1. Introduction

In the following document we present a detailed pseudocode interpretation of the program run by an Algorand node with at least one online (aka. participating) account. We attempt to explain the 5 main stages of the code supporting the protocol in a clear and concise way. This work aims to provide a basis for an Algorand blockchain simulator currently in development.

Global parameters:

- R = sortition seed renewal rate (in number of rounds). Set to 2 in the specs as of December 2022

- MAX_STEPS = maximum number of allowed steps in the main algorithm. Defined as 255 in the specs.

- τ_{step} = expected number of members on a regular step committee

- τ_{final} = expected number of members on a final consensus achieving committee

- T_{step} = fraction of expected members for a voting committee on a given step

- T_{step} = fraction of expected members for a voting committee on the final step (step = 2). If observed, achieves final consensus on the given block hash

- $\lambda_{proposal}$ = time interval for the node to accept block proposals, after which it chooses the observed block with the highest priority (lowest hash)

- λ_{block} = waiting time for the full block to be received once decided by vote. If no full block is received, the node falls back to the empty block.

2. Main algorithm

Algorithm 1 <u>Block creation</u>

    function $BlockCreation()(transactionPool)$
        $Pick\ transactions\ from\ transactionPool$

Arguments:

- The local pending transaction pool, which should be accesible to the node

Description:

The algorand protocol specs don't ensure a specific way or internal order in which transactions are added to the block. In fact, they don't force transactions to be added at all, being entirely possible for a node to propose an empty block. More research is needed on how block data is put together. Block metadata is well documented, but is added into it later in the block proposal stage.

Returns: A full block, consisting of a variable number of transactions, and the following metadata:

- round

- genesis identifier

- upgrade vote

- timestamp

- seed

- reward updates

- crytographic commitment to txn sequence

- crytographic commitment to txn sequence SHA256

- previous block hash

- txn counter

- newly expired participation keys

Algorithm 2 <u>Block proposal</u>

---

function $BlockProposal(Block\ B,\ Accounts\ A)$
 $\quad ProposalMsgsSent = \{\}$ //Set of proposal messages sent by this node

 $\quad$**for** $a \in A$ **do**
 $\quad\quad \langle sorthash, \pi, j \rangle \leftarrow Sortition(a.sk, getSortitionSeed(round), t, role,$
 $sortition_w(a, round), sortitionW(round))$
 $\quad\quad$**if** $j > 0$ **then**
 $\quad\quad\quad priority \leftarrow \mathrm{mín}_{n \in [1,j]}\ Hash(sorthash||n)$
 $\quad\quad\quad UserPriorityHashTable[a] = priority$
 $\quad\quad\quad msg \leftarrow MSG(a.pk, Signed_{a.sk}(priority, \langle sorthash, \pi \rangle))$
 $\quad\quad\quad ProposalMsgsSent \cup= msg$
 $\quad\quad\quad GOSSIP\_MSG(a.pk, Signed_{a.sk}(priority, \langle sorthash, \pi \rangle))$

 $\quad$**while** $elapsed\ time < \lambda_{proposal}$ **do**
 $\quad\quad incomingMsgs.push(listen())$

 $\quad msgs \leftarrow incomingMsgs[round, step = 0]$
 $\quad lowestHashMsg \leftarrow m\ |\ m.priority = \mathrm{mín}_{m.priority\ \in\ incomingMsgs}$
 $\{verifySortition(m.sorthash, m.pi, m.pk)\ \&ValidateMsg(m)\}$
 $\quad FullBlockToPropose \leftarrow empty\_block(round)$

