KUSAMA **Kusama - Treasury Proposal Audit** Funded by: OpenGovernance Proposal #67 **GRADE: Project name:** Polkadot.study **Proponent:** niftesty **Above** 6 **Proposal URL: A**verage Audit date: 16/2/2023 Requested funding: 1492 KSM Average Score per Category Total Score per Category **Grade Criteria Legend** 1. Information 1. Information Excellent >=15 5.0 Above Average >5 8. Overall 8. Overall 2. Context 2. Context 2.5 >-5 Meets Criteria Needs Improvement >-15 Unacceptable -2 -5.0 7. Team 3. Problem 7. Team 3. Problem Score Criteria Legend Excellent Above Average Meets Criteria 0 6. Deliverables 4. Proposal 6. Deliverables 4. Proposal **Needs Improvement** 5. Budget 5. Budget Unacceptable **General Comments:** Score criteria Comments Description 1 0 -1 -2 (explain reasons why score differs from default score 0) 1. Information Project description and category, requested allocation and referenda origin call clear and accurate. Discussion topic open for a minimum period of one week. All the questions and Discussion topic started on 25.01.2023. and open for more than 3 weeks. concerns addressed and answered. 1 Score Project context and background presented in a clear terms which can be fully understood and assessed. 0 Score 3. Problem The problem the proposal is trying to solve is explained in a clean and concise terms. 0 Score 4. Proposal Proposal solution is described with a sufficient amount of information. Good awareness on similar projects and proposals. Also listed several of existing Similar projects or proposals listed and explained how they differ from this proposal and proven solutions upon which the project will be built on. Milestones to achieve the goals of the project are clearly defined. Milestones are split into the smaller detailed work tasks with deliverables, resources Work is solit into a smaller work tasks that are explained in details making it clear what needs to be done in order to deliver the projecy. and description. Timeline with tasks/activities listed in a chronological order is clear and accurate. 2 Score 5. Budget Budget is clear and transparent and broken down into direct cost categories. Budget costs are similar to regular market rates. Very clear and transapent final payments where sources for tax calculation, KSM EMA7 and EUR/USD daily rates are noted. Score 2 for being the first audiet Final payment calculations and conditions are in line with proposed milestones. proposal with all reaource URL provided. 2 Score 6. Deliverables Key deliverables are clear and outline progress towards the proposed solution. I would like to see some more measurable targets in the end report or at suitable Project objectives/success criteria is clearly defined with measurable targets where time showing how many visitors and how many users successfully completed possible. Awareness of known conditions that may affect the project schedule, milestones, determined budget or project timeline. Not included in the proposal but stated in the response on the Polkassembly. I will Reporting process is defined to inform the community about the progress and accept that as Niklas was using a draft version of the proposal template where not current status of the project. everything was clear or finished. Clear communication strategy - where, when, what and who is going to present the ✓ ☐ Same as above. information to the community and other relevant parties. Score -1 7. Team Team members that will actively work on the project are introduced with all relevant information. Reputation from previous involvements in the Kusama/Polkadot Frontend-dev of https://proofofchaos.app grants/bounties/tasks/treasury proposals. 1 Score 8. Overall General quality of the proposal content (i.e. can you make an educated opinion on the proposal in less than 5 minutes?) How important and valuable is the presented problem and proposal solution to the ecosystem. Promised work on defined budget presents a good ROI for community. Extra point for splitting the funding with 80% paid upront and 20% paid upon Other remarks

Score