	Pl	PZ	P 5	ρ7	P8
22	waiting	Waiting.	Ready	1/0 whiting	ready
37	oready	ready	Suspended	1/0 waiting	executing
47	ready	ready	Ready	Ho waiting	exited

algorithm works to differently in different scenarios. Thereofer a 1811: 9 relatively.

a) LRU: 3 , because it is protested algorithm which replaces according to heast recently need to but can suffer from Egy faults in some scenarious. It can also suffer from Belody's anomaly.

b) FIFO: 4, it can suffer ferom large page faults in many scenarious like simple one: & Fransiere = 3
Request: 12341234.__

c) optimal: 1, it is perfect algorithm with minimal page faults.

d) Second-Chance: 3, It is approximation to LRU.

(3) Frames 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

LRU 20 18 16 10 8 7 7

FIFO 20 18 16 14 10 10 7

Obtinal 20 19 11 8 7 7 7

- bar () execute semblait (R) simultaneously then to foo () will be waiting for semblait (R) and bar () will be waiting for semblait (R) and bar () will be waiting for semblait (S). and therefore they result in being blocked forever.
- ly No, because there will come a time when one of them
 gane a signal (sem Signal) and other, will take semblist
 one
 forming: It can be possible that execution of one process result in
 some bostponement but for the indefinite bostponement it &
- Lae very very less probability (=0). Otherwise it can be found if remains continue before 5 a) FCFS: 0+11+63+86+74+55+6(+87=472)
 - b) 55TF: € 26 → 33 → 37 → 14 → 12 → 88 → 99 → 100 0 + 7 + 4 + 23 + 2 + 76 + 11 + 1 = 124
 - c) SCAN: $26 \rightarrow 33 \rightarrow 37 \rightarrow 88 \rightarrow 99 \rightarrow 100 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 12$ 0 + 7 + 4 + 51 + 11 + 1 + 86 + 2 = 162
 - d) <u>C-SCAN</u>: $26 \rightarrow 33 \rightarrow 37 \rightarrow 88 \rightarrow 99 \rightarrow 100 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 12 \rightarrow 14$ 0 + 7 + 4 + 51 + 11 + 1 + 100 + 12 + 2 = 188

Assuming max = 100

(a) Transfer-line = time required for transferring the data after access.

| Revolution will transfer = 512×400 bytes = 204800 bytes

Kenolutions required = 1048576 = 5.12

Transfer-time = 5.12 × 60× +000 = 20.48 = ms

Total time = any. access time + transfer time = 26.48 ms

Anunge

W) Amerage access time = Any. seek time + Potation laterry

W) Ameroge access time = Ang. seek time + Rotation latercy

4 ms

\[
\frac{1}{2\times} \frac{60\times 1000}{15000} = \frac{9}{2} \text{ms} \\

= 6 ms

\]

C) Rotation delay per rotation = 60000 = 4 ms.

For file transfer of IMB = 20.48 ms delay is due to fatetrasso.

d) Time to read one sector = $\frac{1}{400} \times \frac{4}{5} = 0.01$ mg

e) Total time to read one track = 1 x 4 ms = 4 ms

1 indirect pointers => 13 × 8 KB = 104 KB

1 indirect pointer => well point to a block of 8 KB which
will have \$\frac{8 \times \text{NO24}}{9} \left(\frac{\text{block size (in lytes)}}{\text{pointersize (in lytes)}} \right)

= 2 × 1024 \text{pointers to \$\frac{\text{block of 8 KB}}{\text{direct}}\$

\Rightarrow \text{direct}

=> 16 MB

No. of entries pointer can handle

= 32 GB

1 triple indirect pointer: 8 x 1024 x 32 GB = 64 TB

No. of entrice of

double indirect pointer

Total = 64TB+32GB+16MB+104BKB sire

- Solution: Himit the no. of connections to I with a single party, can be one of its solution.
- 2. Record that the challenges that are under process, means sent to mother consections and authorisation of them is pending and then if a challenge is received respond to that challenge only if it is not present in the records.
 - 3. If it is possible to receive and send challenges in different ways then do that so that some challenge conn't be sent back.