 $\quad$**if** $lowestHashMsg \in msgsSent$ **then**
 $\quad\quad FullBlockToPropose \leftarrow B$
 $\quad\quad FullBlockToPropose.seed \leftarrow ComputeSeed(ctx, r, B)$
 $\quad\quad GOSSIP\_MSG(a.pk, Signeda.sk(B, \langle sorthash, \pi \rangle))$
 $\quad$**else**
 $\quad\quad$**while** $elapsed\ time < \lambda_{block}$ **do**
 $\quad\quad\quad incomingMsgs.push(listen())$
 $\quad\quad\quad m \leftarrow incomingMsgs.back()$
 $\quad\quad\quad$**if** $ValidateMsg(m)\ \&\ lowestHashMsg.blockHash = H(m.FullBlock)$ **then**
 $\quad\quad\quad\quad FullBlockToPropose \leftarrow m.FullBlock$
 $\quad$**return** $H(FullBlockToPropose)$

Arguments:

- A block B received from the previous stage

- A list of online accounts associated with this node, A (exposed to the node)

Description:

The block proposal stage (step 0 on specs) is the first stage of the consensus algorithm per se. Nodes loop through all their online accounts, running sortition to determine which accounts will be proposing the assembled block (if any). It then proceeds to gossip the priority, sortition hash and proof of the designated accounts (signed with their leaf ephemeral keys according to the signature scheme described on specs). Afterwards, it waits for a designated time to receive other block proposals, validates them and keeps the one with the lowest observed hash (maximum priority). Once the time is reached, if the selected proposal came from this node, the seed is computed and added into the finished block's metadata. Then, the complete block is gossipped to the network. In the most common case where the proposal is not the one selected locally by the node, it waits for another pre-designated time to receive the full block from the network. In case of timeout in this last stage, it falls back to the empty block.

Returns:

- The hash of a full block, whether a block proposed by a user (including users whose accounts are linked to this node) or an empty block in any of the special cases mentioned above

---

Algorithm 3 <u>Soft Vote</u>

---

function $SoftVote(BlockHash)$
$\quad CommitteeVote(ctx, round, REDUCTION\_ONE, \tau_{step}, BlockHash)$
$\quad hblock \leftarrow CountVotes(ctx, round, REDUCTION\_ONE, T_{step}, \tau_{step}, \lambda_{block} + \lambda_{step})$
$\quad empty\_hash \leftarrow H(Empty(round, H(ctx.last\_block)))$
$\quad$ **if** $hblock = TIMEOUT$ **then**
$\quad\quad CommitteeVote(ctx, round, REDUCTION\_TWO, \tau_{step}, empty\_hash)$
$\quad$ **else**
$\quad\quad CommitteeVote(ctx, round, REDUCTION\_TWO, \tau_{step}, hblock)$
$\quad hblock \leftarrow CountVotes(ctx, round, REDUCTION\_TWO, T_{step}, \tau_{step}, \lambda_{step})$
$\quad$ **if** $hblock = TIMEOUT$ **then return** $empty\_hash$ **else return** $hblock$

Arguments:

- A block hash, $BlockHash$ received from the previous stage (block proposal)

Description:

The soft vote stage (step 1, or labeled as reduction in the ASBAC paper) aims to reduce any ammount of potentially conflicting proposed blocks in the stage prior into a binary choice, either a block proposed by a user or an empty one. In the first sub-step, each commitee member gossips the proposed block, and then does a preliminary vote count while it waits for other nodes to catch up. In the second sub-step, committee members vote for the hash that received at least the ammount of votes set by the threshold for this step, or the hash of the default empty block if no hash was observed to receive enough votes. It relies heavily on the assumption that, if the block proposer was honest, most users will get to the soft vote stage with the same hblock parameter. However if the proposer was dishonest, then no single hblock may be popular enough to cross the threshold (and therefore this stage will return an empty_hash). Therefore, there will at most be one non-empty block that can be returned by soft vote for all honest users.

