


# Kusama - Treasury Proposal Audit

Funded by: [OpenGovernance Proposal #67](#)



Auditor: CoinStudio

GRADE:

-6

Needs Improvement

Project name:

Gig Mind

Proponent:

Sebastian

Proposal URL:

<https://kusama.polkasembly.io/post/2569>

Audit date:

09/05/2023

Requested funding KSM/USD:

KSMUSD


Kusama Treasury status:

302350 KSM


Requested % of Treasury :

0.00%

Average Score per Category



Total Score per Category



| General Comments:   | GigMind.app is an education platform designed to enable users to create and participate in workshops on various topics within the Kusama ecosystem. The platform features live audio and video calls to support learning, knowledge-sharing, and community-building among its users. While certain aspects of the proposal are well-presented, there are areas that require improvement, such as the budget breakdown, timeline, and a clear list of deliverables. |  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Description   |  | Score criteria   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          | Comments  |  |  |  |
|   |  | 2  | 1                                   | 0                                   | -1                                  | -2                       |   |  |  |  |
|   |  | (explain reasons why score differs from default score 0) |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 1. Information  |  |  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 1.1 Project description and category, requested allocation and referenda origin call clear and accurate.                                      |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Beside short description, I recommend adding contain all relevant data and essential details, making it easy for readers to quickly grasp the key aspects of the project.   |  |  |  |
| 1.2 Discussion topic open for a minimum period of one week. All the questions and concerns addressed and answered.                            |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Ongoing.  |  |  |  |
| Score   |  | -1   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 2. Context  |  |  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 2.1 Project context and background presented in a clear terms which can be fully understood and assessed.                                     |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | The proposal presents a clear context and background of the project.  |  |  |  |
| Score   |  | 0  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 3. Problem  |  |  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 3.1 The problem the proposal is trying to solve is explained in a clean and concise terms.  |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | The proposal identifies the challenges and limitations in accessing quality education and training resources for Kusama projects.   |  |  |  |
| Score   |  | 1  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 4. Proposal   |  |  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 4.1 Proposal solution is described with a sufficient amount of information.   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | The proposal offers a comprehensive solution with detailed descriptions of the platform's features and benefits.  |  |  |  |
| 4.2 Similar projects or proposals listed and explained how they differ from this proposal   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | The proposal lists existing learning platforms in the Kusama ecosystem and differentiates GigMind.app by its focus on educational workshops.  |  |  |  |
| 4.3 Milestones to achieve the goals of the project are clearly defined.   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> |   |  |  |  |
| 4.4 Milestones are split into the smaller detailed work tasks with deliverables, resources and description.                                   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | The proposal lacks specific details on work tasks, resources, and descriptions for each milestone. Recommend to break down each milestone into smaller tasks with clear deliverables, resources, and descriptions to provide a better understanding of the project timeline and requirements.   |  |  |  |
| 4.5 Timeline with tasks/activities listed in a chronological order is clear and accurate.   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | Timeline with estimated lenght of the milestones is provided. It is stated that all activities are already ongoing.   |  |  |  |
| Score   |  | 1  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 5. Budget   |  |  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 5.1 Budget is clear and transparent and broken down into direct cost categories.  |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Recommend to provide a detailed budget breakdown that includes direct cost categories to improve transparency and allow the community to better assess the proposal's financial requirements.   |  |  |  |
| 5.2 Budget costs are comparable to the similar treasury proposals.  |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | The budget presented by the proposer includes three different scenarios, with the third option costing half as much as the first. However, the proposal does not clearly outline the advantages and disadvantages of each option, making it difficult to determine the benefits of one scenario over the others. It would be helpful if the proposer could provide more context and justification for each option, allowing for a better understanding of the implications and value of each budget scenario. |  |  |  |
| 5.3 Final payment calculations and conditions are in line with proposed milestones.   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Not provided.   |  |  |  |
| Score   |  | -3   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 6. Deliverables   |  |  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 6.1 Key deliverables are clear and outline progress towards the proposed solution.  |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | While several deliverables are mentioned throughout the proposal, there is no clear list of end project deliverables or app features. It would be beneficial to provide a clear list of end project deliverables or app features to improve the proposal's transparency and allow the community to better understand the final outcomes of the project.   |  |  |  |
| 6.2 Project objectives/success criteria is clearly defined with measurable targets where possible.  |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | While proposal explained potential usage insights, it would be beneficial to indicate the target user(s) and provide some market study to demonstrate that people actually want to use the app. Consider sharing any feedback or expressions of interest from potential users to strengthen the proposal.   |  |  |  |
| 6.3 Awareness of known conditions that may affect the project schedule, milestones, determined budget or project timeline.                    |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> |   |  |  |  |
| 6.4 Reporting process is defined to inform the community about the progress and current status of the project.                                |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | "We aim to release updates every Sunday, as long as they have passed all necessary tests and are ready to be deployed to the live version."   |  |  |  |
| 6.5 Clear communication strategy - where, when, what and who is going to present the information to the community and other relevant parties. |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | "The project will also have a dedicated slack channel for communications." It is recommended to cosnider other mediums like Kusama Element channel or proposal PA post.   |  |  |  |
| Score   |  | -1   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 7. Team   |  |  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 7.1 Team members that will actively work on the project are introduced with all relevant information.   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> | The proposal outlines the project team's composition, including Project Manager, Technical Lead, Product Manager, Marketing Lead, and Community Lead roles.   |  |  |  |
| 7.2 Reputation from previous involvements in the Kusama/Polkadot grants/bounties/tasks/treasury proposals.                                    |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | First time proposal.  |  |  |  |
| Score   |  | -1   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 8. Overall  |  |  |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |
| 8.1 General quality of the proposal content (i.e. can you make an educated opinion on the proposal in less than 5 minutes?)                   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> |   |  |  |  |
| 8.2 How important and valuable is the presented problem and proposal solution to the ecosystem.   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | "Gigmind.app utilizes blockchain technology to provide a secure, decentralized, and transparent platform for managing transactions."- Could you please elaborate on how Gigmind.app incorporates Kusama logic?  |  |  |  |
| 8.3 Promised work on defined budget presents a good ROI for community.  |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | "GigMind.app is designed to be financially accessible and sustainable" - Could you please provide further clarification on how GigMind.app plans to achieve financial accessibility and sustainability?   |  |  |  |
| 8.4 Other remarks   |  | <input type="checkbox"/>                                 | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/>            | <input type="checkbox"/> |   |  |  |  |
| Score   |  | -2   |                                     |                                     |                                     |                          |   |  |  |  |