

# Parallel Algorithms

## Parallelism

### ☞ *Machine parallelism*

- Processor parallelism
- Pipelining
- Very-Long Instruction Word (VLIW)


### ☞ *Parallel algorithms*

## To describe a parallel algorithm



### ☞ **P**arallel **R**andom **A**ccess **M**achine (PRAM)

### ☞ **W**ork-**D**epth (WD)

# Parallel Random Access Machine (PRAM)



*processor  $i$ :  $c := a + b$*



**for  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  pardo**  
 $A(i) := B(i)$


## To resolve access conflicts

- ☞ **E**xclusive-**R**ead **E**xclusive-**W**rite (EREW)
- ☞ **C**oncurrent-**R**ead **E**xclusive-**W**rite (CREW)
- ☞ **C**oncurrent-**R**ead **C**oncurrent-**W**rite (CRCW)
  - *Arbitrary rule*
  - *Priority rule* (P with the smallest number)
  - *Common rule* (if all the processors are trying to write the same value)

【Example】 The summation problem.

Input:  $A(1), A(2), \dots, A(n)$

Output:  $A(1) + A(2) + \dots + A(n)$



$$B(h, i) = B(h-1, 2i-1) + B(h-1, 2i)$$


## PRAM model

```

for  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  pardo
   $B(0, i) := A(i)$ 

```

$$T(n) = \log n + 2$$





☞ Does not reveal how the algorithm will run on PRAMs with different number of processors

☞ Fully specifying the allocation of instructions to processors requires a level of detail which might be unnecessary

## Work-Depth (WD) Presentation

```

for  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  pardo
   $B(0, i) := A(i)$ 
for  $h = 1$  to  $\log n$ 
  for  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n/2^h$  pardo
     $B(h, i) := B(h-1, 2i-1) + B(h-1, 2i)$ 
for  $i = 1$  pardo
  output  $B(\log n, 1)$ 
  
```



## Measuring the performance

- ☞ Work load – total number of operations:  $W(n)$
- ☞ Worst-case running time:  $T(n)$ 
  - $W(n)$  operations and  $T(n)$  time
  - $P(n) = W(n)/T(n)$  processors and  $T(n)$  time (on a PRAM)
  - $W(n)/p$  time using any number of  $p \leq W(n)/T(n)$  processors (on a PRAM)
  - $W(n)/p + T(n)$  time using any number of  $p$  processors (on a PRAM)

*All asymptotically equivalent*



```

for  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  pardo
   $B(0, i) := A(i)$ 
for  $h = 1$  to  $\log n$ 
  for  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n/2^h$  pardo
     $B(h, i) := B(h-1, 2i-1) + B(h-1, 2i)$ 
for  $i = 1$  pardo
  output  $B(\log n, 1)$ 

```

$$T(n) = \log n + 2$$

$$W(n) = n + n/2 + n/2^2 + \dots + n/2^k + 1 \quad \text{where } 2^k = n$$

$$= 2n$$


**【WD-presentation Sufficiency Theorem】** An algorithm in the WD mode can be implemented by *any*  $P(n)$  processors within  $O(W(n)/P(n) + T(n))$  time, using the same concurrent-write convention as in the WD presentation.

# 【Example】 Prefix-Sums.

Input:  $A(1), A(2), \dots, A(n)$

Output:  $\sum_{i=1}^1 A(i), \sum_{i=1}^2 A(i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n A(i)$

✂ Technique: **Balanced Binary Trees**



$$C(h, i) = \sum_{k=1}^{\alpha} A(k)$$

where  $(0, \alpha)$  is the *rightmost descendant leaf* of node  $(h, i)$ .

if (  $i == 1$  )  
 $C(h, i) := B(h, i)$   
 if (  $i \% 2 == 0$  )  
 $C(h, i) := C(h+1, i/2)$

if (  $i \% 2 == 1 \ \&\& \ i \neq 1$  )  $C(h, i) := C(h+1, (i-1)/2) + B(h, i)$

**for**  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  **par**do

$B(0, i) := A(i)$

$$T(n) = O(\log n) \quad W(n) = O(n)$$

☞ *The operations are charged to nodes of the **balanced binary tree***

[[**Example**]] Merging – merge two *non-decreasing* arrays  $A(1), A(2), \dots, A(n)$  and  $B(1), B(2), \dots, B(m)$  into another *non-decreasing* array  $C(1), C(2), \dots, C(n+m)$

✂ Technique: **Partitioning**

To simplify, assume:

1. the elements of A and B are pairwise distinct
2.  $n = m$
3. both  $\log n$  and  $n/\log n$  are integers

☞ **Partitioning Paradigm**

- *partitioning* - partition the input into a large number, say  $p$ , of *independent* small jobs, so that the size of the largest small job is roughly  $n/p$
- *actual work* - do the small jobs *concurrently*, using a separate (possibly serial) algorithm for each

## Merging $\longrightarrow$ Ranking

$\text{RANK}(j, A) = i$ , if  $A(i) < B(j) < A(i + 1)$ , for  $1 \leq i < n$

$\text{RANK}(j, A) = 0$ , if  $B(j) < A(1)$

$\text{RANK}(j, A) = n$ , if  $B(j) > A(n)$

The *ranking problem*, denoted  $\text{RANK}(A, B)$  is to compute:

1.  $\text{RANK}(i, B)$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and
2.  $\text{RANK}(i, A)$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$

**Claim:** Given a solution to the ranking problem, the merging problem can be solved in  $O(1)$  time and  $O(n+m)$  work.

```

for  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  pardo
     $C(i + \text{RANK}(i, B)) := A(i)$ 
for  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  pardo
     $C(i + \text{RANK}(i, A)) := B(i)$ 
  
