



Hello Judges! Thanks so much for your help with reviewing the competition entries.

Here's the process you will use to review the team submissions. Get ready to send in your scores and comments, and come prepared to the final event ready for judging!

- Dec 6: entries submitted to GitHub, OIT Tech Team documents completeness
- Dec 7-10: Judges receive email from Jon Gottsegen with details on how to review entries on GitHub, using ppt slides as "Virtual Presentation" to complete the judges scoresheets.
- Dec 11: At the final event, teams have demos on tabletops with their own computers along with appetizers and mingling. Attendees ask questions and walk around to see demos. 30 minutes before winners are announced, judges will meet with fellow judges to discuss determine each category winner.
 - 3-4:30 all teams have demos on tabletops with their own computers along with appetizers and mingling
 - Judges bring printed version of scoresheet and a pencil to make additional comments after talking with teams. Judges can also make a decision solely on the GitHub submissions, and viewing the tabletop is not mandatory.
 - 4:30-5:00 Judges final deliberation in conference room
 - 5pm The winners are announced

How to view repos and presentations in GitHub

Only one team submitted a final presentation in the Smart Cities Category: the [Data Tigers](#).

How to Score the Teams

Dec 7 - Dec 11

1. Begin by navigating to the the [Analytics Challenge Judges Toolkit](#).
2. **Download** a copy and open the [scoresheet template from github](#) on your local machine, and save it as "scoresheet_yourName" for when you email it to the CDO (jon.gottsegen@state.co.us).

- a. Rename the tables in your document to reflect the teams you are judging for your category, and include your name for when they are printed.
 - b. Also download a copy of the “Judges Manual” for your competition category.
3. **Look** at each team’s ppt in their repo and use the judging criteria to determine their scores based on how they articulate their effort in each scoring category in their ppt.
 - a. Use the [Data Analytics Judging Criteria](#)
 - b. When necessary, look further into their repo to see details that the reference in their ppt.
 - c. Specifically for the “presentation” score, use their ppt in their repo as a “Virtual Presentation” - how easy is it for you to understand their concept, analysis and results as well as access any details in other materials in the repo.
4. **Score** each team using your computer, it will be easier to make changes as you work your way through the teams.
 - a. Provide a score 1-5 (higher scores are better scores).
 - i. You do NOT need to total the scores or calculate the weights at this time.
 - b. After you have scored all the teams, use the COMMENTS to write-in your “1st”, “2nd” and “3rd” choices for the winner of the category.
5. **Finalize** Scores, Ranks and Comments
 - a. After emailing, print out and bring to judging
 - b. Printed version can be used for additional notes and for comparing notes with other judges and to determine the category winner in the event there is a tie in the judges selection for 1st place.

Dec 11

6. The night of the event there will be 3 judges per category (9 judges total to cover the three topic areas).
 - a. Prepare to have a conversation with your fellow judges.
 - b. The first step will be to see if there is a clear cut winner.
 - i. Score Sheets and notes will be used to look at individual scores in the event of a tie for winner.
7. During the event you have opportunity to review entries, and modify scores as you see fit, up until 4:30pm on December 11th when the group judging begins.
 - a. That being said, we are requesting you email the spreadsheet and scores as they stand before you go to the event on the 11th. Reminder -- when you have completed your spreadsheet, email it to jon.gottsegen@state.co.us.
 - b. This will give the CDO an opportunity to view the scores from all the judges before the event, and facilitate event logistics
 - c. 5pm -- Winners Announced!
 - i. Following the official judges deliberation time.