In lecture 16, we looked at precipitation amounts in Madison County (at Morrisville station). We found that the Weibull distribution had a good fit to the monthly precipitation amounts.

We found that the MLEs for the Weibull distribution were

$$\hat{a} = 2.1871$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = 3.9683$$

and

$$-\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\}|\mathbf{x}) = 2166.496$$

is the realized negative log-likelihood. Note this means that the log-likelihood is

$$\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\}|\mathbf{x}) = -2166.496,$$

and the usual likelihood is

$$L(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\}|\mathbf{x}) = e^{[\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\}|\mathbf{x})]} \approx = e^{-2166.496}$$

which R cannot differentiate from 0.

- 1. Someone asked "why Weibull?" in class. That is, why wouldn't we use another right-skewed distribution like the Gamma (see Lecture 15), or the Log-Normal (see Lecture 17).
 - (a) Compute the MLEs for these data using a Gamma distribution. Calculating the MLEs results in $\hat{\alpha}=4.174581$ and $\hat{\beta}=1.189099$.
 - (b) Compute the MLEs for these data using the Log-Normal distribution. Calculating the MLEs results in $\hat{\mu} = 1.131361$ and $\hat{\sigma} = 0.5333432$.
 - (c) Compute the likelihood ratio to compare the Weibull and the Gamma distribution. Which has a better fit according to the likelihood ratio?

$$Q = \frac{L(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})}{L(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\beta}\} | \mathbf{x})} = e^{\left[\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\beta}\} | \mathbf{x})\right]}$$

Calculating the likelihood ratio yields the result 2.161318e - 07, which indicates that gamma distribution is a better fit.

(d) Compute the likelihood ratio to compare the Weibull and the Log-Normal distribution. Which has a better fit according to the likelihood ratio?

$$Q = \frac{L(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})}{L(\{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})} = e^{[\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{a}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{L}(\{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})]}$$

Calculating the likelihood ratio yields the result 2.37062e + 16, which indicates that weibull distribution is a better fit.

(e) Compute the likelihood ratio to compare the Gamma and the Log-Normal distribution. Which has a better fit according to the likelihood ratio?

$$Q = \frac{L(\{\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}\} | \mathbf{x})}{L(\{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})} = e^{\left[\mathcal{L}(\{\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}\} | \mathbf{x}) - \mathcal{L}(\{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}\} | \mathbf{x})\right]}$$

Calculating the likelihood ratio yields the result 1.09684e + 23, which indicates that gamma distribution is a better fit.

- 2. Optional Coding Challenge. Choose the "best" distribution and refit the model by season.
 - (a) Fit the Distribution for Winter (December-February).
 - (b) Fit the Distribution for Spring (March-May).
 - (c) Fit the Distribution for Summer (June-August).
 - (d) Fit the Distribution for Fall (September-November).
 - (e) Plot the four distributions in one plot using cyan3 for Winter, chartreuse3 for Spring, red3 for Summer, and chocolate3 for Fall. Note any similarities/differences you observe across the seasons.