## KUSAMA **Kusama - Treasury Proposal Audit** Funded by: OpenGovernance Proposal #67 **GRADE: Project name: System Parachain Bounty 20 Proponent:** Will | Paradox **Above** 6 **Proposal URL:** https://kusama.polkassembly.io/referenda/108 **A**verage Audit date: 23/2/2023 Requested funding: 1873 KSM Average Score per Category Total Score per Category **Grade Criteria Legend** 1. Information 1. Information Excellent >=15 5.0 Above Average >5 8. Overall 8. Overall 2. Context 2. Context 2.5 >-5 Meets Criteria Needs Improvement >-15 Unacceptable -5 -5.0 7. Team 3. Problem 7. Team 3. Problem Score Criteria Legend Excellent Above Average Meets Criteria 0 6. Deliverables 4. Proposal 6. Deliverables 4. Proposal **Needs Improvement** 5. Budget 5. Budget Unacceptable **General Comments:** Score criteria Comments Description 1 0 -1 -2 (explain reasons why score differs from default score 0) 1. Information Project description and category, requested allocation and referenda origin call clear and accurate. Discussion topic open for a minimum period of one week. All the questions and Discussion topic open for 2 weeks. concerns addressed and answered. 1 Score Project context and background presented in a clear terms which can be fully Project background described in a elaborate and concise way making it easy to understood and assessed. 1 Score 3. Problem Problem elaborated with several discussion topics on the forums with URLs The problem the proposal is trying to solve is explained in a clean and concise terms. Score 4. Proposal Proposal is clear and concise. Ver easy to understand the problem proposal is Proposal solution is described with a sufficient amount of information. trying to solve. Similar projects or proposals listed and explained how they differ from this proposal Milestones to achieve the goals of the project are clearly defined. Milestones are split into the smaller detailed work tasks with deliverables, resources and description. Timeline with tasks/activities listed in a chronological order is clear and accurate. 1 5. Budget Clear split of the cost categories: monthly costs, staking reward compensation, tooling, retroactive payments. Budget is clear and transparent and broken down into direct cost categories. Budget costs are comparable to the similar treasury proposals. Final payment calculations and conditions are in line with proposed milestones. Score 1 6. Deliverables Key deliverables are clear and outline progress towards the proposed solution. Project objectives/success criteria is clearly defined with measurable targets where possible. Awareness of known conditions that may affect the project schedule, milestones, determined budget or project timeline. Reporting process is defined to inform the community about the progress and current status of the project. Clear communication strategy - where, when, what and who is going to present the $\checkmark$ information to the community and other relevant parties. 0 Score 7. Team Team members that will actively work on the project are introduced with all relevant

0

Compesation for non profit collators on the Common good chains is important as

their services can be widely used in the ecosystem.

Score

Score

information.

8. Overall

ecosystem.

Other remarks

Reputation from previous involvements in the Kusama/Polkadot

General quality of the proposal content (i.e. can you make an educated opinion on

How important and valuable is the presented problem and proposal solution to the

Promised work on defined budget presents a good ROI for community.

grants/bounties/tasks/treasury proposals.

the proposal in less than 5 minutes?)