (18)$$

Substituting (18) in (17) and simplifying yields

$$v_2 = v_1 + v_0. \quad (19)$$

Plugging (19) back into (16) leads to

$$m_1v_1 + m_2(v_1 + v_0) = m_1v_0 \implies v_1 = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m_1 + m_2}v_0. \quad (20)$$

Finally, substituting v_1 in (19) gives

$$v_2 = \frac{2m_1}{m_1 + m_2}v_0. \quad (21)$$

From (20–21), we conclude that:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lll} m_2 \rightarrow 0 & \implies & v_1 = v_0 > 0, \quad v_2 = 2v_0 > 0, \\ m_2 < m_1 & \implies & v_0 > v_1 > 0, \quad v_2 > v_0 > 0, \\ m_2 = m_1 & \implies & v_1 = 0, \quad v_2 = v_0 > 0, \\ m_2 > m_1 & \implies & -v_0 < v_1 < 0, \quad 0 < v_2 < v_0, \\ m_2 \rightarrow \infty & \implies & v_1 = -v_0 < 0, \quad v_2 = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

* * * * *