## **Kusama - Treasury Proposal Audit** Funded by: OpenGovernance Proposal #67 Auditor: Abdulbee (HBtJ7Poz9ya9pzS8AXK16tAqJ1qxXbVspkM9E6p57BJ1hJs) **GRADE: Project name:** Kusamahub HLgMNh3XE7WRQ3vfGThkT8Hv4USrnXB9u5LzKbKgvPVuSZh (Kusamahub) **Proponent: Meets Criteria Proposal URL:** https://kusama.polkassembly.io/referenda/142 Audit date: 31/03/2023 6,104 KSM **Kusama Treasury status:** 324,900 KSM **Requested funding** KSM/USD: 207,420 USD **Requested % of Treasury:** 1.88% Average Score per Category Total Score per Category **Grade Criteria Legend** 1. Information 1. Information Excellent >=15 5.0 Above Average >5 8. Overall 2. Context 8. Overall 2. Context 2.5 >-5 Meets Criteria 0.0 **Needs Improvement** Unacceptable 3. Problem 7. Team 3. Problem 7. Team **Score Criteria Legend** Excellent Above Average Meets Criteria 0 6. Deliverables 4. Proposal 6. Deliverables 4. Proposal **Needs Improvement** 5. Budget 5. Budget Unacceptable The proposal is well structured with a well defined budget. Proponent also made the community aware of the previous milestone for this proposal, which will help the community make better judgement as to whether to release more funds or not. But it would have been a good idea to keep this proposal in the discussion phase for **General Comments:** longer, so the community will have enough time to provide more input (especially since the request is almost 2% of treasury funds) Score criteria Comments Description 0 1 -1 (explain reasons why score differs from default score 0) 1. Information Would be good to update the "categories" section to better reflect the proposal. ie, Project description and category, requested allocation and referenda origin call clear select one or two major categories that this proposal falls under, rather than Discussion was open for only 4 days before onchain submission. for a request that Discussion topic open for a minimum period of one week. All the questions and accounts for almost 2% of treasury funds, a discussion period of at least 2 weeks concerns addressed and answered. would have been optimal. Score -2 2. Context Project context and background presented in a clear terms which can be fully understood and assessed. 0 Score 3. Problem The problem the proposal is trying to solve is explained in a clean and concise terms. 0 Score Proposal solution is described with a sufficient amount of information. Similar projects or proposals listed and explained how they differ from this proposal Milestones to achieve the goals of the project are clearly defined. Milestones broken down into sub-milestones, each with its own man-hours and Milestones are split into the smaller detailed work tasks with deliverables, resources and description. Timeline with tasks/activities listed in a chronological order is clear and accurate. Score 5. Budget A separate sheet was provided that contains a nitty-gritty breakdown of the Budget is clear and transparent and broken down into direct cost categories. budget and how they're distributed in individual departments. Budget costs are comparable to the similar treasury proposals. Final payment calculations and conditions are in line with proposed milestones. 2 Score 6. Deliverables Key deliverables are clear and outline progress towards the proposed solution. Project objectives/success criteria is clearly defined with measurable targets where possible. Awareness of known conditions that may affect the project schedule, milestones, determined budget or project timeline. Reporting process is defined to inform the community about the progress and Not included current status of the project. Clear communication strategy - where, when, what and who is going to present the Although there's a section that contains the contact address of the team, a information to the community and other relevant parties. communication strategy was not included Score -2 7. Team Team members that will actively work on the project are introduced with all relevant Reputation from previous involvements in the Kusama/Polkadot grants/bounties/tasks/treasury proposals. 0 Score 8. Overall General quality of the proposal content (i.e. can you make an educated opinion on the proposal in less than 5 minutes?) How important and valuable is the presented problem and proposal solution to the ecosystem. It'd be good for the proponent to explain better how this proposal will be of impact Promised work on defined budget presents a good ROI for community. in the community. That way, the community can better judge if it's a good ROI or not. Proposal is well structured and easy to navigate. Other remarks Score 0