A.2.2 Pharmacokinetics; a NLME comparison

Model description The 'one-compartment open model' is commonly used in pharmacokinetics. It can be described as follows. A patient receives a dose D of some substance at time t_d . The concentration c_t at a later time point t is governed by the equation

$$c_t = \frac{D}{V} \exp\left[-\frac{Cl}{V}(t - t_d)\right]$$

where V and Cl are parameters (the so-called 'Volume of concentration' and the 'Clearance'). Doses given at different time points contribute additively to c_t . Pinheiro & Bates (2000, Ch. 6.4) fitted this model to a dataset using the S-Plus routine nlme. The linear predictor used by Pinheiro & Bates (2000, p. 300) is:

$$\log(V) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 W t + u_V,$$

$$\log(Cl) = \beta_3 + \beta_4 W t + u_{Cl},$$

where Wt is a continuous covariate, and $u_V \sim N(0, \sigma_V^2)$ and $u_{Cl} \sim N(0, \sigma_{Cl}^2)$ are random effects. The model specification is completed by the requirement that the observed concentration y in the patient is related to the true concentration by $y = c_t + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ is a measurement error term.

Results Estimates of hyper-parameters are shown in the following table:

	β_1	eta_2	β_3	β_4	σ	σ_V	σ_{Cl}
ADMB-RE	-5.99	0.622	-0.471	0.532	2.72	0.171	0.227
Std. Dev	0.13	0.076	0.067	0.040	0.23	0.024	0.054
nlme	-5.96	0.620	-0.485	0.532	2.73	0.173	0.216

The differences between the estimates obtained with ADMB-RE and nlme are caused by the fact that the two methods use different approximations of the likelihood function. ADMB-RE uses the Laplace approximation, while the method used by nlme is described in Pinheiro & Bates (2000, Ch. 7).

The time taken to fit the model by ADMB-RE was 17 seconds, while the computation time for nlme (under S-Plus 6.1) was 7 seconds.

Files http://otter-rsch.com/admbre/examples/pheno/pheno.html

Bibliography

- ADMB Development Core Team (2009), An Introduction to AD Model Builder, ADMB project.
- ADMB Foundation (2009), 'ADMB-IDE: Easy and efficient user interface', *ADMB Foundation Newsletter* **1**, 1–2.
- Eilers, P. & Marx, B. (1996), 'Flexible smoothing with B-splines and penalties', Statistical Science 89, 89–121.
- Harvey, A., Ruiz, E. & Shephard, N. (1994), 'Multivariate stochastic variance models', *Review of Economic Studies* **61**, 247–264.
- Hastie, T. & Tibshirani, R. (1990), Generalized Additive Models, Vol. 43 of Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability, Chapman & Hall, London.
- Kuk, A. Y. C. & Cheng, Y. W. (1999), 'Pointwise and functional approximations in Monte Carlo maximum likelihood estimation', Statistics and Computing 9, 91–99.
- Lin, X. & Zhang, D. (1999), 'Inference in generalized additive mixed models by using smoothing splines', J. Roy. Statist. Soc. Ser. B **61**(2), 381–400.
- Pinheiro, J. C. & Bates, D. M. (2000), *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*, Statistics and Computing, Springer.
- Rue, H. & Held, L. (2005), Gaussian Markov random fields: theory and applications, Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- Ruppert, D., Wand, M. & Carroll, R. (2003), Semiparametric Regression, Cambridge University Press.

70 BIBLIOGRAPHY

Skaug, H. & Fournier, D. (2006), 'Automatic approximation of the marginal likelihood in non-gaussian hierarchical models', *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* **56**, 699–709.

Zeger, S. L. (1988), 'A regression-model for time-series of counts', Biometrika **75**, 621–629.