

the pilot attempted to fire at the object.

The DIA evaluation officer refers to this incident as an "outstanding report," because the objects were seen by many witnesses of high credibility; the visual sightings had radar confirmation, similar electromagnetic effects were reported by three separate aircraft and physiological effects were reported by some of the crew members. Furthermore, the UFOs displayed an "inordinate amount of maneuverability."

A State Dept. message (March 7 1957 from the American embassy in Algiers tells of "Strange machines" seen near Algerian military installations by "respectable people. Some of the sightings were confirmed by radar. Another message from the US Embassy in Kuwait said that: (Nov '78) One UFO appearing over the northern oil fields "seemingly did strange things" to the pumping equipment. The pump is designed to shut down automatically if any failure occurs that could damage it. When the UFO appeared it shut down, But when the UFO "vanished," the system started up again automatically.

The presence of a highly sophisticated technology beyond our present-day

development seems obvious so WHY IS IT BEING IGNORED BY THE U.S. GOVERNMENT?

A QUESTION OF SURVIVAL

"IT WOULD SEEM A LITTLE MORE OF THIS SURVIVAL ATTITUDE IS CALLED FOR IN DEALING WITH THE UFOs. -National Security Agency 1968.

The evidence shows that some unconventional aerial object could provoke, intentionally or unintentionally, and international incident with serious repercussions. In March 1967, an intercept technician with the USAF Security Service intercepted a communication between the pilot of a Russian made Cuban MIG-21 and his command concerning a UFO encounter. The technician has since stated that when the pilot attempted to fire at the object, the MIG and its pilot were destroyed by the UFO. Furthermore, the technician alleges that all reports, tapes, etc., were forwarded to the National Security Agency at their request. Not surprisingly later they issued a report UFO HYPOTHESIS AND SURVIVAL QUESTION (Oct 1979) under the U.S. Freedom of Info. Act. It says: "The leisurely scientific approach has too often taken precedence in dealing with the UFO question."

The Agency concluded that no matter what UFO hypothesis is considered, "all of them have serious survival implications."

Comparing the UFO problem to a rattlesnake on a forest path, the NSA report says, "you would have to treat the alarm as if it were a real and immediate threat to your survival. Investigation would become an intensive emergency action to isolate the threat and to determine its precise nature. It would be geared to developing adequate defense measures in a minimum amount of time. It would seem a little more of this survival attitude is called for in dealing with the UFO problem.

THE U.S. GOVERNMENT AND UFOs "FURTHER SCIENTIFIC INVESTIGATION OF UFOs IS UNWARRANTED.

U.S. Air Force, 1980

Most disturbing is that after 32 years, a small percentage of UFOs still remains unidentified. The government has been concerned with the psychological danger of UFOs but has been unwilling to consider the prospect some UFOs pose an ACTUAL physical threat. Fearful of this, the government deliberately chooses to debunk UFO reports and has misinformed the public as to the true importance of the phenomenon.

UFOs that have done all that you have just read about-DOES WARRANT further scientific study. Awareness of an advanced technology

and potential threat is not an unreasonable pursuit. As the NAS agency indicates, it could be a matter of survival.

This document sent to CNK from 'someone' about 1981, I think, goes on to say that the now defunct USAF 20-year old PROJECT BLUE BOOK UFO STUDY never had a chance to receive the 'outstanding report' from Iran. An Air Force document states:

"Reports of UFOs which could affect national security are made in accordance with JANAP 146 or Air Force Manual 55-11 and are not part of the Blue Book system." The Air Force's investigations were criticised as long ago as 1952 by the CIA. They complained that the U.S. Air Force's case-by-case investigations and explanations, were insufficient to determine the exact nature of the phenomenon." The Air Force's sponsored "Condon Committee" study by the University of Colorado in 1968 never earnestly intended to investigate the physical reality of the phenomenon. Indeed, an early memorandum by one of Dr. Edward U. Condon's staff indicates otherwise:

"The trick would be, I think to describe the project so that to the public, it would appear a totally objective study...one way to do this would be to stress investigation, not of the physical phenomenon, but rather of the people who