

# 1 Rosenzweig-MacArthur consumer-resource model isoclines

Our Lotka-Volterra predator-prey model was one that tracked the rates of gains and losses of prey,  $V$ , and predator,  $P$ , populations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dV}{dt} &= \text{prey gains} - \text{prey losses} \\
 &= \text{density-independent growth} - \text{consumption of prey proportional to prey density} \\
 &= \alpha V - \beta bVP \\
 \frac{dP}{dt} &= \text{predator gains} - \text{predator losses} \\
 &= \text{predator gain through prey conversion} - \text{density-independent mortality} \\
 &= \gamma VP - \delta P.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Rosenzweig and MacArthur studied a model that modified two terms: (1) they replaced density-independent growth of prey with logistic/density-dependent growth and (2) they replaced consumption of prey proportional to prey density (type I functional response) with consumption of prey that includes the time it takes predators to handle the prey before returning to searching for prey (type II functional response). The predator-prey/consumer-resource model is now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dV}{dt} &= rV \left( \frac{K - V}{K} \right) - \frac{aV}{1 + ahV} P \\
 \frac{dP}{dt} &= c \frac{aV}{1 + ahV} P - mP.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

To find the nullclines for each we set each to 0 and algebraically rearrange and plot. For the prey equation, we can first factor out  $V$  and we know that  $V = 0$  is one nullcline. The other factor we can rearrange:

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= r \left( \frac{K - V}{K} \right) - \frac{a}{1 + ahV} P \\
 \frac{a}{1 + ahV} P &= r \left( \frac{K - V}{K} \right) \\
 \frac{1}{1 + ahV} P &= \frac{r}{a} \left( \frac{K - V}{K} \right) \\
 \frac{1}{1 + ahV} P &= \frac{r}{a} \left( \frac{K - V}{K} \right) \\
 P &= \frac{r}{a} \left( \frac{K - V}{K} \right) (1 + ahV) \\
 P &= \left( \frac{r}{a} - \frac{r}{Ka} V \right) (1 + ahV) \\
 P &= -\frac{rh}{K} V^2 + \left( rh - \frac{r}{Ka} \right) V + \frac{r}{a}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

This nullcline takes a quadratic form,  $0 = ax^2 + bx + c$ , so we can see that it is a convex parabola whose shape and location changes depending on parameters that affect  $a$ ,  $b$ , or  $c$ .

For the predator equation, we can first factor out  $P$  and we know that  $P = 0$  is one nullcline. The other factor we can rearrange:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= c \frac{aV}{1 + ahV} - m \\
c \frac{aV}{1 + ahV} &= m \\
\frac{aV}{1 + ahV} &= \frac{m}{c} \\
aV &= \frac{m}{c} (1 + ahV) \\
V &= \frac{m}{ac} (1 + ahV) \\
V &= \frac{m}{ac} + \frac{m}{ac} (ahV) \\
V - \frac{m}{ac} (ahV) &= \frac{m}{ac} \\
V(1 - \frac{mah}{ac}) &= \frac{m}{ac} \\
V \left( \frac{ac - mah}{ac} \right) &= \frac{m}{ac} \\
V &= \frac{m}{ac} \left( \frac{ac}{ac - mah} \right) \\
V &= \frac{m}{a(c - mh)}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here, the predator rate of change is at 0 when  $V =$  a combination of constants. This means  $V =$  a constant whose location changes depending on parameters in the numerator or denominator (e.g., increasing  $a$  will increase the denominator thereby shifting the line toward 0).

Together, the prey nullcline will be a convex parabola and the predator nullcline will be a line.

Predator ( $P$ )

