STRATIFICATION OF DISCRIMINANTS AND IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS

ELEONORE FABER, COLIN INGALLS, SIMON MAY, MARCO TALARICO

ABSTRACT. not very

Some facts about stratification of discriminants

Should define what a stratum is!

Essentially: Let X be an algebraic variety of dimension d, start with a filtration of $X = X_d \supseteq X_{d-1} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq X_1 \supseteq X_0$, such that each $X_i - X_{i-1}$ is a smooth open subvariety of X_i or empty. (Each X_i is a subvariety of dimension i of X) Then the connected components Z_α of $X_i - X_{i-1}$ are called the strata. Note that the Z_α are smooth but their closure $\overline{Z_\alpha}$ not necessarily. Note that X can be written as a disjoint union of its strata.

Some basics about reflection groups and discriminants: let G be a complex reflection group acting on the k-vectorspace V, $\dim(V) = n$. We denote by $S = \operatorname{Sym}_k(V) \cong k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $R = S^G$. By the Chevalley–Shephard–Todd theorem REF $R \cong k[f_1, \ldots, f_n]$, where the basic invariants f_i are algebraically independent and homogeneous. They are not unique but their degrees $d_i = \deg(f_i)$ are.

Denote by $\pi: V \to V/G$ the canonical projection. The quotient space V/G is $\operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and smooth of dimension $\dim(V)$. The image of the reflection arrangement $\mathcal A$ in V is the discriminant $V(\Delta)$ in V/G.

1. STRATIFICATION OF THE DISCRIMINANT OF S_n

Usually we restrict to the invariant hyperplane $V(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)$ in V, so that S_n acts on k^{n-1} . By the theorem of Chevalley–Shephard–Todd the invariant ring $R = S^G$ is isomorphic to a polynomial ring in n (or n-1) variables, generated by invariant polynomials f_1, \ldots, f_n , where each $f_i \in S$ can be chosen homogeneous of degree i. Note that the f_i are not unique but their degrees are.

Popular choices for the f_i are the p_i or the symmetric polynomials $s_i := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^i$.

For the case $G = S_n$ we have $A = V(\prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j))$ and Δ can be identified with the discriminant in a versal deformation of an A_n -singularity (see Arnold's paper REF).

This paragraph is a mess! More generally: Arnold proved this for all Coxeter groups with simply laced Dynkin diagrams, i.e. A_n , D_n , $E_{6,7,8}$: discriminant of the reflection group is difeomorphic to discriminant of versal deformations (there called singularities of wave fronts - the corresponding hyperplane arrangement is called caustic or bifurcation set

Keywords:

Date: February 23, 2021.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification:

in the dynamical systems speech). Later extended to crystallographic Coxeter groups: B_n , C_n , F_4 , G_2 by WEYL?! see Book Arnold-Varchenko-Gusein-Zade:1 and finally also to H_2 , H_3 , H_4 by Lyashko, cf. [Lja79, Lya83] (see paper by Shcherbak and its Mathsinet review by Janeczko for more detail)).

In particular, for any Coxeter group G one gets a natural stratification of the discriminant relating the strata to subgraphs of the Coxeter graph (see Section 6 of [Shc88]). In the case for $G = S_n$ the quotient V/G can be identified with the space of polynomials

$$F_n = x_n + \lambda_1 x^{n-1} + \ldots + \lambda_{n-1}$$

and the stratification of this space is given by the multiplicities of the roots of F_n . One can encode them into Young diagrams with n boxes and relate a subgraph of the Coxeter graph to each Young diagram (explained in [Shc88, p.185]).

This might not be standard convention but following Shcherbak We let $n \ge k_1 \ge k_2 \ge \cdots \ge k_s \ge 1$ be a partition of n (i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^s k_i = n$), and the corresponding Young diagram be given with s columns, where the i-th column consists of k_i boxes. (i.e., the trivial representation corresponds to the partition $(1, \ldots, 1)$).

Let us now prove an interesting fact that is mentioned in [Shc88, p.185] without proof:

Lemma 1.1. Consider $G = S_n$ acting on V. Then the closure of the stratum corresponding to a rectangular Young diagram is a smooth subvariety of $V(\Delta)$. Should be if and only if!

Proof. In order to have a rectangular Young diagram, n must be decomposable, say n = lk. Consider the partition (k, \ldots, k) corresponding to the rectangular Young diagram with $l \times k$ boxes. This means that a point in the corresponding stratum of V/G is the image of a point $p \in V$ of the form

$$p=(\underbrace{\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_1}_k,\ldots,\underbrace{\sigma_l,\ldots,\sigma_l}_k),$$

where $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$ for $i \neq j$ (to be precise: the whole S_n -orbit of p projects to the same point in V/G). This means that p lies in the intersection of $l\binom{k}{2} = \frac{n(k-1)}{2}$ hyperplanes in the reflection arrangement. Note: we are not restricting to the hyperplane $x_1 + \cdots + x_n$ yet. Take the power sums $s_m = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m$ as basic invariants of R, i.e., $R = S^{S_n} = k[s_1, \ldots, s_n]$. We can restrict to the hyperplane by setting $s_1 = 0$. Note in particular that the s_m are algebraically independent for $m = 1, \ldots, n$.

Now
$$\pi(p) = (s_1(p), \dots, s_n(p))$$
 evaluates to

$$(ks_1(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_l),\ldots,ks_l(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_l),\ldots,ks_n(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_l))$$
.

