Kotlin ∇

A Shape Safe eDSL for Differentiable Functional Programming

Breandan Considine

Université de Montréal

breandan.considine@umontreal.ca

May 26, 2019

Overview

- 1 A Short Lesson on Computing Derivatives
- 2 Introduction and motivation
- Usage
- 4 Architectural Overview
- 5 Plotting
- 6 Future exploration

Differentiation

If we have a function, $P(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, recall the derivative is defined as:

$$P'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} = \frac{dP}{dx}$$
 (1)

For $P(x_0, x_1, ..., x_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, the gradient is a vector of derivatives:

$$\nabla P = \left[\frac{\partial P}{\partial x_0}, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_n} \right] \text{ where } \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_i} = \frac{dP}{dx_i}$$
 (2)

For $P(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, the Jacobian is a vector of gradients:

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{P}} = [\nabla P_0, \nabla P_1, \dots, \nabla P_n] \text{ or equivalently, } \mathbf{J}_{ij} = \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial x_j}$$
 (3)

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Automatic differentiation

Suppose we have a scalar function $P_k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:

$$P_k(x) = \begin{cases} p_0(x) = x & \text{if } k = 0\\ (p_k \circ P_{k-1})(x) & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

From the chain rule of calculus, we know that:

$$\frac{dP}{dp_0} = \frac{dp_k}{dp_{k-1}} \frac{dp_{k-1}}{dp_{k-2}} \dots \frac{dp_1}{dp_0} = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{dp_i}{dp_{i-1}}$$

For a vector function $P_k(x) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, the chain rule still applies:

$$\mathbf{J_P} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{J}_{p_i} = \underbrace{\left(\left(\left(\mathbf{J}_{p_k}\mathbf{J}_{p_{k-1}}\right) \dots \mathbf{J}_{p_2}\right)\mathbf{J}_{p_1}\right)}_{\text{"Reverse accumulation"}} = \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{J}_{p_k}\left(\mathbf{J}_{p_{k-1}} \dots \left(\mathbf{J}_{p_2}\mathbf{J}_{p_1}\right)\right)\right)}_{\text{"Forward accumulation"}}$$

If P_k were a program, what would the type signature of $p_{0 < i < k}$ be?

$$\mathsf{p}_i:\mathcal{T}_{out}(\mathsf{p}_{i-1}) o\mathcal{T}_{in}(\mathsf{p}_{i+1})$$

Breandan Considine (UdeM) Kotlin

Kotlin

May 26, 2019 4 / 24

Parameter learning and gradient descent

For parametric models, let us rewrite $P_k(x)$ as:

$$\mathbf{\hat{P}}_{k}(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{\Theta}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{p}_{0}(\mathbf{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{0}) & \text{if } k = 0\\ \left(\mathbf{p}_{k}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{k}) \circ \mathbf{\hat{P}}_{k-1}(\mathbf{\Theta})\right)(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

Where $\Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_k\}$ are free parameters and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a single input. Given $\mathbf{Y} = \{\mathbf{y}^{(1)} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}), \dots, \mathbf{y}^{(z)} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}^{(z)})\}$ from an oracle, in order to approximate $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x})$, repeat the following procedure until $\mathbf{\Theta}$ converges:

$$\mathbf{\Theta} \leftarrow \mathbf{\Theta} - \frac{1}{z} \nabla_{\mathbf{\Theta}} \sum_{i=0}^{z} \mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathbf{P}}_{k}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}), \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$

If $\hat{\mathbf{P}}_k$ were a program, what would the type signature of $\mathbf{p}_{0 < i < k}$ be?

$$\mathsf{p}_i:\mathcal{T}_{out}(\mathsf{p}_{i-1}) imes\mathcal{T}(heta_i) o\mathcal{T}_{in}(\mathsf{p}_{i+1}(heta_{i+1}))$$

Shape checking and inference

- ullet Scalar functions implicitly represent shape as arity $f(1,2):\mathbb{R}^2 o\mathbb{R}$
- To check array programs, we need a type-level encoding of shape
- Arbitrary ops (e.g. convolution, vectorization) require dependent types
- But parametric polymorphism will suffice for many tensor functions
- For most algebraic operations, we just need to check for equality...

Math	Derivative	Code	Type Signature
a(b)	J_aJ_b	a(b)	$(a:\mathbb{R}^ au o\mathbb{R}^\pi,b:\mathbb{R}^\lambda o\mathbb{R}^ au) o(\mathbb{R}^\lambda o\mathbb{R}^\pi)$
a+b	$\mathbf{J}_a + \mathbf{J}_b$	a + b	
		a.plus(b)	$(a:\mathbb{R}^{ au} o\mathbb{R}^{\pi},b:\mathbb{R}^{\lambda} o\mathbb{R}^{\pi}) o(\mathbb{R}^{?} o\mathbb{R}^{\pi})$
		plus(a, b)	
ab	$\mathbf{J}_a b + \mathbf{J}_b a$	a * b	
		a.times(b)	$(a:\mathbb{R}^{ au} o\mathbb{R}^{m imes n},b:\mathbb{R}^{\lambda} o\mathbb{R}^{n imes p}) o(\mathbb{R}^{?} o\mathbb{R}^{m imes p})$
		times(a, b)	
a ^b	$a^b(a'\frac{b}{a}+b'\ln a)$	a.pow(b)	$(a:\mathbb{R}^{ au} o\mathbb{R},b:\mathbb{R}^{\lambda} o\mathbb{R}) o(\mathbb{R}^{?} o\mathbb{R})$
		pow(a, b)	$(a. \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, b. \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}) \to (\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R})$

