




Kusama - Treasury Proposal Audit

Funded by: [OpenGovernance Proposal #67](#)



Auditor: CoinStudio

GRADE:

-11

Needs Improvement

Project name:

DeStore Network

Proponent:

Josiah Kotzur

Proposal URL:

<https://kusama.polkassembly.io/post/2552>

Audit date:

14/04/2023

Requested funding KSM/USD:

5000 KSM
180475 USD

Kusama Treasury status:

307720 KSM

Requested % of Treasury :

1.62%

Average Score per Category



Total Score per Category



General Comments:

The DeStore Network project aims to provide asolution for Web3 commerce. While the proposal demonstrates a clear vision and the team appears to be dedicated to achieving their goals, there are some areas that need further clarification. These include better-defined milestones, work tasks, and deliverables, as well as a more transparent breakdown of personal costs and a clear report on the progress made from the previous proposal.

Description	Score criteria					Comments
	2	1	0	-1	-2	(explain reasons why score differs from default score 0)
1. Information						
1.1 Project description and category, requested allocation and referenda origin call clear and accurate.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Room for improvement. The stated funding amount doesnt relate to the final payment amount defined in the budget section
1.2 Discussion topic open for a minimum period of one week. All the questions and concerns addressed and answered.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ongoing
Score				-1		
2. Context						
2.1 Project context and background presented in a clear terms which can be fully understood and assessed.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Score				0		
3. Problem						
3.1 The problem the proposal is trying to solve is explained in a clean and concise terms.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Score				0		
4. Proposal						
4.1 Proposal solution is described with a sufficient amount of information.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4.2 Similar projects or proposals listed and explained how they differ from this proposal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4.3 Milestones to achieve the goals of the project are clearly defined.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Given the significant funding requested in the proposal, it is recommended that the DeStore Network team clearly define their milestones and deliverables. This will help ensure that the project progress is measurable, and the use of funds can be effectively tracked and assessed.
4.4 Milestones are split into the smaller detailed work tasks with deliverables, resources and description.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	It is recommended to break down milestones into smaller, more specific work tasks. This will allow for a more transparent and efficient allocation of funds, as well as providing a clearer understanding of the project's progress
4.5 Timeline with tasks/activities listed in a chronological order is clear and accurate.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Timeline is not mentioned, only the 6 month period is mentioned. It is unclear if tasks from this proposals are overlapping with delayed tasks from the previous one.
Score				-3		
5. Budget						
5.1 Budget is clear and transparent and broken down into direct cost categories.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Although operational expenses, such as software costs, are clearly defined in the proposal, it remains unclear what specific work tasks are included in the personnel costs.
5.2 Budget costs are comparable to the similar treasury proposals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5.3 Final payment calculations and conditions are in line with proposed milestones.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Final payment calculation based on the monthly costs. Final amount includes extra 20% in case of price fluctuation that proposer stated to return.
Score				-1		
6. Deliverables						
6.1 Key deliverables are clear and outline progress towards the proposed solution.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	The final deliverables in the proposal are not clearly defined. Considering the significant funding amount requested, it is recommended to provide a more detailed description of the expected deliverables instead of leaving them as general comments.
6.2 Project objectives/success criteria is clearly defined with measurable targets where possible.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not mentioned.
6.3 Awareness of known conditions that may affect the project schedule, milestones, determined budget or project timeline.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not mentioned.
6.4 Reporting process is defined to inform the community about the progress and current status of the project.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not mentioned.
6.5 Clear communication strategy - where, when, what and who is going to present the information to the community and other relevant parties.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not mentioned. Social accounts linked.
Score				-4		
7. Team						
7.1 Team members that will actively work on the project are introduced with all relevant information.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
7.2 Reputation from previous involvements in the Kusama/Polkadot grants/bounties/tasks/treasury proposals.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	It is important to note that the report from the previous proposal have not been delivered, making it difficult to evaluate the success of the features funded in that proposals. For instance, it is unclear which Milestones and deliverables are completed and which are being delayed.
Score				-1		
8. Overall						
8.1 General quality of the proposal content (i.e. can you make an educated opinion on the proposal in less than 5 minutes?)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
8.2 How important and valuable is the presented problem and proposal solution to the ecosystem.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
8.3 Promised work on defined budget presents a good ROI for community.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Due to the absence of a report from the previous proposal, it is unclear whether the project has delivered on its initial promises (unknown outcome of the sales of the Kusama shirts).
8.4 Other remarks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Score				-1		