```

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
A	11	12	15	17				
RANK( $i$ , B)	0	0	2	3				
B	13	14	16	18				
RANK( $i$ , A)	2	2	3	4				
C	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18

### ☞ Binary Search

```

for  $P_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$  pardo
  RANK( $i$ , B) := BS(A( $i$ ), B)
  RANK( $i$ , A) := BS(B( $i$ ), A)

```

$$T(n) = O(\log n)$$

$$W(n) = O(n \log n)$$

### ☞ Serial Ranking

```

i = j = 0;
while (  $i \leq n \parallel j \leq m$  ) {
  if (  $A(i+1) < B(j+1)$  )
    RANK(++i, B) = j;
  else RANK(++j, A) = i;
}

```


$$T(n) = W(n) = O(n + m)$$

## ☞ Parallel Ranking

Assume that  $n = m$  ; and that  $A(n+1)$  and  $B(n+1)$  are each larger than both  $A(n)$  and  $B(n)$ .

### Stage 1: Partitioning $p = n / \log n$

- $A\_Select(i) = A(1 + (i-1)\log n)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$   $T = O(\log n)$
- $B\_Select(i) = B(1 + (i-1)\log n)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$   $W = O(p \log n) = O(n)$
- Compute RANK for each *selected* element



### Stage 2: Actual Ranking

At most  $2p$  smaller sized ( $O(\log n)$ ) problems.

$$T = O(\log n)$$

$$W = O(p \log n) = O(n)$$

$$T = O(\log n), \quad W = O(n)$$

## 〔Example〕 Maximum Finding.

☞ Replace “+” by “max” in the summation algorithm

$$T(n) = O(\log n), \quad W(n) = O(n)$$

☞ Compare all pairs

```

for  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  pardo
     $B(i) := 0$ 
for  $i$  and  $j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$  pardo
    if (  $(A(i) < A(j)) \parallel ((A(i) = A(j)) \ \&\& \ (i < j))$  )
         $B(i) = 1$ 
    else  $B(j) = 1$ 
for  $P_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  pardo
    if  $B(i) == 0$ 
         $A(i)$  is a maximum in  $A$ 

 $T(n) = O(1), \quad W(n) = O(n^2)$ 
  
```

### Discussion 21:

How to resolve access conflicts?



## ☞ A Doubly-logarithmic Paradigm

Assume that  $h = \log \log n$  is an integer (  $n = 2^{2^h}$  ).

Partition by  $\sqrt{n}$  :

$$A_1 = A(1), \quad \dots, \quad A(\sqrt{n}) \Rightarrow M_1 \sim T(\sqrt{n}), W(\sqrt{n})$$

$$A_2 = A(\sqrt{n} + 1), \quad \dots, \quad A(2\sqrt{n}) \Rightarrow M_2 \sim T(\sqrt{n}), W(\sqrt{n})$$

... ..

$$A_{\sqrt{n}} = A(n - \sqrt{n} + 1), \quad \dots, \quad A(n) \Rightarrow M_{\sqrt{n}} \sim T(\sqrt{n}), W(\sqrt{n})$$

$$M_1, M_2, \dots, M_{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow A_{\max} \sim T = O(1), W = O(\sqrt{n}^2) = O(n)$$

$$T(n) \leq T(\sqrt{n}) + c_1, \quad W(n) \leq \sqrt{n} W(\sqrt{n}) + c_2 n$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = O(\log \log n), \quad W(n) = O(n \log \log n)$$

## ☞ A Doubly-logarithmic Paradigm

Partition by  $h = \log \log n$  :

$$A_1 = A(1), \quad \dots, \quad A(h) \quad \Rightarrow M_1 \sim O(h)$$

$$A_2 = A(h+1), \quad \dots, \quad A(2h) \quad \Rightarrow M_2 \sim O(h)$$

... ..


$$A_{n/h} = A(n-h+1), \quad \dots, \quad A(n) \quad \Rightarrow M_{n/h} \sim O(h)$$

$$M_1, M_2, \dots, M_{n/h} \Rightarrow A_{\max}$$

$$T(n) = O(h + \log \log(n/h)) = O(\log \log n)$$

$$W(n) = O(h \times (n/h) + (n/h) \log \log(n/h)) = \underline{O(n)}$$

- ☞ **Random Sampling**       $T(n) = O(1), W(n) = O(n)$   
 with *very high probability*, on an Arbitrary CRCW PRAM



## ☞ Random Sampling

$$M(n^{7/8}) \sim T = O(1), W = O(n)$$

```
while (there is an element larger than M) {  
  for (each element larger than M)  
    Throw it into a random place in a new B( $n^{7/8}$ );  
  Compute a new M;  
}
```

**【Theorem】** The algorithm finds the maximum among  $n$  elements. With very high probability it runs in  $O(1)$  time and  $O(n)$  work. The probability of *not finishing* within this time and work complexity is  $O(1/n^c)$  for some positive constant  $c$ .



## Research Project 7

### MapReduce (26)

**MapReduce is a programming model and an associated implementation for processing and generating large data sets with a parallel, distributed algorithm on a cluster. A MapReduce program is composed of a Map( ) procedure and a Reduce( ) procedure.**

**In this project, you are supposed to briefly introduce the framework of MapReduce, and implement a MapReduce program to count the appearance of each word in a set of documents.**

**Detailed requirements can be downloaded from**  
**<https://pintia.cn/>**

## Reference:

**Thinking in Parallel: Some Basic Data-Parallel Algorithms and Techniques; *Uzi Vishkin. Class notes, 2010***