In lecture 16, we looked at precipitation amounts in Madison County (at Morrisville station). We found that the Weibull distribution had a good fit to the monthly precipitation amounts.

We found that the MLEs for the Weibull distribution were

$$\hat{a} = 2.1871$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = 3.9683$$

and

$$-\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\}|\mathbf{x}) = 2166.496$$

is the realized negative log-likelihood. Note this means that the log-likelihood is

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\}|\mathbf{x}) = -2166.496,$$

and the usual likelihood is

$$L(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\}|\mathbf{x}) = e^{[\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\}|\mathbf{x})]} \approx = e^{-2166.496}$$

which R cannot differentiate from 0.

- 1. Someone asked "why Weibull?" in class. That is, why wouldn't we use another right-skewed distribution like the Gamma (see Lecture 15), or the Log-Normal (see Lecture 17).
 - (a) Compute the MLEs for these data using a Gamma distribution. Solution: The MLEs for these data using a Gamma distribution are

$$\hat{a} = 4.17$$

$$\hat{\beta} = 1.19$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\beta}\}|\mathbf{x}) = -2151.149$$

(b) Compute the MLEs for these data using the Log-Normal distribution.

Solution: The MLEs for these data using a Log-Normal distribution are

$$\hat{\mu} = 1.131$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = 0.533$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}\}|\mathbf{x}) = -2204.201$$

(c) Compute the likelihood ratio to compare the Weibull and the Gamma distribution. Which has a better fit according to the likelihood ratio?

$$Q = \frac{L(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})}{L(\{\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}\} | \mathbf{x})} = e^{\left[\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{L}(\{\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}\} | \mathbf{x})\right]}$$

Solution: According to the likelihood ratio, the Weibull distribution has a better fit since the ratio has a value > 1, suggesting the top model (Weibull) as the better fit.

(d) Compute the likelihood ratio to compare the Weibull and the Log-Normal distribution. Which has a better fit according to the likelihood ratio?

$$Q = \frac{L(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})}{L(\{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})} = e^{[\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{L}(\{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})]}$$

Solution: According to the likelihood ratio, the Log-Normal distribution has a better fit since the ratio has a value < 1, suggesting the bottom model (Log-Normal) as the better fit.

(e) Compute the likelihood ratio to compare the Gamma and the Log-Normal distribution. Which has a better fit according to the likelihood ratio?

$$Q = \frac{L(\{\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}\} | \mathbf{x})}{L(\{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})} = e^{\left[\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}\} | \mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{L}(\{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})\right]}$$

Solution: According to the likelihood ratio, the Log-Normal distribution has a better fit since the ratio has a value < 1, suggesting the bottom model (Log-Normal) as the better fit

