

Selecting the discrete time motion noise covariance

Sensor fusion & nonlinear filtering

Lars Hammarstrand

CONTINUOUS-TIME MOTION NOISE

- Consider a linear continuous-time DE, $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ is the motion noise.
- We usually assume that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ is a white Gaussian noise process:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)\} = 0 & \text{i.e., zero mean} \\ \text{Cov}\{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau_1), \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau_2)\} = \delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2)\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} & \text{i.e., uncorrelated} \end{cases}$$

CONTINUOUS-TIME MOTION NOISE

- Consider a linear continuous-time DE, $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ is the motion noise.
- We usually assume that $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ is a white Gaussian noise process:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)\} = 0 & \text{i.e., zero mean} \\ \text{Cov}\{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau_1), \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau_2)\} = \delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2)\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} & \text{i.e., uncorrelated} \end{cases}$$

- A simple example:** the Wiener process $\mathbf{w}(t) = \int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau) d\tau$, which has zero mean and covariance

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\{\mathbf{w}(t)\mathbf{w}(t)^T\} &= \mathbb{E}\left\{\int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau_1) d\tau_1 \int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau_2)^T d\tau_2\right\} \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^t \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau_1)\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau_2)^T\}}_{\delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2)\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}} d\tau_2 d\tau_1 = \int_0^t 1 d\tau_1 \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = t\tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \end{aligned}$$

DISCRETE-TIME MOTION NOISE

- Consider a linear continuous-time DE

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t),$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$ is a white Gaussian noise process.

- We seek a discrete time motion model

$$\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{A}_{k-1}\mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \mathbf{q}_{k-1}, \quad \mathbf{q}_{k-1} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_{k-1}),$$

but how can we select \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} ?

- Note:

- $\mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = \text{Cov}\{\mathbf{q}_{k-1}\} = \text{Cov}\{\mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{x}_{k-1}\} = \text{Cov}\{\mathbf{x}(t+T) | \mathbf{x}(t)\}.$

EXACT SOLUTION FOR LINEAR SYSTEMS

- When $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$, then

$$\mathbf{x}(t+T) = \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}T)\mathbf{x}(t) + \underbrace{\int_0^T \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tau) \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau) d\tau}_{\mathbf{q}_{k-1}}$$

$\mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{A}_{k-1} \mathbf{x}_{k-1} + \mathbf{q}_{k-1}$

- The discrete time noise covariance is therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Q}_{k-1} &= \text{Cov}\{\mathbf{x}(t+T) | \mathbf{x}(t)\} \\ &= \text{Cov} \left\{ \int_0^T \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tau) \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau) d\tau \right\} = \dots \\ &= \int_0^T \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tau) \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T\tau) d\tau\end{aligned}$$

MODIFIED EULER METHOD

- Given a DE $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$
- We can view the Euler method as the approximation

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{x}}(\tau) &\approx \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t) \quad \text{for all } \tau \in [t, t+T] \\ \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}(t+T) &= \mathbf{x}(t) + T(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t))\end{aligned}$$

Modified Euler

- In the modified Euler method, we use $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(\tau) \approx \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}(t+T) &= \mathbf{x}(t) + \int_t^{t+T} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \mathbf{x}(t) + \underbrace{\int_t^{t+T} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) d\tau}_{T\tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}(t))} + \int_t^{t+T} \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\tau) d\tau. \\ \Rightarrow \text{Cov}\{\mathbf{x}(t+T) | \mathbf{x}(t)\} &\approx T\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}.\end{aligned}$$

TWO METHODS TO SELECT \mathbf{Q}_{K-1}

- We have proposed two methods to select the noise covariance matrix.

For linear continuous time systems

- When $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$, we can use

$$\mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = \int_0^T \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tau) \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T\tau) d\tau$$

For nonlinear continuous time systems

- For linear and nonlinear systems $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$, we can use

$$\mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = T\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$$

THE CONSTANT VELOCITY MODEL

- In many cases, the motion noise is zero on some of the state variables.
- Using a matrix Γ , we can then express the motion noise as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t) = \Gamma \mathbf{q}_c(t)$$

where $\mathbf{q}_c(t)$ is the motion noise in some dimensions.

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \Gamma \mathbf{Q}_c \Gamma^T$$

- The **constant velocity model** is a good example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{p}(t) \\ \dot{v}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}} \begin{bmatrix} p(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\Gamma} q_c(t) \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \overset{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}{\Gamma} \overset{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}{\mathbf{Q}_c} \Gamma^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_c \end{bmatrix}$$

SELF ASSESSMENT, PART 1

Things we know about CV and the modified Euler method:

- For linear and nonlinear systems $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}(t)) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$, we can use $\mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = T\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}$.
- The constant velocity model can be described as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{p}(t) \\ \dot{v}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} q_c(t) \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_c \end{bmatrix}$$

- The modified Euler method thus suggests that we use

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T^2 \end{bmatrix} Q_c, \\ \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & T \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, & \cdot \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} Q_c T \end{aligned}$$

Check the correct statement.

SELF ASSESSMENT, PART 2

Summary of CV and the exact solution for linear systems:

- When $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x}(t) + \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(t)$, we can use $\mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = \int_0^T \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tau) \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T\tau) d\tau$
- We know that for the constant velocity model we have:

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{Q}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_c \end{bmatrix}, \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \tau \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \exp(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \tau & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The exact solution for linear systems is

$$\bullet \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} T^3 & T^2 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix} Q_c$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} T^3/3 & T^2/2 \\ T^2/2 & T \end{bmatrix} Q_c$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} T^2 & T \\ T & 1 \end{bmatrix} Q_c$$

$$\bullet \mathbf{Q}_{k-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix} Q_c$$

Check the correct statement.