Returns:

- A block hash, chosen by a majority of users in the soft vote / reduction stage (could be the empty block hash)

Arguments:

- A block hash, $BlockHash$ received from the previous stage (soft vote)

Algorithm 4 CertifyVote

> **function** $CertifyVote(hblock)$
> $localBlockHash \leftarrow hblock$
> $step \leftarrow 2$
>   **while** $step < 256$ **do**
>
> $\langle hblock, bConfirmed \rangle \leftarrow BLOCK\_STEP(hblock, localBlockHash, step)$
>   **if** $bConfirmed$ **then return** $hblock$
> $step + +$
>
> $\langle hblock, bConfirmed \rangle \leftarrow EMPTY\_STEP(hblock, step)$
>   **if** $bConfirmed$ **then return** $hblock$
> $step + +$
>
> $CommonCoinFlipVote(hblock, step)$
> $step + +$
> $HangForever()$

Description:

The certify vote stage (which potentially encompasses steps 2 through 255) is the core of the BA* algorithm. The main loop executes a maximum of 254 times (from step 2 to step 255, labeled "cert" and "down" respectively on the specs). This is to avoid an attacker indefinitely postponing consensus in a compromised network, giving them a small chance to force consensus on their desired block with each iteration. The main algorithm is comprised of three important procedures: the block step, where, if selected as a committee member, votes for the block selected in the soft voting stage and then attempts to confirm it by listening to other cast votes until a threshold is met. If this step times out and no consensus is reached, it moves on to the empty step. Very similar in nature to the previous one, in this procedure a vote is cast for the value in hblock and then it attempts to confirm an empty block. Finally, if no consensus is reached on the empty block, it arrives to the common coin procedure, where it attempts another vote and vote count for the empty block. If here, no consensus is reached, it uses the pseudo-randomness of the VRF hash output to "flip a coin" by looking at the last bit of the lowest sortition hash owned by observed committee members. This makes it so even tho the coin flip is random, most users will observe the same outcome and will in turn set their hblock values according to the observed bit. In turn, this prevents an adversary from being able to easily predict beforehand what the next vote of a user would be if they were a committee user, and therefore the attacker can't force consensus sending their vote messages to targeted users in a scenario where the voting is split pretty evenly but no block has quite enough votes to push the threshold.

Returns:

- A block hash certified by a majority of users, to be confirmed and added to the ledger in the next stage as TENTATIVE or FINAL depending on the observed voting share

Algorithm 5 BlockConfirmation

> **function** $BlockConfirmation(hblock)$
> $r \leftarrow CountVotes(ctx, round, FINAL, T_{final}, \tau_{final}, lstep)$
>   **if** $r = hblock$ **then**
>   **return** $\langle FINAL, BlockOfHash(hblock) \rangle$
>   **else**
>   **return** $\langle TENTATIVE, BlockOfHash(hblock) \rangle$

Arguments:

- A block hash, *BlockHash* received from the previous stage (certify vote)

Description:

Finally, the proposed block (be it an empty block or a specific one proposed by a designated user) is confirmed and added to the ledger. We introduce the notions of FINAL and TENTATIVE consensus. Specifically, if a block is confirmed in the very first step of the certify vote stage, its vote is cast as FINAL. If enough users reproduce this behavior, the vote count on line 2 for votes cast in this fashion is over the required threshold, and the consensus is labeled as FINAL. This means that the block is simultaneously added to the ledger and confirmed, as well as immediately confirming any prior blocks that could have been labeled TENTATIVE. If this node is not able to ensure that enough users considered the block represented by hblock as FINAL, the consensus is labeled as TENTATIVE, and it may be confirmed by a later FINAL block. The BlockOfHash() function outputs the full block for the provided hash, either by returning a locally available copy or by requesting it from other users.

Returns:

- A full block to be added to the ledger, along with a flag indicating if the consensus achieved is TENTATIVE or FINAL in nature

3. Subroutines

Algorithm 6 CountVotes
---
function $CountVotes(ctx, round, step, T, \tau, \lambda)$
    $startTime \leftarrow currentTime()$
    $counts \leftarrow \{\}$ // hash table, new keys mapped to 0
    $voters \leftarrow \{\}$
    $msgs \leftarrow incomingMsgs[round, step]$
    while $TRUE$ **do**
        $m \leftarrow msgs.next()$
        if $m = \perp$ **then**
            if $ElapsedTime > startTime + \lambda$ **then**
                return $TIMEOUT$
        else
            $\langle votes, value, sorthash \rangle \leftarrow ProcessMsg(ctx, \tau, m)$
            if $m.pk \in voters \lor votes = 0$ **then** $continue$
            $voters \cup = \{m.pk\}$
            $counts[value] + = votes$
            if $counts[value] \geq T * \tau$ **then return** $value$

