

Selected Exercises §18

Colton Kinstley

June 20, 2018

Question 2

Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous. If x is a limit point of the subset A of X , is it necessarily true that $f(x)$ is a limit point of $f(A)$?

Solution

Not necessarily. Consider any constant function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by $f(x) = a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then given any $A \neq \emptyset$ we have $f(A) = \{a\}$. But, this set has no limit points. For a counter example to the claim take $A = (0, 1)$ this set has limit points $A' = [0, 1]$. In particular $f(1) = a$, but any neighborhood of $f(1)$ intersects $\{a\}$ only at $f(1) = a$ and thus is not a limit point of $f(A)$.

Question 7a

Claim

Suppose $f : \mathbb{R}_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then f is continuous if and only if

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a^+} f(x) = f(a).$$

Proof

Suppose the above limit holds. Then by the definition of this limit we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } \forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t.} \\ &a < x < a + \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Given any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and neighborhood V of a there exists a basis element (c, d) such that $a \in (c, d) \subset V$. Take $\epsilon = \min \{f(a) - c, f(a) + d\}$, and set $V^* = (f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon) \subset V$. Then by hypothesis there exists $U = [a, a + \delta)$ such that $f(U) \subset f(V^*) \subset V$. But, U is

a neighborhood containing a and since a and V were chosen arbitrarily we have satisfied equivalence (4) of theorem 18.1 [Munkres] and may conclude that f is continuous.

Conversely, suppose f is continuous. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ note that

$$V = (f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon)$$

is a neighborhood containing $f(a)$. Thus there exists a neighborhood U of a open in \mathbb{R}_ℓ such that $a \in U$ and $f(U) \subset V$. Let $[b, c) \subset U$ be a basis element containing a . Then take $\delta = c - a$ to get $[a, a + \delta) \subset [b, c) \subset U$. Since

$$f([a, a + \delta)) \subset f(U) \subset V = (f(a) - \epsilon, f(a) + \epsilon)$$

we have that for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ there is a δ such that $a < x < a + \delta$ implies that $|f(x) - f(a)| < \epsilon$.

□

Question 9b

Let $\{A_\alpha\}$ be a collection of subsets of X such that $X = \bigcup_\alpha A_\alpha$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Suppose $f|_{A_\alpha}$ is continuous for each α . Find an example where the collection $\{A_\alpha\}$ is countable and each A_α is closed, but f is not continuous.

Solution

Take for example $X = \mathbb{Z}$ under the finite complement topology. Then U is open in \mathbb{Z} if $\mathbb{Z} \setminus U$ is finite or all of \mathbb{Z} . Let $\{A_\alpha\} = \{n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ then each $\{n\}$ is closed in \mathbb{Z} as $\mathbb{Z} \setminus (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\})$ is open and their union is clearly all of \mathbb{Z} . Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = x$ then the restriction $f|_{\{n\}}$ is continuous because given any open set V containing $f(n) = n$ we have that $U = f|_{\{n\}}^{-1}(V) = \{n\}$ and $\{n\} \setminus \{n\} = \emptyset$. So U is open.

On the other hand, given any open set in \mathbb{R} of the form (a, b) where $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ we see that $U = f^{-1}((a, b)) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a < n < b\}$. Clearly this set is finite, thus $\mathbb{Z} \setminus U$ is infinite and not open. We conclude that f is not continuous.

Extra Question

Prove that the interval $(0, 1)$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} but not to the interval $[0, 1)$.

Proof

To show that $(0,1)$ is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} we exhibit such a homeomorphism. Take $f : (0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) = \frac{x-1/2}{x(x-1)}$. This is a rational polynomial with nonzero denominator on its domain and is thus continuous. Further $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = -\infty$, thus f onto. Since f is monotonically decreasing on $(0,1)$, (we have $f'(x) = \frac{-(2x^2-2x+1)}{2(x^2-x)^2} < 0$) we know that f is one to one and thus is a bijection. Computing the inverse,

$$f^{-1}(y) = \frac{1+y-\sqrt{1+y^2}}{2y} \text{ for } y \neq 0, \text{ and} \\ f^{-1}(y) = 1/2 \text{ for } y = 0$$

we see that it is indeed continuous.

To see that there is no homeomorphism from $(0,1)$ to $[0,1]$ we will show that there can be no continuous bijection from $(0,1)$ to $[0,1]$; this follows from continuity in real analysis. Indeed if there were such a function then there would a unique point $x \in (0,1)$ such that $f(x) = 0$ since f is continuous we have that closed/open intervals are mapped to closed/open intervals. Consider that there must be intervals $[x, x + \epsilon]$ and $[x - \epsilon, x]$ such that

$$f([x, x + \epsilon]) = [0, a) \\ f([x - \epsilon, x]) = [0, b)$$

since f is bijective this implies that at least one of the values in $[0, \min a, b)$ are taken twice by f . Which contradicts the injectivity of f .