

Week 6 - Resolution for Predicate Logic

Billy Price

Goal Make resolution work for predicate logic.

Prop: $P, Q, \neg R$

Pred: $P(x, a), Q(y), \neg R(y, z)$

Problem How do we deal with quantifiers?

Solution Transform formulas to remove quantifiers, but **preserve satisfiability**

SKOLEMIZATION

OLD

φ \rightsquigarrow φ'

$\exists x P(x) \rightsquigarrow P(a)$ fresh constant

$\forall x P(x) \rightsquigarrow P(x)$ fresh function symbol

"Why does this preserve satisfiability?"

Definition An interpretation I models a formula φ if for all valuations v , I makes φ true (using v).

$\forall x \forall y \exists z [P(x) \wedge Q(y) \wedge R(z)] \rightsquigarrow P(a) \wedge Q(b) \wedge R(f(x, y))$

φ satisfiable implies φ' satisfiable

"What's a valuation again?"

Definition A valuation $v: \text{vars} \rightarrow D$ is a function assigning the free variables of a formula to objects, and, by extension, compiles all terms to objects

Note: Quantifiers \forall and \exists modify the v when evaluating the inner formula of the quantifier

CONVERSION TO CLAUSAL FORM

- 1 Replace occurrences of \oplus , \Leftrightarrow , and \Rightarrow .
- 2 Drive negation in.
- 3 Standardise bound variables apart.
- 4 Eliminate existential quantifiers (Skolemize).
- 5 Eliminate universal quantifiers (just remove them).
- 6 Bring to CNF (using the distributive laws).

Do not pass this line until there are no " \oplus ", \Leftrightarrow ", " \Rightarrow ", and there are no " \neg " outside any quantifiers

Two contradictory formulas

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \forall x P(x) & \exists y \neg P(y) & \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \{P(x)\} \\ \{ \} \end{array} \right. , \quad \left. \begin{array}{c} \{\neg P(a)\} \\ \{ \} \end{array} \right\} & & \\ \diagdown x \mapsto a \quad \diagup & & \end{array}$$

Now we can resolve clauses as normal, we just need to **specialize** the variables in pairs of clauses, so they are talking about the same objects (via constants/functions)

UNIFICATION

1. $F(s_1, \dots, s_n) = F(t_1, \dots, t_n)$:
 - Replace the equation by the n equations $s_1 = t_1, \dots, s_n = t_n$.
2. $F(s_1, \dots, s_n) = G(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ where $F \neq G$ or $n \neq m$:
 - Halt, returning 'failure'.
3. $x = x$:
 - Delete the equation.
4. $t = x$ where t is not a variable:
 - Replace the equation by $x = t$.
5. $x = t$ where t is not x but x occurs in t :
 - Halt, returning 'failure'.
6. $x = t$ where t contains no x but x occurs in other equations:
 - Replace x by t in those other equations.

In general, we just need to spot a $P(\text{nm})$ in one clause and a $\neg P(\text{nm})$ in another, and then try to **UNIFY** the argument terms.

Note We can only really specialize variables e.g. $P(a)$ and $\neg P(b)$ do not contradict