Arguments:

- ctx = a helper structure to retrieve ledger context information (e.g. the last confirmed block)

- $round$ = current round number

- $step$ = current step number

- T = fraction of expected committee members to get the confirmation threshold

- τ = expected number of committee members

- λ = maximum time to keep running the vote count and awaiting for network messages

Description:
This subroutine counts the votes for any observed block hash value, for a given round and step numbers. It returns as soon as it finds a value exceeding the specified threshold (which will also vary according to round and step). If no value is observed to have the required ammount of votes in the predetermined temporal window, it finishes with a TIMEOUT. It's important to notice that every committee user's vote is processed at most once for the given context.

Returns:

- the first block hash value observed to achieve the required threshold, or a TIMEOUT constant if none was found in the required time window

---

Algorithm 7 CommitteeVote

 function $CommitteeVote(ctx, round, step, T, \tau, \lambda)$
  $role \leftarrow \langle committee, round, step \rangle$
  $\langle sorthash, \pi, j \rangle \leftarrow Sortition(user.sk, ctx.seed, \tau, role, ctx.weight[user.pk], ctx.W)$ // only committee members originate a message
  if $j > 0$ **then**
   $GOSSIP\_MSG(user.pk, Signed_{user.sk}(round, step, sorthash, \pi, H(ctx.last_{block}), value))$

Arguments:

- ctx = a helper structure to retrieve ledger context information (e.g. the last confirmed block)

- $round$ = current round number

- $step$ = current step number

- T = fraction of expected committee members to get the confirmation threshold

- τ = expected number of committee members

- λ = maximum time to keep running the vote count and awaiting for network messages

Description:
This subroutine verifies that the caller is a member of the specified committee (for a given round and step number), and in that case casts a vote for the block passed as argument.

Returns:
Has no return value, only gossips the specified vote if the caller is a committee member

---

Algorithm 8 ComputeSeed

 function $ComputeSeed(ctx, round, B)$
  if $B \neq empty\_block$ **then**
   return $VRF_{getSKu(ctx,r)}(ctx.LastBlock.seed || r)$
  else
   return $H(ctx.LastBlock.seed || r)$

Arguments:

- ctx = a helper structure to retrieve ledger context information (e.g. the last confirmed block)

- $round$ = current round number

- B = the block whose seed is being computed (that will be added as metadata)

Description:

This subroutine computes the required sortition seed for the given round number, which goes in the proposed block's metadata. If the block is empty, the seed is a hash of the previous block's seed. The $get_{SKu}(ctx, round)$ helper function gets the relevant user's secret key (according to the signing scheme described in specs). That is, the secret key from a round b time before block $r - 1 - (r \bmod R)$, where R is the sortition seed's renewal rate, r is the current round's number, and b is the upper bound for the loss of strong synchrony (according to the weak synchrony assumption, that is, $s < b$).

TODO: reescribir detallando mejor el proceso de selección de la seed

Returns:

- the computed seed for the given block, ledger context and round

---

Algorithm 9 <u>Sortition</u>

---

function $Sortition(sk, seed, \tau, role, w, W)$
    $\langle hash, \pi \rangle \leftarrow VRF(seed || role)$
    $p \leftarrow \frac{t}{W}$
    $j \leftarrow 0$
    while $\frac{hash}{2^{hashlen}} \notin [\sum_{k=0}^{j} B(k; w, p), \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} B(k; w, p))$ **do**
        $j + +$
    return $\langle hash, \pi, j \rangle$

Arguments:

- sk = a user's secret key (an ephemeral key for the given round, according to key specs)

