

Chapter 4-[Number Theory](#)

Wednesday, December 28, 2022

1:27 AM

Number Theory:

Divisibility:

Definition: Suppose that a and b are integers. Then a divides b if $b = an$ for some integer n . a is called a factor or divisor of b . b is called a multiple of a .

The shorthand for a divides b is $a \mid b$. Be careful about the order. The divisor is on the left and the multiple is on the right.

$$7 \mid 77$$

$$77 \nmid 7$$

$$7 \mid 7 \text{ because } 7 = 7 \cdot 1$$

$$3 \mid (-12) \text{ because } -12 = 3 \cdot -4$$

Proof with divisibility:

Claim 20 *For any integers a, b , and c , if $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$ then $a \mid (b + c)$.*

Proof: Let a, b , and c and suppose that $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$.

Since $a \mid b$, there is an integer k such that $b = ak$ (definition of divides). Similarly, since $a \mid c$, there is an integer j such that $c = aj$. Adding these two equations, we find that $(b + c) = ak + aj = a(k + j)$. Since k and j are integers, so is $k + j$. Therefore, by the definition of divides, $a \mid (b + c)$. \square

Try to keep proofs using only integers, construct math from the ground up, this helps prevent errors.

Notice that $k \mid (a - b)$ if and only if $k \mid (b - a)$.

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic: Every integer 2 can be written as the product of one or more prime factors. Except for the order in which you write the factors, this prime factorization is unique.

For example, $260 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$ and $180 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$.

Zero is neither prime nor composite, there **infinitely many** ways to factor 0.

Formula for Least Common Multiple (lcm):

$$\text{lcm}(a, b) = \frac{ab}{\text{gcd}(a, b)}$$

Where gcd is the Greatest Common Divisor function.

(use the *Euclidean Algorithm*, or manually inspect two numbers' prime factorizations and extract shared factors to find gcd)

When two integers a and b share no common factors, then $\text{gcd}(a, b) = 1$. The two integers are called **Relatively Prime**.

Theorem 1 (Division Algorithm) *The Division Algorithm: For any integers a and b , where b is positive, there are unique integers q (the quotient) and r (the remainder) such that $a = bq + r$ and $0 \leq r < b$.*

Remainder is required to be non-negative!

So -10 divided by 7 has the remainder 4, because $-10 = 7 \cdot (-2) + 4$.

(don't be like me and forget how to do basic division)

Claim 23 *For any integers a , b , q and r , where b is positive, if $a = bq + r$, then $\text{gcd}(a, b) = \text{gcd}(b, r)$.*

Proof: Suppose that n is some integer which divides both a and b . Then n divides bq and so n divides $a - bq$. (E.g. use various lemmas about divides from last week.) But $a - bq$ is just r . So n divides r .

By an almost identical line of reasoning, if n divides both b and r , then n divides a .

So, the set of common divisors of a and b is exactly the same as the set of common divisors of b and r . But $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ and $\text{gcd}(b, r)$ are just the largest numbers in these two sets, so if the sets contain the same things, the two gcd's must be equal.

(to prove the 2 have the same common divisors, we just need to prove that given $x|a$ and $x|b$, we can get $x|r$ and $x|b$ (and vice versa), so the two sets are the same)

Corollary means that a fact is a really easy consequence of a previous claim.
(in the above case, concluding that $\gcd(a,b)$ and $\gcd(b,r)$ are the same from the proven claim-the set of common divisors of (a,b) is the exact same as the set of common divisors of (b,r) -is a corollary)

In *Modular Arithmetic*, there are only a finite set of numbers, addition “wraps around” from the highest number to the lowest one.

Congruence Mod K:

Two integers are “congruent mod k ” if they differ by a multiple of k .

Formal Definition:

Definition: If k is any positive integer, two integers a and b are congruent mod k (written $a \equiv b \pmod{k}$) if $k \mid (a - b)$.

Examples:

$$3 \equiv 10 \pmod{7}$$

$$3 \equiv 38 \pmod{7} \text{ (Since } 38 - 3 = 35.)$$

$$38 \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$$

$$-3 \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \text{ (Since } (-3) + 7 = 4.)$$

$$-3 \not\equiv 3 \pmod{7}$$

$$-3 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$$

Modular Arithmetic Proof:

Claim 24 For any integers a, b, c, d , and k , k positive, if $a \equiv b \pmod{k}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{k}$, then $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{k}$.

Proof: Let a, b, c, d , and k be integers with k positive. Suppose that $a \equiv b \pmod{k}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{k}$.

Since $a \equiv b \pmod{k}$, $k \mid (a - b)$, by the definition of congruence mod k . Similarly, $c \equiv d \pmod{k}$, $k \mid (c - d)$.

Since $k \mid (a - b)$ and $k \mid (c - d)$, we know by a lemma about divides (above) that $k \mid (a - b) + (c - d)$. So $k \mid (a + c) - (b + d)$

But then the definition of congruence mod k tells us that $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{k}$. \square

Congruence Class/Equivalence Class:

The equivalence class of x (written $[x]$) is the set of all integers congruent to x mod k . (with k being fixed and x being the variable)

For example, if k is fixed to be 7,

$$[3] = \{3, 10, -4, 17, -11, \dots\}$$

$$[1] = \{1, 8, -6, 15, -13, \dots\}$$

$$[0] = \{0, 7, -7, 14, -14, \dots\}$$

Modular Congruence Rules:

$$[x] + [y] = [x + y]$$

$$[x] * [y] = [x * y]$$

For example, the addition and multiplication tables for \mathbb{Z}_4 are:

$+_4$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$	$[3]$
$[0]$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$	$[3]$
$[1]$	$[1]$	$[2]$	$[3]$	$[0]$
$[2]$	$[2]$	$[3]$	$[0]$	$[1]$
$[3]$	$[3]$	$[0]$	$[1]$	$[2]$

\times_4	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]	[0]
[1]	[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]
[2]	[0]	[2]	[0]	[2]
[3]	[0]	[3]	[2]	[1]