	Kusama - Treasury Proposal Audit					KUSDÍMD		
Funded by: OpenGovernance Proposal #67							Auditor: Abdulbee (HBtJ7Poz9ya9pzS8AXK16tAqJ1qxXbVspkM9E6p57BJ1hJs)	
GRADE:		Project name:						a decentralization analytics
6	Above Average	Proponent:		Valletech - EJYeKKwU6l https://kusama.polkasse				U6Ua8H8TWqq85eRgAfcb1ZLneapYuR6FhRB5YgVL
O		Proposal URL: Audit date:					.polka	assembly.io/post/2583
		Requested fund	ing			685 1507		
		Average Score per Ca	atego	ry				Total Score per Category
Grade Criteria Legend Excellent 1. Information 2						1. Information 5.0		
Above Average >5 Meets Criteria >-5					αt			8. Overall 2.5 2. Context
Needs Improvement Unacceptable	/ / / × _1							-2.5
Score Criteria Legend	7. Team 3. Problem					7. Team 3. Problem		
Excellent	gend 2							
Above Average Meets Criteria	oria 6. Deliverables 4. Proposa				al			6. Deliverables 4. Proposal
Needs Improvement Unacceptable	-1 -2	5. Budget						5. Budget
The proposal emphasizes the importance of monitoring validator decentralization and presents a solution with Polkawatch as an analytic tool. It also demonstrates the								
General Comments: tool's viability by indicating that Polkawatch has already been used in the ecosystem and that it has been supported by Web3 Foundation grants and the Polkadot and Kusama treasuries. The proposal's explanation of Polkawatch's operation and utility is thorough, detailing how it measures decentralization and the value this brings to validators, nominators, and the wider community.								
	Description	Sommunity.	2	Scor	e crit		^	Comments (avalation appears where a part of fruit appears ())
1. Information			2	I	0	-1	-2	(explain reasons why score differs from default score 0)
and accurate.	category, requested allocation and refe or a minimum period of one week. All th	-			~			Don't forget to include the KSM value and rate used for conversion.
concerns addressed and		Score			0			
2. Context								No contact lttp usually a good idea to give a guidy even jow of proposals at the
Project context and back understood and assessed	kground presented in a clear terms whiced.	ch can be fully				~		No context. It's usually a good idea to give a quick overview of proposals at the beginning. That way, the community can have a healthy overview of the proposal right from the beginning.
3. Problem		Score			-1			
								The problem statement clearly highlights the need for monitoring the level of decentralization. It presents specific instances, like the SEC's actions and the
								Hetzner case, as evidence for why this issue is pressing. However, while the reference to the "Introducing Polkawatch" blog post and the mentioned events,
The problem the proposal is trying to solve is explained in a clean and concise terms.				~				provide useful context, it would be more effective if the most significant information from these sources is summarized within the problem statement itself, allowing readers to understand the issue without needing to leave the text. For
								example, the problem depicts how a few operators, regions, and computing networks are dominating the validation activity, but the specifics are in the external
Score					1			blog, so it would be nice to bring in some of them.
4. Proposal								The proposal section clearly defines what the tool is, its function, how it's
Proposal solution is described with a sufficient amount of information.			~					supported, and the measures it uses to evaluate decentralization. For the third paragraph, it will be helpful to put "Reward" in italic or quotes, just for better readability. Proposal provides concrete examples of how Polkawatch has been used, its effect on the community, and how it's interacting with users.
Similar projects or propo	osals listed and explained how they diffe	er from this proposal				~		Not included. If there're no similar proposals/projects, it will be good to specify.
Milestones to achieve the goals of the project are clearly defined. Milestones are split into the smaller detailed work tasks with deliverables, resources					~			
and description. Timeline with tasks/activities listed in a chronological order is clear and accurate.								Details of each category (funding type, funding unit, rates etc) included.
	nties listed in a chronological order is cr	Score			2			
5. Budget Budget is clear and trans	sparent and broken down into direct co	st categories.		✓				Rates, units and budgets for individual categories specified.
-	erable to the similar treasury proposals.	sed milestones			✓			
	The same with proper	Score Score			1			
6. Deliverables Key deliverables are cle	ar and outline progress towards the pro	posed solution.			~			
Project objectives/succepossible.	ess criteria is clearly defined with measu	ırable targets where			~			You mentioned that the decentralizing metrics are the key metrics. Do you have targets for these metrics, and how does the data in the presented graph measure
Awareness of known co	nditions that may affect the project sch	edule, milestones,			~			up to the targets?
determined budget or project timeline. Reporting process is defined to inform the community about the progress and current status of the project.								Clearly defined, including multiple channels of communication.
Clear communication strategy - where, when, what and who is going to present the information to the community and other relevant parties.					✓			
7. Team	, carant paraes.	Score			1			
	actively work on the project are introdu	iced with all relevant			✓			
Reputation from previous involvements in the Kusama/Polkadot grants/bounties/tasks/treasury proposals.					~			Previous w3f grants, previously completed treasury proposals, Long-term participants in the ecosystem.
8. Overall		Score			0			
	roposal content (i.e. can you make an ed n 5 minutes?)	ducated opinion on			~			
	able is the presented problem and prop	nosal solution to the	_			_		Polkawatch's primary feature is to measure the effective decentralization of the validation process in the Polkadot and other substrate-based chains. This is a
ecosystem.	and is the blesented broblem and blob	osai soiunon to me		~				critical task given the emphasis on decentralization within blockchain ecosystems. It provides a way to measure and validate one of the key promises of blockchain technology.
Promised work on define	ed budget presents a good ROI for com	nmunity.			✓			technology.
Other remarks				~				Includes an impressive breakdown of polkawatch archtecture for community members that may want to dig deeper.
		Score			2			