A Data-Driven Early Warning System for Mining Accident

Yu Luo, Ashutosh Nanda, Shiva Rajgopal, Vinay Ramesh,
Venkat Venkatasubramanian, Zhizun Zhang, and Catherine Zhao

Chemical Engineering, Computer Science, and Business School
Columbia University

3/27/2017

COLUMBIA | ENGINEERING
The Fu Foundation School of Engineering and Applied Science

CRIS.lab

Complex, Resilient, Intelligent Systems (CRIS Lab)



COLUMBIA | ENGINEERING
The Fu Foundation School of Engineering and Applied Science

Systemic Risk

- Systemic disasters
  - SARS (2003)
  - Northeast Blackout (2003)
  - Subprime Crisis (2008)
  - Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill (2010)
- Emerging systemic risks
  - Climate change
  - Income/wealth inequality
  - Cyber-physical security
  - Technological singularity
- Fast-paced and connected
- Design complex systems
- Analyze systemic risk

Upper Big Branch Mine Disaster (2010)

- April 5, 2010, Raleigh County, West Virginia, owned by Massey Energy
- 29 deaths, the worst mining in the United States since 1970
- MSHA cites corporate culture as root cause of Upper Big Branch Mine disaster

Sago Mine Disaster (2006)

- January 2, 2006, Sago, West Virginia, owned by Anker West Virginia Mining
- 13 miners were trapped for nearly two days; only one survived
- Fatality number was exceeded by the Upper Big Branch Mine disaster
- MSHA reports prior history of safety violations and fatalities

Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA)

- Formed in 1977
- Agency of the United States Department of Labor
- Mission
  - Prevent death, illness, and injury from mining
  - Promote safe and healthful workplaces for U.S. miners
  - Develop and enforce safety and health rules
  - Provide technical, educational, and other types of assistance

Fatality Trend Since 1983



Can We Further Improve Mine Safety?

- Process MSHA safety data
- Understand the underlying causal relationships
- Develop early warning systems based on past behaviors
- Credit rating/score analogy
  - Predict default probability within 18 months
  - Accidents: defaults a month or a year prior to application
  - Violations: missed payments, late payments, etc.

Department of Labor Enforcement Data

- Link: https://enforcedata.dol.gov/views/data_catalogs.php
- Updated daily or weekly
- Enforecement Data Catalog
  - MSHA Enforcement Data
  - OSHA Enforcement Data
  - Wage and Hour Compliance Action Data
  - OFCCP Compliance Evaluation and Complaint Investigation Data
  - EBSA Enforcement Data

MSHA Data: Sources

- Mine accidents table: "msha_accident.csv"
  - 681,386 rows
  - Retrieved 1/26/2017, from https://enforcedata.dol.gov/views/data_summary.php
- MSHA assessed violations table: "AssessedViolations.csv"
  - 2,169,804 rows
  - Retrieved 12/10/2016, from https://arlweb.msha.gov/OpenGovernmentData/OGIMSHA.asp

MSHA Data: Advantages

- Each mine has a unique mine ID, e.g., Upper Big Branch (4608436)
- Rich details, e.g., selected attributes from the accidents table:

```
##  [1] "mine_id"          "controller_id"     "ai_dt"
##  [4] "inj_degr_desc"    "ai_class_desc"     "ai_occ_desc"
##  [7] "ai_acty_desc"     "exper_tot_calc"    "exper_mine_calc"
## [10] "exper_job_calc"   "ai_narr"           "cal_yr"
## [13] "cal_qtr"          "accident_type_cd"  "accident_type"
## [16] "no_injuries"      "occupation_cd"     "activity_cd"
## [19] "injury_source_cd" "injury_source"     "nature_injury_cd"
## [22] "nature_injury"    "inj_body_part_cd"  "inj_body_part"
## [25] "schedule_charge"  "days_restrict"     "days_lost"
## [28] "trans_term"       "return_to_work_dt" "immed_notify_cd"
## [31] "immed_notify"     "invest_begin_dt"   "closed_doc_no"
## [34] "coal_metal_ind"   "load_dt"           "ai_year"
```

MSHA Data: Challenges

- Missing data
- Inactive mines
- Most data are not numeric
- Relatively few severe accidents ($\sim 0.5\%$)

Model Preliminaries

- Create a master data table with selected attributes
- Plot violation trends for Upper Big Branch Mine and Sago Mine
- Design a predictive model

Master Data Table

- Combine and summarize accidents/violations based on mines
- 664,128 rows, 10,377 unique mines
- From 2000 to 2015 in quarters
- Each row represents data for a unique combination of mine, year, and quarter
  - e.g., Upper Big Branch Mine in the second quarter of 2010
- Each row contains both current and past information