Code for Question 1:

```
rain.data = read_csv("agacis.csv") #Load the data set
## Rows: 115 Columns: 14
## -- Column specification
## Delimiter: ","
## chr (13): Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr, May, Jun, Jul, Aug, Sep, Oct, Nov, Dec, Annual
## dbl (1): Year
\#\# i Use 'spec()' to retrieve the full column specification for this data.
## i Specify the column types or set 'show_col_types = FALSE' to quiet this message.
rain.data <- rain.data |>
 select(-Annual) |>
                                                # Remove annual column
  pivot_longer(cols = c(Jan, Feb, Mar, Apr,
                                               # pivot the column data into one col
                        May, Jun, Jul, Aug,
               mutate(Precipitation = case_when(Precipitation == "M" ~ NA_character_;
                                                          ~ Precipitation))|>
                                    TRUE
 mutate(Precipitation = as.numeric(Precipitation))
MLE.Weibull <- function(par, #parameters arguement data, #dataframe arguement
                        neg=F) { #negative arguement
  #FUNCTION PURPOSE:
  #Use Maximum Loq Likelihood to estimate parameters for a Weibull distribution for
 #a given data set
a <- par[1] #Alpha parameter</pre>
  sigma <- par[2] #Sigma parameter
 #Calculate the log likelihood for given parameters
11.like <- sum(log(dweibull(x = data, shape = a, scale = sigma)), na.rm=T)</pre>
  return(ifelse(neg, -ll.like, ll.like)) #If neg is True, return the result as a negative
{\tt MLE.Weibull.data} \, \, {\tt \leftarrow optim(fn = MLE.Weibull, \# \textit{Using optim, estimate the parameters})} \, \,
                           par = c(1,1), #at which the log likelihood is at it's min
                           data = rain.data$Precipitation,
                           neg=T)
                                             #(i.e. finds the parameters for the data's distribution)
                                #dataframe argument
#parameters argument
MLE.Gamma <- function(data,</pre>
                       neg = FALSE) { #negative argument
  #FUNCTION PURPOSE:
  #Use Maximum Log Likelihood to estimate parameters for a Gamma distribution for
  #a given data set
  alpha <- para[1] #Alpha parameter
  beta <- para[2] #Beta parameter
  #Calculate the log likelihood for given parameters
  11.like <- sum(log(dgamma(data, shape = alpha, rate = beta)), na.rm = TRUE)</pre>
  return(ifelse(neg, -11.like, 11.like)) #If neg is True, return the result as a negative
MLE.Gamma.data <- optim(fn = MLE.Gamma, #Using optim, estimate the parameters
                                         #at which the log likelihood is at it's min
                         par = c(1,1),
                         data = rain.data$Precipitation,
                         neg = TRUE)
                                         #(i.e. finds the parameters for the data's distribution)
                                 #dataframe argument
MLE.LogNorm <- function(data,</pre>
                                        #parameters argument
                        para,
                         neg = FALSE) { #negative argument
```

```
#FUNCTION PURPOSE:
  #Use Maximum Log Likelihood to estimate parameters for a Log Normal distribution for
  #a given data set
  mu <- para[1]
                   #Mu parameter
  sigma <- para[2] #Sigma parameter
  #Calculate the log likelihood for given parameters
  11.like <- sum(log(dlnorm(data, meanlog = mu, sdlog = sigma)), na.rm = TRUE)</pre>
  return(ifelse(neg, -11.like, 11.like)) #If neg is True, return the result as a negative
{\tt MLE.LogNorm.data} \ {\tt <-optim(fn = MLE.LogNorm,} \ \ {\it \#Using optim, estimate the parameters}
                                              #at which the log likelihood is at it's min
                          data = rain.data$Precipitation,
                          neg = TRUE)
                                             #(i.e. finds the parameters for the data's distribution)
LR1 <- MLE.Weibull.data$value/MLE.Gamma.data$value #Calculates the Likelihood ratio for Weibull and Gamma distribution
LR2 <- MLE.Weibull.data$value/MLE.LogNorm.data$value #Calculates the Likelihood ratio for Weibull and Log Normal distribution
LR3 <- MLE.Gamma.data$value/MLE.LogNorm.data$value #Calculates the Likelihood ratio for Gamma and Log Normal distribution
```

- 2. Optional Coding Challenge. Choose the "best" distribution and refit the model by season.
 - (a) Fit the Distribution for Winter (December-February).Solution: The best fit distribution for Winter is a Weibull distribution.
 - (b) Fit the Distribution for Spring (March-May).

 Solution: The best fit distribution for Spring is a Lognormal distribution.
 - (c) Fit the Distribution for Summer (June-August).

 Solution: The best fit distribution for Summer is a Lognormal distribution.
 - (d) Fit the Distribution for Fall (September-November).

 Solution: The best fit distribution for Fall is a Lognormal distribution.
 - (e) Plot the four distributions in one plot using cyan3 for Winter, chartreuse3 for Spring, red3 for Summer, and chocolate3 for Fall. Note any similarities/differences you observe across the seasons.