- $seed$ = the sortition seed to be used

- τ = the expected committee size for the given role

- $role$ = a flag specifying the role for the sortition to take place (e.g. block proposer)

- w = the user's weight (aka. it's relevant stake)

- W = the total relevant stake for the given round

Description:

The Sortition procedure is one of the most important subroutines in the main algorithm, as it's used in multiple stages and contexts. Generally, it manages to pseudo-randomly but verifiably (through the use of a Verifiable Random Function) select a user with probability proportional to their weight (aka. stake) by returning a j parameter, which indicates the number of times that specific user was chosen. Algorithmically, every monetary unit the user has is considered a "sub-user", and then each one of them is selected with probability $p = \frac{\tau}{W}$, where τ is the expected ammount of users to be selected for the given role. The semi-open interval $[0, 1)$ is then split into consecutive intervals using an accumulated binomial distribution, and wherever the fraction $\frac{hash}{2^{hashlen}}$ belongs to the interval, that's the ammount of chosen sub-users for the subroutine caller.

Returns:

- an integer j that will be positive (larger than 0) if the user has been selected, and it's size corresponds to the ammount of sub-users for a given committee member

Arguments:

- pk = a user's public key (their address)

Algorithm 10 VerifySortition

---

function $VerifySortition(pk, seed, \tau, role, w, W)$
    if $\neg VerifyVRF_{pk}(hash, \pi, seed||role)$ **then return** 0
    $p \leftarrow \frac{t}{W}$
    $j \leftarrow 0$
    while $\frac{hash}{2^{hashlen}} \notin [\sum_{k=0}^{j} B(k; w, p), \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} B(k; w, p))$ **do**
        $j + +$
    return j

- $seed$ = the sortition seed to be used

- τ = the expected committee size for the given role

- $role$ = a flag specifying the role for the sortition to take place (e.g. block proposer)

- w = the user's weight (aka. it's relevant stake)

- W = the total relevant stake for the given round

Description:

The sortition verification procedure takes Sortition's output and utilizes VRF properties to verify the validity of said output. Once the check is passed, it repeats Sortition's sub-user selection procedure, and outputs the ammount of times the user was chosen according to their respective observed stake.

Returns:

- an integer j that will be positive (larger than 0) if the user has been selected, and it's size corresponds to the ammount of sub-users for a given committee member

---

Algorithm 11 BLOCK_STEP

---

function $BLOCK\_STEP(hblock, blockHash, step)$
    $bConfirmed \leftarrow FALSE$
    $r \leftarrow hblock$
    $CommitteeVote(ctx, round, step, \tau_{step}, r)$
    $r \leftarrow CountVotes(ctx, round, step, T_{step}, \tau_{step}, \lambda_{step})$
    if $r = TIMEOUT$ **then**
        $r \leftarrow blockHash$
    else if $r \neq emptyHash$ **then**
        for $step < s' \leq step + 3$ **do**
            $CommitteeVote(ctx, round, s', \tau_{step}, r)$
        if $step = 1$ **then**
            $CommitteeVote(ctx, round, FINAL, \tau_{final}, r)$
        $bConfirmed \leftarrow TRUE$
    return $\langle r, bConfirmed \rangle$

Arguments:

- $hblock$ = a block hash value to be voted on

- $blockHash$ = the block hash value locally observed by the node to be the winning choice

- $step$ = currently executing step

Description:

The BLOCK_STEP subroutine handles the first part of the certifyVote's main loop. It first casts a vote on the observed hblock value (possibly coming off of a coin toss vote -see commonCoinFlipVote-). It then attempts to count incoming votes. In case of a timeout (aka. no block passed the threshold), it returns the block hash. Otherwise, and assuming the highest voted value is not an empty block hash, it casts votes for the next three steps for the block seen as selected. Then, in the special scenario where we are in step 1, we cast our vote as FINAL, suggesting that this block is a candidate for a final consensus (if enough committee members observe this fact). Finally, the procedure returns the selected block amd a flag to either continue or return from the main procedure with a certified vote.

