e doing the observing..,"
NCLUSION

June 1978, a French gornment UFO study roup EPAN) concluded that: everything taken into conderation, a material phemenon seems to be behind e totality of the phenmenon- a flying machine hose modes of sustenence ad propulsion are beyond ar knowledge." If the UFO phenomenon is indeed beyond the grasp of jur understanding-technotogically speaking-all the fore reason to strive towirds learning more about at. For although the Untted States may ignore the significance of the UFO henomenon, it is hardly reasonable to suppose that the rest of the world will

to so.

And there are other considerations besides national serity in following up the UK enigma. As a report from the National Security Agency in 1968 put it,

"Perhaps the UFO question might even make man undertake studies which could enable him to construct a society which is more conducive to developing a completely human being, healthy in all aspects of mind and body-and, most importantly, able to recognise and adapt to real environmental situations."


In isolating ourselves from the UFO phenomenon we may risk missing what could be the most important adventure man has yet embarked upon..

Reproduced in nearly all of the contxt from FRONTIERS OF SCIENCE sent to CNK in May-June 1981. (Not as at first stated at the beginning of the article.)

PART TWO: WHAT WE HAVE DISCOVERED ABOUT UFOS. Unmasking the fakes. In the next pages are some recent UFO pictures and some older ones. We would like to pass comments on them, and hopefully the reader may agree with us. If not, let us hear from you as to why?

IN 1978, two New Zealand cameramen, obviously using a video or similar camera, took what they thought was a UFO. Well maybe there was one. But the shot they also took with their camera might have been a bright planet. I have had the SAME effects on such equipment. Some video cameras tend to make streaks out of sharp bright lights. We do not think that the reproduced photo of the New Zealand sighting, which was announced on TV at the time, was a UFO flight path. We believe it was a television camera STREAK image. Therefore in our opinion this is a fake, unintentionally.





UFO Hight path in New Zealand last year

A UFO filmed for television in New Zealand last Inn

I believe that, 99.9% of all UFO sightings are seen at NIGHT. The very rare appearances these objects make in the daylight make up the rest. How can therefore, the media who print photos like the ones above declare for a FACT that the squiggle on the left is a "flight path of a UFO"? Were they THERE! It was ASSUMED too, the photo on the right, was nothing more that an out of focus shot of a lighthouse some miles away. As I remember it, the path of the aircraft was followed the next day, and several normal everyday items could account for these two photos above. Until further eveidence come out of niding, we will assume these are camera alurbs. Unless of course, you know better:

PHOTOS 1 & 2