Code for Question 2:

```
winter.data <- rain.data |>
  filter (Month %in% c("Dec", "Jan", "Feb")) #Filter only the winter months
spring.data <- rain.data |>
 filter(Month %in% c("Mar", "Apr", "May")) #Filter only the spring moneths
summer.data <- rain.data |>
 filter(Month %in% c("Jun", "Jul", "Aug")) #Filter only the summer months
fall.data <- rain.data |>
 filter(Month %in% c("Sep", "Oct", "Nov")) #Filter only the fall months
data.list <- list(winter = winter.data, #Place all the filtered data sets into a list
                 spring = spring.data,
                 summer = summer.data,
                 fall = fall.data)
best.fit.results = c() #Will store the best distribution for each season
for(data in data.list) { #For each season
  MLE.Weibull.data <- optim(fn = MLE.Weibull, #Gather the Log Likelihood estimate
                           par = c(1,1), #using optim
                           data = data$Precipitation,
                           neg=T)
 MLE.Gamma.data <- optim(fn = MLE.Gamma,
                                           #Gather the Log Likelihood estimate #using optim
                         par = c(1,1),
                          data = data$Precipitation,
                         neg = TRUE)
  MLE.LogNorm.data <- optim(fn = MLE.LogNorm, #Gather the Log Likelihood estimate
                           par = c(1,1), #using optim
                           data = data$Precipitation.
                           neg = TRUE)
 LR1 <- MLE.Weibull.data$value/MLE.Gamma.data$value #Calculates the Likelihood ratio for Weibull and Gamma distribution
```

```
LR2 <- MLE.Weibull.data$value/MLE.LogNorm.data$value #Calculates the Likelihood ratio for Weibull and Log Normal distribution
  LR3 <- MLE.Gamma.data$value/MLE.LogNorm.data$value #Calculates the Likelihood ratio for Gamma and Log Normal distribution
  if(LR1 > 1 && LR2 > 1){    #If Weibull is favored between the Gamma and Log Normal
   best.fit <- "Weibull" #Assign best fit to Weibull
  } else if(LR1 < 1 && LR3 > 1) {    #If Gamma is favored between the Weibull and Log Normal
    best.fit <- "Gamma"
                                  #Assign best fit to Gamma
  \} else if(LR2 < 1 && LR3 < 1) { #If Log Normal is favored between Weibull and Gamma
    best.fit <- "Log Normal"
                                   #Assign best fit to Log Normal
  } else {
    best.fit <- "Tie" #If there are two distributions with equal fits, assign best fit to be a tie
 best.fit.results <- c(best.fit.results, best.fit) #Store the best fit distributin for each season
winter.plot.data <- tibble(x = seq(0, 30, length.out = 1000)) %>% #Obtains data points to plot
 mutate(pdf = dweibull(x, shape = 2.47, scale = 3.35))
                                                                     #Winter data distribution
spring.plot.data <- tibble(x = seq(0, 30, length.out = 1000)) %>% #Obtains data points to plot
 mutate(pdf = dlnorm(x = x, meanlog = 1.135, sdlog = 0.487))
                                                                     #Spring data distribution
fall.plot.data <- tibble(x = seq(0, 30, length.out = 1000)) %>% #Obtains data points to plot
   mutate(pdf = dlnorm(x = x, meanlog = 1.130, sdlog = 0.619)) #Fall data distribution
ggplot() +
 geom_line(data = winter.plot.data, aes(x = x, y = pdf), color = "cyan3") + #draws winter distribution
  geom_line(data = spring.plot.data, aes(x = x, y = pdf), color = "chartreuse3") + #draws spring distribution curve
 geom_line(data = spring_libot.data, aes(x = x, y = pdf), color = "red3") + #draws summer_dstribution curve
geom_line(data = fall.plot.data, aes(x = x, y = pdf), color = "chocolate3") #draws fall distribution curve
```