Returns:

- a tuple $\langle r, bConfirmed \rangle$, comprised of a block hash value and a boolean flag to assert whether the given value was observed to be confirmed in any way or if further steps are needed

---

Algorithm 12 EMPTY_STEP

---

function $EMPTY\_STEP(hblock, step)$
    $bConfirmed \leftarrow FALSE$
    $r \leftarrow hblock$
    $CommitteeVote(ctx, round, step, \tau_{step}, r)$
    $r \leftarrow CountVotes(ctx, round, step, T_{step}, \tau_{step}, \lambda_{step})$
    if $r = TIMEOUT$ **then**
        $r \leftarrow emptyHash$
    else if $r = emptyHash$ **then**
        for $step < s' \leq step + 3$ **do**
            $CommitteeVote(ctx, round, s', \tau_{step}, r)$
        $bConfirmed \leftarrow TRUE$
    return $\langle r, bConfirmed \rangle$

Arguments:

- $hblock$ = a block hash value to be voted on

- $step$ = currently executing step

Description:

The EMPTY_STEP procedure works in a very similar fashion to BLOCK_STEP, with two main differences: the block to attempt to confirm is the empty block, and there is no final consensus achievable in this scenario.

Returns:

- a tuple $\langle r, bConfirmed \rangle$, comprised of a block hash value and a boolean flag to assert whether the given value was observed to be confirmed in any way or if further steps are needed

Arguments:

- $hblock$ = a block hash value to be voted on

- $blockHash$ = the block hash value locally observed by the node to be the winning choice

- $step$ = currently executing step

Description:

This procedure casts a vote for the previous step's selected block, then does a vote count, and finally flips a coin (last bit of lowest sortition hashed user, see CommonCoin) that's random, but consensuated between a majority of users. This guarantees that an attacker could not guess what

Algorithm 13 CommonCoinFlipVote
___
    function $CommonCoinFlipVote(hblock, blockHash, step)$
        $r \leftarrow hblock$
        $CommitteeVote(ctx, round, step, \tau_{step}, r)$
        $r \leftarrow CountVotes(ctx, round, step, T_{step}, \tau_{step}, \lambda_{step})$
        if $r = TIMEOUT$ **then**
            if $CommonCoin(ctx, round, step, T_{step}, \tau_{step}, \lambda_{step}) = 0$ **then**
                $r \leftarrow blockHash$
            else
                $r \leftarrow emptyHash$
___

the next vote will be for a given user, and introduces a tool to facilitate reaching a tentative consensus in the next stages.

Returns:

- a block hash value, decided randomly in between the locally chosen block hash or an empty hash, to be voted on in the immediately following step

Algorithm 14 CommonCoin
___
    function $CommonCoin(ctx, round, step, \tau)$
        $minhash \leftarrow 2^{hashlen}$
        for $m \in incomingMsgs[round, step]$ **do**
            $\langle votes, value, sorthash \rangle \leftarrow ProcessMsg(ctx, \tau, m)$
            for $1 \leq j < votes$ **do**
                $h \leftarrow H(sorthash || j)$
                if $h < minhash$ **then** $minhash \leftarrow h$
        return $minhash \bmod 2$
___

Arguments:

- ctx = a helper structure to retrieve ledger context information (e.g. the last confirmed block)

- $round$ = current round number

- $step$ = current step number

- τ = the expected committee size for the given role

Description:

The CommonCoin procedure takes advantage of the randomness of sortition hashes, and attempts to get a consensus random bit (a coin toss) getting the least significant bit of the lowest hashed sortition hash concatenated with sub-user index, for all committee voters in the given round and step. Since sortition hashes are random, even if an attacker happened to be a committee member with the lowest observable hash, the coin value's randomness would still be preserved, and in successive steps the probability of them being chosen again and able to manipulate this stage would severely diminish.

Returns:

- a random bit (either 0 or 1), observed to be the least significant bit of the hashed sortition hash of a committee member for the given step, that should be observed by a majority of users to be the same (conceptually, a consensus coin toss)