These are polynomials in the l distinct roots $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l$ Here this is a bit subtle: we denote also by s_m the power sums of the l variables, strictly speaking we should maybe denote them by \tilde{s}_m . Now since $\tilde{s}_1, \ldots, \tilde{s}_l$ are algebraically independent (they are the basic invariants for S_l), it follows that $\tilde{s}_i(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l)$ for $i = l + 1, \ldots, n$ is a polynomial P_i in the \tilde{s}_j for $j = 1, \ldots, l$. Hence the image of p can be written in the coordinates \tilde{s}_i as

$$(k\tilde{s}_1,\ldots,k\tilde{s}_l,kP_{l+1}(\tilde{s}_1,\ldots,\tilde{s}_l),\ldots,kP_n(\tilde{s}_1,\ldots,\tilde{s}_l))$$
.

This parametrizes an *l*-dimensional subvariety of V/G. The closure of this image has equations, now denoting the generators of S^{S_n} by y_1, \ldots, y_n :

$$y_{l+1}-kP_{l+1}(\frac{y_1}{k},\ldots,\frac{y_l}{k}),\ldots,y_n-kP_n(\frac{y_1}{k},\ldots,\frac{y_l}{k})$$
.

Lem:rectangular

Denote the ideal generated by these n-l equations by I. Then V(I) is a complete intersection subvariety of $V/G = \operatorname{Spec}(k[y_1, \ldots, y_n])$ of codimension n-l, i.e., V(I) has dimension l. Clearly (Jacobian criterion! - since $k \geq 2$, there is a $l \times l$ -minor of the Jacobian matrix of I that is the identity matrix \mathbb{I}_l) this subvariety is smooth and is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec}(k[y_1, \ldots, y_l])$.

-Simon-

Lemma 1.2. Consider $G = S_n$ acting on V. Then the closure of the stratum corresponding to the partition (j, n - j) where 0 < j < n and $j \neq \frac{n}{2}$ is a subvariety of $V(\Delta)$ isomorphic to a cusp singularity.

Proof. We note that when $j = \frac{n}{2}$, then the closure of the stratum corresponding to the partition $(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$ is smooth.

Again we take the powers sums $s_m = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m$ as basic invariants of R.

$$p = (\underbrace{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_1}_{j}, \underbrace{\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_2}_{n-j}),$$

Now $\pi(p) = (s_1(p), \dots, s_n(p))$ evaluates to

$$(j\sigma_1 + (n-j)\sigma_2, j\sigma_1^2 + (n-j)\sigma_2^2, ..., j\sigma_1^n + (n-j)\sigma_2^n)$$

Now restricting to the hyperplane $s_1 = 0$ we get the relation $-j\sigma_1 = (n-j)\sigma_2$, rearranging to get $\sigma_2 = -\frac{j}{(n-j)}\sigma_1$. After this restriction, the projection becomes:

$$\pi(p) = (s_1(p), \dots, s_n(p)) = (0, \frac{j(n-j) + (-j)^2}{n-j})\sigma_1^2, \dots, \frac{j(n-j)^{n-1} + (-j)^n}{(n-j)^{n-1}})\sigma_1^n).$$

Again if $j = \frac{n}{2}$ then only we see that $s_i(p) = 0$ for all odd i - leading to a parabola.

For cleanliness sake, Let $a_i := \frac{j(n-j)^{i-1} + (-j)^i}{(n-j)^{i-1}}$, the above becomes:

$$\pi(p) = (s_1(p), ..., s_n(p)) = (0, a_1\sigma_1^2, a_2\sigma_1^3, ..., a_{n-1}\sigma_1^n).$$

The closure of the image of π is a subvariety of $V(\Delta)$ given by the equations:

$$s_1, a_1^3 s_3^2 - a_2^2 s_2^3.$$

and let *m* be an integer such that 3 < m and $m = 2m_1 + 3$, then we get the relations:

$$a_1^{m_1}a_2s_m - a_{m-1}s_2^{m_1}s_3$$

Which is isomorphic (unneeded?) a cusp singularity.

2. Questions

- 1. Description of the other strata? In particular: which singularities arise (always the same ones?)? Find all strata for the *S*₅-discriminant
- 2. Similar statement as Lemma 1.1 for other complex reflection groups? At least for the crystallographic Coxeter groups?
- 3. Find the fitting ideals for the MCM-modules coming from the irreducible representations of G: do they correspond to certain strata (closures of them)? Which ones? Work this out for S_5 ! Also interesting: B_3
- 4. What about connection between discriminants of deformations and reflection groups for non-Coxeter groups: do we at least get some statement for the true reflection groups? Haven't really thought about this, but the literature is all about real reflection groups in Orlik-Terao is also stratification of discriminant for any complex reflection group via fitting ideals, but this is too coarse

3. General facts about discriminants

REFERENCES

[Lja79] O. V. Ljaško. The geometry of bifurcation diagrams. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 34(3(207)):205–206, 1979. 2

[Lya83] O. V. Lyashko. Geometry of bifurcation diagrams. In Current problems in mathematics, Vol. 22, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, pages 94–129. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1983. 2

[Shc88] O. P. Shcherbak. Wave fronts and reflection groups. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 43(3(261)):125–160, 271, 272, 1988. 2

School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT Leeds, UK

E-mail address: e.m.faber@leeds.ac.uk