## KUSNÍMA **Kusama - Treasury Proposal Audit** Funded by: OpenGovernance Proposal #67 **GRADE: Project name: Customized Kusama Chocolate Bars Proponent: BabesPapes** 4 **Meets Criteria Proposal URL:** https://kusama.polkassembly.io/referenda/99 **Audit date:** 15/2/2023 Requested funding: **69 KSM** Average Score per Category Total Score per Category **Grade Criteria Legend** 1. Information 1. Information Excellent >=15 5.0 >5 Above Average 8. Overall 2. Context 8. Overall 2. Context 2.5 >-5 Meets Criteria Needs Improvement >-15 Unacceptable -2 /5.Q 7. Team 3. Problem 7. Team 3. Problem Score Criteria Legend Excellent Above Average Meets Criteria 0 6. Deliverables 4. Proposal 6. Deliverables 4. Proposal **Needs Improvement** 5. Budget 5. Budget Unacceptable I believe proposal is well described, all work and budget details are presented in a clear and transparent way. This proposal is unique, wild, sweet and experimental idea **General Comments:** and as such it is perfect match for Kusama. Score criteria Comments Description 2 1 0 -1 -2 (explain reasons why score differs from default score 0) 1. Information Project description and category, requested allocation and referenda origin call clear and accurate. Discussion topic open for a minimum period of one week. All the questions and concerns addressed and answered. 0 Score Project context and background presented in a clear terms which can be fully understood and assessed. 0 Score 3. Problem The problem the proposal is trying to solve is explained in a clean and concise terms. 0 Score 4. Proposal Proposal solution is described with a sufficient amount of information. Similar projects or proposals listed and explained how they differ from this proposal Milestones to achieve the goals of the project are clearly defined. Milestones are split into the smaller detailed work tasks with deliverables, resources and description. Timeline with tasks/activities listed in a chronological order is clear and accurate. 0 Score 5. Budget Budget is clear and transparent and broken down into direct cost categories. Costs are reasonable and according to the market rates. Chocolate provider prices Budget costs are similar to regular market rates. Final payment calculations and conditions are in line with proposed milestones. Score 1 6. Deliverables Key deliverables are clear and outline progress towards the proposed solution. Project objectives/success criteria is clearly defined with measurable targets where possible. Awareness of known conditions that may affect the project schedule, milestones, determined budget or project timeline. Reporting process is defined to inform the community about the progress and current status of the project. Clear communication strategy - where, when, what and who is going to present the information to the community and other relevant parties. 0 Score 7. Team Team members that will actively work on the project are introduced with all relevant information. Reputation from previous involvements in the Kusama/Polkadot grants/bounties/tasks/treasury proposals. Score 0 8. Overall General quality of the proposal content (i.e. can you make an educated opinion on the Proposal is short, clear and concise. Very easy to understand. proposal in less than 5 minutes?) How important and valuable is the presented problem and proposal solution to the ecosystem. More than 50% of requested budget is for Chocolate purchases. Promised work on defined budget presents a good ROI for community. This proposal is unique, wild, sweet and experimental idea and as such it is perfect Other remarks Score