Kusama - Treasury Proposal Audit							KUSDÍ			
Funded by: OpenGovernance Proposal #67 Auditor: Abdulbee (HBtJ7Poz9ya9pzS8AXK16tAqJ1qxXbVspkM9E6p57BJ1hJs)									J1hJs)	
GRADE:	Project name:			Paras	spells	Mair	itena	ance funding	,	
6	Above Average Proponent: Proposal URL: Audit date:					sama.		cC3dwSqQjb59Jsz3x35UZ24MqtA4eTVZR cassembly.io/post/2577		
		Requested fundi KSM/USD:	ng			1,176 ,000				
		Average Score per Ca	atego	ry				Total Score per Category		
Grade Criteria Legend Excellent	>=15	1. Information 2						1. Information 5.0		
Above Average >5 Meets Criteria >-5 8. Overall 1				Conte	αt		8. Overall 2.5 2. Context			
Needs Improvement >-15 Unacceptable <=-15								-2.5		
Score Criteria Legend Excellent 2					Proble	em		7. Team 3. Problem		
Above Average Meets Criteria 0 6. Deliverables				4. Proposal				6. Deliverables 4. Proposal		
Needs Improvement Unacceptable -2 5. Budget								5. Budget		
	• •			•		_		r dApp developers in the Polkadot ecosystem, coming from an experience		
General Comments: that has previously delivered on Web3Foundation and Kusama ParaSpell, reduction in development time, or user satisfaction							ie pro	osal could still benefit from performance metrics like the number of dApps using Comments		
1 Information	Description		2	1	e crit	-1	-2	(explain reasons why score differs from default score 0)		
1. Information Project description and cand accurate.	category, requested allocation and re	eferenda origin call clear			~					
	or a minimum period of one week. Ald answered.	I the questions and			~					
2. Context		Score			0					
2. Golitext								The context section provides clear reasons as to why ParaSpell needs pupgrading. The explanation of the proposal's relationship with XCM Pallo		
Project context and back	kground presented in a clear terms v	which can be fully		~				importance of updating the SDK to keep up with the evolving XCM, and to meet developers' requirements is quite straightforward.	the need	
understood and assesse	ed.		Ц				ш	The references to the previously completed Web 3 foundation grants are treasury funding provide essential context and proof of the team's capal Additionally, the mention of being advised to apply for Maintenance Treasury	bility.	
		Saara			1			funding by the Web3 Foundation team adds credibility to the proposal.	asury	
Score 3. Problem					1					
The problem the proposal is trying to solve is explained in a clean and concise				_	_	_		The problem statement is well-articulated, detailing the complexities and challenges that developers face in integrating XCM into their dApps. Ho	wever, the	
terms.		dicuit and concise		~				section is lengthy and packed with a lot of information. While it's thoroug potential evaluators might find the density of information somewhat ove More succinct descriptions or clear subheadings could improve readabi	erwhelming.	
		Score			1					
4. Proposal								The objective/solution section provides a clear, comprehensive view of	the	
Proposal solution is described with a sufficient amount of information.				~				proposal's intentions and the expected benefits for different groups, suc developers, normal users, and Parachain developers.	ch as dApp	
Similar projects or proposals listed and explained how they differ from this proposal					~					
Milestones to achieve the goals of the project are clearly defined.				~				The proposal sets a clear overarching goal for each month: to successful for new compatible Parachains to be added, resolve all issues, and provide comprehensive report of work done.	-	
Milestones are split into and description.	the smaller detailed work tasks with	deliverables, resources			~					
Timeline with tasks/activities listed in a chronological order is clear and accurate.					2					
5. Budget					2					
-	sparent and broken down into direct irable to the similar treasury proposa	-			✓ ✓					
-	ns and conditions are in line with pro				✓					
6. Deliverables		Score			0					
Key deliverables are clear and outline progress towards the proposed solution. Project objectives/success criteria is clearly defined with measurable targets where					✓			Outlined in section 5		
possible. Awareness of known conditions that may affect the project schedule, milestones,								Outlined in section 5		
_	fined to inform the community about	the progress and								
current status of the project. Clear communication strategy - where, when, what and who is going to present the										
information to the comm	nunity and other relevant parties.	Score			0					
7. Team Team members that will	actively work on the project are intro	oduced with all relevant						Team members introduced with sufficient details including Oithule and	l inkod!~	
information. Reputation from previous involvements in the Kusama/Polkadot			_		□		_	Team members introduced with sufficient details, including Github and profiles.	LIIINGUIII	
grants/bounties/tasks/tre		Score		~	2			Experienced team, previous grants from Kusama treasury and W3f.		
8. Overall										
the proposal in less than	•	·			✓					
ecosystem.	able is the presented problem and p	·			V					
	ed budget presents a good ROI for c	community.						Replicating the headings on all pages of the proposal reduces the readi	_	
Other remarks		Score	Ц		0			eperience for the community. It's recommended to only include the head first page.	ung on the	
		Score			J					