Master Data Table

```
##  [1] "mine_id"                    "mine.name"
##  [3] "year"                       "quarter"
##  [5] "active"                     "num.days.lost"
##  [7] "last.quarter.lost"          "last.year.lost"
##  [9] "last.three.years.lost"      "num.days.restrict"
## [11] "last.quarter.restrict"      "last.year.restrict"
## [13] "last.three.years.restrict" "num.death"
## [15] "last.quarter.death"         "last.year.death"
## [17] "last.three.years.death"     "num.dis"
## [19] "last.quarter.dis"           "last.year.dis"
## [21] "last.three.years.dis"       "viol.quantity"
## [23] "last.quarter.viol"          "last.year.viol"
## [25] "last.three.years.viol"
```

Top 10 Fatal Accidents Since 2005

	mine.name	mine_id	year	quarter	num.death
1	Upper Big Branch Mine-South	4608436	2010	2	29
2	Sago Mine	4608791	2006	1	12
3	Crandall Canyon Mine	4201715	2007	3	9
4	Darby Mine No 1	1518185	2006	2	5
5	Gibson Mine	1202215	2007	3	3
6	Affinity Mine	4608878	2013	1	2
7	Aracoma Alma Mine #1	4608801	2006	1	2
8	Black Stallion UG Mine	4609086	2014	2	2
9	Cucumber Mine	4609066	2007	1	2
10	D-14 Stillhouse	1517165	2005	3	2

Violation Trend: Upper Big Branch



Violation Trend: Sago Mine



Predictive Model

- Rising violation trends before disasters
- A disaster classifier based on historical data?
- Define a **severe** accident as one with death or permenant disability
- Unbalanced data

```
## # A tibble: 2 × 3
##   severe      n  perc
##    <lgl>  <int> <dbl>
## 1  FALSE 477077 99.46
## 2   TRUE   2608  0.54
```

Fixed-Mine Effects

- Biostatisticians and epidemiologists call it "conditional logistic regression" (`survival::clogit`)
- Suitable for panel data (e.g., our master data table)
- Model includes mine-specific but time-invariant variables (e.g., same slope but different intercepts for different mines)
- Logistic regression (for every mine)

$$\Pr(Y = 1|\mathbf{X}) = F(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\alpha+\boldsymbol{\beta}\mathbf{X})}}$$

- Logistic regression with fixed effects (for the i-th mine)

$$\Pr(Y = 1|\mathbf{X}, i) = F(\mathbf{x}, i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\alpha_i+\boldsymbol{\beta}\mathbf{x})}}$$

COLUMBIA | ENGINEERING
The Fu Foundation School of Engineering and Applied Science

CRIS.lab

Logistic Regression Without Fixed Effects

- Train and test on all data

```
##           Reference
## Prediction  FALSE   TRUE
##      FALSE 477011   2600
##      TRUE      66      8
```

```
##          Sensitivity          Specificity       Pos Pred Value
##             0.003067             0.999862             0.108108
##       Neg Pred Value            Precision               Recall
##             0.994579             0.108108             0.003067
##                   F1            Prevalence       Detection Rate
##             0.005966             0.005437             0.000017
## Detection Prevalence    Balanced Accuracy
##             0.000154             0.501465
```

Logistic Regression Without Fixed Effects

- True positives

```
##                        mine.name year quarter severe  pred
## 1  Upper Big Branch Mine-South 2010       2   TRUE FALSE
## 2                    Sago Mine 2006       1   TRUE FALSE
## 3         Crandall Canyon Mine 2007       3   TRUE FALSE
## 4              Darby Mine No 1 2006       2   TRUE FALSE
## 5                  Gibson Mine 2007       3   TRUE FALSE
## 6                 Affinity Mine 2013       1   TRUE FALSE
## 7         Aracoma Alma Mine #1 2006       1   TRUE FALSE
## 8       Black Stallion UG Mine 2014       2   TRUE FALSE
## 9                 Cucumber Mine 2007       1   TRUE FALSE
## 10             D-14 Stillhouse 2005       3   TRUE FALSE
```

Logistic Regression with Fixed Effects

- Randomly select half of the data to train and the other half to test

```
##           Reference
## Prediction  FALSE   TRUE
##      FALSE 141332    483
##      TRUE   97167    852
```

```
##          Sensitivity          Specificity       Pos Pred Value
##               0.6382               0.5926               0.0087
##       Neg Pred Value            Precision               Recall
##               0.9966               0.0087               0.6382
##                   F1           Prevalence       Detection Rate
##               0.0172               0.0056               0.0036
## Detection Prevalence    Balanced Accuracy
##               0.4087               0.6154
```

Logistic Regression with Fixed Effects

- Top true positives (deadliest accidents)

```
##                          mine.name year quarter severe pred
## 1                        Sago Mine 2006       1   TRUE TRUE
## 2             Crandall Canyon Mine 2007       3   TRUE TRUE
## 3                  Darby Mine No 1 2006       2   TRUE TRUE
## 4                    Cucumber Mine 2007       1   TRUE TRUE
## 5                      Dotiki Mine 2010       2   TRUE TRUE
## 6                         Equality 2011       4   TRUE TRUE
## 7                      Meikle Mine 2010       3   TRUE TRUE
## 8              Nanuuq Gold Project 2007       3   TRUE TRUE
## 9  4 J's Gravel Crushing Plant 2 2011       3   TRUE TRUE
## 10                          Adams 2006       3   TRUE TRUE
```

