Kusama - Treasury Proposal Audit								KUSOMO	
Funded by: OpenGovernance Proposal #67					Auditor: Abdulbee (HBtJ7Poz9ya9pzS8AXK16tAqJ1qxXbVspkM9E6p57BJ1hJs)				
GRADE:		Project n							plementation
5	Above Average	Proponer Proposal	URL:		<u>https</u>	:://kus	sama.		SJmcJyiBWGxxiWLyjYoYbGjfhL4ovoeSd assembly.io/post/2575
	Avelage	Audit dat Requeste		ing	24/0	5/202 2	23 2796	KSM	Kusama Treasury status: 300750 KSM
		<b>KSM/US</b> Average Scor		atego	arv.	72	2000	USD	Requested % of Treasury: 0.93%  Total Score per Category
Grade Criteria Legend		_	rmation	atego	ту				1. Information
Excellent Above Average	>=15 >5	8. Overall		2. (	Conte	<b>x</b> †			5.0 8. Overall 2. Context
Meets Criteria	>-5	1 0			\				2.5 0.0
Needs Improvement Unacceptable	>-15	-1	X						-2.5
S S. it . it . I		7. Team -2			3.	Proble	em		7. Team 3. Problem
Score Criteria Legend Excellent	2								
Above Average Meets Criteria	0	6. Deliverables		1.0	/ }~~~~~~	اء.			6. Deliverables 4. Proposal
Needs Improvement	-1			4. F	ropos	oai			
Unacceptable	-2		udget						5. Budget
General Comments:									to bolster the Kusama NFT marketplace, with relevant reports of previously clear measurable outcomes would strengthen the proposal's transparency and
	Description				Sco	re crit	eria		Comments
1. Information	Description			2	1	0	-1	-2	(explain reasons why score differs from default score 0)
	category, requested allo	cation and referenda origin ca	all clear			<b>~</b>			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ne week. All the questions ar	nd			<b>~</b>			
			Score			0			
2. Context									The context section is well-detailed and demonstrates thorough preliminary
Project context and back understood and assesse		clear terms which can be fully		<b>~</b>					discussions and research, engaging the Kusama community and gathering feedback through multiple channels, including forums, social media, and group
									chats. Links to these discussions are also provided, showing transparency.
3. Problem			Score			2			
									The problem statement provided is detailed and comprehensive. The limited Kusama's NFT marketplace options is clearly identified as the central issue. The
The problem the propos	sal is trying to solve is ex	olained in a clean and concise	e						statement further delineates the potential consequences and the resulting need for a scalable marketplace within the Kusama ecosystem. However, while the
terms.				Ш	<b>✓</b>		Ц	Ц	statement is indeed rich with details, it lacks brevity, and the clarity could be hampered due to the sheer volume of information presented. A more concise
									statement could make it easier for readers to immediately grasp the key problem, the implications, and the proposed solution.
4. Proposal			Score			1			
4. FTOPOSAI									The proposal solution provides a clear picture of what is to be accomplished and
Proposal solution is desc	cribed with a sufficient a	mount of information			<b>✓</b>	П	П		how it will be achieved. It sets a clear objective, identifies who it benefits, and outlines the plan to achieve these objectives. The solution addresses the identified
Troposal solution is desc							_		problem – the lack of support for the legacy "graffiti" implementation on Kusama and its impact on the NFT marketplace – by proposing the integration of RMRKv2
									on KodaDot.  Although you mentioned the key differentiators of your proposal from similar
Similar projects or proposals listed and explained how they differ from this proposal			posal		Ш	Ш	<b>~</b>		proposals, It'd be great to add some of these similar proposals on the document.
Milestones to achieve the goals of the project are clearly defined.					<b>~</b>				Milestone presented in multiple formats (outlined, tabular and detailed), giving the community the option to either skim through, or go deeper on the milestones.
Milestones are split into the smaller detailed work tasks with deliverables, resource			ources		П	<b>~</b>	П		Relevant links to concluded milestones included.
and description. Timeline with tasks/activ	vities listed in a chronolo	gical order is clear and accura	ate.						
5. Budget			Score			1			
									It's stated that the initial budget covers milestone 0-3, but it'll be good to further
Budget is clear and trans	sparent and broken dow	n into direct cost categories.		Ш	Ш		<b>~</b>		break down this budget to include specific tasks, rates, etc that this budget covered for the completed milestones.
Budget costs are compa		ury proposals.  line with proposed milestone	ıc			✓			
That payment calculate	nis drid conditions dre in	iiie wiiii proposed iiiiestorie	Score			-1			
<b>6. Deliverables</b> Key deliverables are clea	ar and outline progress t	owards the proposed solution	n.	П	П	<b>~</b>	П	П	
, ,	ess criteria is clearly defir	ned with measurable targets v	where			<b>~</b>			The success criteria are well outlined, but introducing more quantifiable metrics might be beneficial. These could include targeted user growth, transaction
possible.									volumes, or the number of new NFTs listed.  This section may not matter as much in a retro-active proposal, since services
Awareness of known condetermined budget or produced by the condensation of the condens	-	he project schedule, milestor	nes,			<b>~</b>			were pre-delivered. But it'd still be a good idea to add any constraint faced while working on the milestones.
Reporting process is defined to inform the community about the progress and current status of the project.				~				This section was audited keeping the retro-active nature of the proposal in mind, since all previous milestones were duly reported.	
	rategy - where, when, w	nat and who is going to prese	ent the			<b>✓</b>			Since all previous filliestories were daily reported.
	.a.my and other relevant	pa. 1100.	Score	_		1			
	actively work on the pro	ject are introduced with all re	levant			<b>~</b>			
information.  Reputation from previous involvements in the Kusama/Polkadot									
grants/bounties/tasks/tre			Score			0			
8. Overall	anacal "					-			
General quality of the pr	· ·	ou make an educated opinio	n on			<b>✓</b>			
How important and value ecosystem.	able is the presented pro	oblem and proposal solution t	o the		~				The successful implementation of this proposal could significantly enhance the functionality and inclusivity of the Kusama-based NFT ecosystem, promote
Promised work on define	ed budget presents a go	od ROI for community.			П	<b>~</b>			innovation, and strengthen the community-driven approach of the network.
Other remarks		,							While the proposal focuses on the immediate concern of integrating RMRKv2, it would be insightful to include a brief section on the long-term vision or roadmap
			Score			1			for KodaDot within the ecosystem.