

Question 1. Convert the following set of sentences into the conjunctive normal form (CNF).

S1: $A \Leftrightarrow (B \vee E)$

Biconditional elimination: $(A \Rightarrow B \vee E) \wedge (B \vee E \Rightarrow A)$

Implication elimination: $(\neg A \vee B \vee E) \wedge (\neg (B \vee E) \vee A)$

De Morgan: $(\neg A \vee B \vee E) \wedge (\neg B \wedge \neg E) \vee A$

Distributivity Law: $(\neg A \vee B \vee E) \wedge (\neg B \vee A) \wedge (\neg E \vee A)$

CNF: $(\neg A \vee B \vee E) \wedge (\neg B \vee A) \wedge (\neg E \vee A)$

S2: $E \Rightarrow D$

Implication elimination: $\neg E \vee D$

CNF: $\neg E \vee D$

S3: $C \wedge F \Rightarrow \neg B$

Implication elimination: $\neg(C \wedge F) \vee \neg B$

De Morgan: $(\neg C \vee \neg F) \vee \neg B$

CNF: $\neg C \vee \neg F \vee \neg B$

Question 2. Assuming predicates $\text{Parent}(p, q)$ and $\text{Female}(p)$ and constants Joan and Kevin, with the obvious meanings, express each of the following sentences in first-order logic. (You may use the abbreviation \exists^1 to mean “there exists exactly one.”)

1. *Joan has a daughter (possibly more than one, and possibly sons as well).*
 $\exists x \text{Female}(x) \wedge \text{Parent}(\text{Joan}, x)$
There exists an x such that x is a female and Joan is the parent of x .
2. *Joan has exactly one daughter (but may have sons as well).*
 $\exists^1 x \text{Female}(x) \wedge \text{Parent}(\text{Joan}, x)$
There exists exactly one x such that x is a female and Joan is the parent of x .
3. *Joan has exactly one child, a daughter.*
 $\exists^1 x \text{Parent}(\text{Joan}, x) \wedge \text{Female}(x)$
There exists exactly one x such that Joan is the parent of x and x is a female.
4. *Joan and Kevin have exactly one child together.*
 $\exists^1 x \text{Parent}(\text{Joan}, x) \wedge \text{Parent}(\text{Kevin}, x)$
There exists exactly one x such that Joan is the parent of x and Kevin is the parent of x .
5. *Joan has at least one child with Kevin, and no children with anyone else.*
 $(\exists x \text{Parent}(\text{Joan}, x) \wedge \text{Parent}(\text{Kevin}, x)) \wedge (\neg \exists y \text{Parent}(\text{Joan}, y) \wedge \neg \text{Parent}(\text{Kevin}, y))$
There exists an x such that Joan is the parent of x and Kevin is the parent of x and there doesn't exist a y such that Joan is the parent of y and Kevin is not the parent of y .

A horizontal number line is shown with tick marks at intervals of 1 unit. The line is labeled with numbers 0, 2, 5, 9, 10, 15, and 20. Above the line, points A, B, C, D, and E are marked with vertical lines. Point A is at 2, B is at 5, C is at 9, D is at 10, and E is at 15.

(a) Assume $K = 2$ and the two initial centroids are 3 and 4.

Customer	Rating	Distance to Centroid 1	Distance to Centroid 2			Distance to Centroid 1	Distance to Centroid 2			Distance to Centroid 1	Distance to Centroid 2
A	2	1	2			0	7.75			1.5	9.33
B	5	2	1			3	4.75			1.5	6.33
C	9	6	5			7	0.75			5.5	2.33
D	10	7	6			8	0.25			6.5	1.33
E	15	12	11			13	5.25			11.5	3.67
Centroid 1	3			New Centroid 1	2			New Centroid 1	3.5		
Centroid 2	4			New Centroid 2	9.75			New Centroid 2	11.33333		

1. Clusters = {2,5} and {9,10,15}
2. Silhouette Coefficient

[illegible]

Davies-Bouldin Index

		ci	cj	dij				
Customer	Rating	3.5	11.33	7.83				
A	2	1.5						
B	5	1.5						
C	9		2.33					
D	10		1.33					
E	15		3.67					
		si	sj		Rij	Di	Dj	DB
		1.5	2.443333		0.503619	0.503619	0.503619	0.503619

Calinski-Harabasz Index

		ci	cj	c					
Customer	Rating	3.5	11.33	8.2					
A	2	1.5			44.18	k=1	2.25	i=1	
B	5	1.5					2.25	i=2	
C	9		2.33		29.3907	k=2	5.4289	i=3	
D	10		1.33				1.7689	i=4	
E	15		3.67				13.4689	i=5	
					Sum of nk ck - c ^2 / K - 1 for each cluster		Sum of di - ck ^2 for each point for each cluster / N - K		CH
					73.5707		8.3889		8.770006

(b) Assume $K = 2$ and the two initial centroids are 11 and 12.

Customer	Rating	Distance to Centroid 1	Distance to Centroid 2			Distance to Centroid 1	Distance to Centroid 2
A	2	9	10			4.5	13
B	5	6	7			1.5	10
C	9	2	3			2.5	6
D	10	1	2			3.5	5
E	15	4	3			8.5	0
Centroid 1	11			New Centroid 1	6.5		
Centroid 2	12			New Centroid 2	15		

1. Clusters = {2,5,9,10} and {15}
2. Silhouette Coefficient

Customer	Rating	2	5	9	10	15	Intra-Cluster Distance [a(i)]	Inter-Cluster Distance [b(i)]	Silhouette Coefficient [s(i)]	
A	2		3	7	8	13	6	13.00	0.54	
B	5	-3		4	5	10	4	10.00	0.60	
C	9	-7	-4		1	6	4	6.00	0.33	
D	10	-8	-5	-1		5	4.67	5.00	0.07	
E	15	-13	-10	-6	-5		0	8.5	0.00	since $ C_i = 1$
									0.31	

Davies-Bouldin Index

		c_i	c_j	d_{ij}				
Customer	Rating	6.5	15	8.5				
A	2	4.5						
B	5	1.5						
C	9	2.5						
D	10	3.5						
E	15		0					
		s_i	s_j		R_{ij}	D_i	D_j	DB
		3	0		0.352941	0.352941	0.352941	0.352941

Calinski-Harabasz Index

		c_i	c_j	c					
Customer	Rating	6.5	15	8.2					
A	2	4.5			11.56		20.25		
B	5	1.5					2.25		
C	9	2.5					6.25		
D	10	3.5					12.25		
E	15		0		46.24		0		
					Sum of $n_k c_k - c ^2 / K - 1$ for each cluster		Sum of $ d_i - c_k ^2$ for each point for each cluster / $N - K$		CH
					57.8		13.66666667		4.229268

(c) Use the results from (a) and (b) to determine which two-cluster solution should be chosen. Please describe and explain your answer in detail.

Comparing the Silhouette Coefficient Index, Davies–Bouldin Index, and Calinski-Harabasz Index of each set of clusters, it would appear that {2,5} and {9,10,15} should be chosen. This cluster solution offers both a higher Silhouette and Calinski-Harabasz Index. The Davies-Bouldin Index is skewed toward for {2,5,9,10} and {15} since the average distance to the centroid for the {15} cluster is 0 lowering the DB Index below that of {2,5} and {9,10,15}. The {2,5} and {9,10,15} option also offers a lower SSE value of 25.16 compared to 41 for {2,5,9,10} and {15}.