COLUMBIA | ENGINEERING
The Fu Foundation School of Engineering and Applied Science

CRIS.lab

Logistic Regression with Fixed Effects

- Top predicted positives (possibly false positives)

```
##                                  mine.name year quarter severe pred
## 1  The American Coal Company New Era Mine 2006       1  FALSE TRUE
## 2             Upper Big Branch Mine-South 2009       3  FALSE TRUE
## 3             Upper Big Branch Mine-South 2009       1  FALSE TRUE
## 4             Upper Big Branch Mine-South 2006       4  FALSE TRUE
## 5             Upper Big Branch Mine-South 2005       1  FALSE TRUE
## 6  The American Coal Company New Era Mine 2005       3  FALSE TRUE
## 7  The American Coal Company New Era Mine 2008       1  FALSE TRUE
## 8  The American Coal Company New Era Mine 2007       4  FALSE TRUE
## 9             Upper Big Branch Mine-South 2006       1  FALSE TRUE
## 10            Upper Big Branch Mine-South 2006       3  FALSE TRUE
```

New Era Mine



Columbia | Engineering
The Fu Foundation School of Engineering and Applied Science

CRIS.lab

Conclusion

- TBD

Appendix: New Labels Including Days Lost

- Previously defined severe accidents and days lost > 300

```
##            Reference
## Prediction  FALSE   TRUE
##      FALSE 148496   1267
##      TRUE   88426   1645
```

```
##          Sensitivity          Specificity       Pos Pred Value
##               0.5649               0.6268               0.0183
##       Neg Pred Value            Precision               Recall
##               0.9915               0.0183               0.5649
##                   F1           Prevalence       Detection Rate
##               0.0354               0.0121               0.0069
## Detection Prevalence    Balanced Accuracy
##               0.3756               0.5958
```

Appendix: New Labels Including Days Lost

- Top true positives (deadliest accidents)

```
##                                mine.name year quarter severe  pred
## 1                              Sago Mine 2006       1   TRUE  TRUE
## 2                  Crandall Canyon Mine 2007       3   TRUE  TRUE
## 3                       Darby Mine No 1 2006       2   TRUE  TRUE
## 4                         Cucumber Mine 2007       1   TRUE  TRUE
## 5                           Dotiki Mine 2010       2   TRUE  TRUE
## 6                              Equality 2011       4   TRUE  TRUE
## 7                           Meikle Mine 2010       3   TRUE  TRUE
## 8                  Nanuuq Gold Project 2007       3   TRUE  TRUE
## 9  4 J's Gravel Crushing Plant 2 2011       3   TRUE  TRUE
## 10                             Adams 2006       3   TRUE FALSE
```

Appendix: New Labels Including Days Lost

- Top predicted positives (possibly false positives)

```
##                                    mine.name year quarter severe pred
## 1  The American Coal Company New Era Mine 2006       1   TRUE TRUE
## 2  The American Coal Company New Era Mine 2005       3   TRUE TRUE
## 3  The American Coal Company New Era Mine 2005       1   TRUE TRUE
## 4                  Monongalia County Mine 2014       3   TRUE TRUE
## 5                     Powhatan No. 6 Mine 2013       3   TRUE TRUE
## 6                     Powhatan No. 6 Mine 2013       4   TRUE TRUE
## 7                    Marshall County Mine 2015       4   TRUE TRUE
## 8  The American Coal Company New Era Mine 2008       1   TRUE TRUE
## 9                      Willow Lake Portal 2008       2   TRUE TRUE
## 10                    Powhatan No. 6 Mine 2013       1   TRUE TRUE
```

Appendix: Simple Linear Model

- Adjusted $R^2 = 0.36$

```
##                              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)                    0.5243    0.06725     7.8  6.4e-15
## last.quarter.lost              0.0566    0.00179    31.6 2.9e-218
## last.year.lost                 0.0724    0.00093    77.8  0.0e+00
## last.three.years.lost          0.0338    0.00032   105.6  0.0e+00
## last.quarter.restrict         -0.0173    0.00461    -3.8  1.7e-04
## last.year.restrict            -0.0123    0.00243    -5.1  3.9e-07
## last.three.years.restrict      0.0072    0.00085     8.4  3.8e-17
## last.quarter.viol              0.3083    0.01095    28.1 3.5e-174
## last.year.viol                 0.1352    0.00490    27.6 2.1e-167
## last.three.years.viol         -0.0346    0.00141   -24.7 4.2e-134
## last.quarter.death            -5.7149    1.09783    -5.2  1.9e-07
## last.year.death               -3.6943    0.64330    -5.7  9.3e-09
## last.three.years.death        -0.5155    0.33261    -1.5  1.2e-01
```