Numerical tower

- Abstract algebra can be useful when generalizing to new structures
- Helps us to easily translate between mathematics and source code
- Fields are a useful concept when computing over real numbers
 - A field is a set \mathbb{F} with two operations + and \times , with the properties:
 - Associativity: $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}, a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$
 - Commutativity: $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{F}, a+b=b+a \text{ and } a \times b=b \times a$
 - Distributivity: $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}, a \times (b \times c) = (a \times b) \times c$
 - Identity: $\forall a \in \mathbb{F}, \exists 0, 1 \in F \text{ s.t. } a + 0 = a \text{ and } a \times 1 = a$
 - + inverse: $\forall a \in \mathbb{F}, \exists (-a) \text{ s.t. } a + (-a) = 0$
 - \times inverse: $\forall a \neq 0 \in \mathbb{F}, \exists (a^{-1}) \text{ s.t. } a \times a^{-1} = 1$
- Extensible to other number systems (e.g. complex, dual numbers)
- What is a program, but a series of arithmetic operations?

Why Kotlin?

- Goal: To implement automatic differentiation in Kotlin
- Kotlin is a language with strong static typing and null safety
- Supports first-class functions, higher order functions and lambdas
- Has support for algebraic data types, via tuples sealed classes
- Extension functions, operator overloading other syntax sugar
- Offers features for embedding domain specific languages (DSLs)
- Access to all libraries and frameworks in the JVM ecosystem
- Multi-platform and cross-platform (JVM, Android, iOS, JS, native)



Kotlin∇ Priorities

- Type system
 - Strong type system based on algebraic principles
 - Leverage the compiler for static analysis
 - No implicit broadcasting or shape coercion
 - Parameterized numerical types and arbitary-precision
- Design principles
 - Functional programming and lazy numerical evaluation
 - Eager algebraic simplification of expression trees
 - Operator overloading and tapeless reverse mode AD
- Usage desiderata
 - Generalized AD with functional array programming
 - Automatic differentiation with infix and Polish notation
 - Partials and higher order derivatives and gradients
- Testing and validation
 - Numerical gradient checking and property-based testing
 - Performance benchmarks and thorough regression testing

Feature Comparison Matrix

Framework	Language	SD	AD	FP	TS	SS	DP	MP
$\overline{Kotlin abla}$	Kotlin	√	√	√	√	√	L	L
DiffSharp	F#	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X
TensorFlow.FSharp	F#	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
Myia	Python	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
Deeplearning.scala	Scala	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X
Nexus	Scala	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X
Lantern	Scala	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	X
Grenade	Haskell	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	X
Eclipse DL4J	Java	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X
Halide	C++	X	✓	X	✓	X	✓	X
Stalin	Scheme	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X

SD: Symbolic Differentiation, AD: Automatic Differentiation, FP: Functional Program, TS: Type Safe, SS: Shape Safe, DP: Differentiable Programming, MP: Multiplatform

Usage

val
$$z = \sin(10 * (x * x + pow(y, 2))) / 10$$




Figure: Implicit DFG constructed by the above expression, z.

How do we define algebraic types in Kotlin ∇ ?

```
// T: Group<T> is effectively a self type
interface Group<T: Group<T>> {
    operator fun plus(f: T): T
    operator fun unaryMinus(): X
    operator fun minus(f: X): X = this + -f
    operator fun times(f: T): T
interface Field<X: Group<X>> {
    val e: X
    val one: X
    val zero: X
    operator fun div(f: X): X = this * f.pow(-one)
    infix fun pow(f: X): X
    fun ln(): X
```

Algebraic Data Types

```
class Var<X: Fun<X>>(val lbl: String): Fun<X>()
class Sum<X: Fun<X>>(val f1: X, val f2: X): Fun<X>()
class Pdt<X: Fun<X>>(val f1: X, val f2: X): Fun<X>()
class Const<X: Fun<X>>(val num: Number): Fun<X>()
sealed class Fun<X: Fun<X>>: Field<Fun<X>> {
    open fun diff(): Fun<X> = when(this) {
        is Const -> Zero
        is Sum -> f1.diff() + f2.diff()
        is Pdt -> f1.diff() * f2 + f1 * f2.diff()
        is Var -> One
    operator fun plus(f: Fun<X>) = Sum(this, f)
    operator fun times(f: Fun<X>) = Pdt(this, f)
```

```
operator fun times(f: Fun<X>): Fun<X> = when {
 // Constant propagation and folding optimizations
 this is Const && num == 0.0 -> Const(0.0)
 this is Const && num == 1.0 -> f
  f is Const && f.num == 0.0 -> exp
  f is Const && f.num == 1.0 -> this
 this is Const && f is Const -> Const(num * f.num)
 // Only instantiate a product node on last resort
 else -> Pdt(this, e)
// Sum(Pdt(Const(2.0), Var()), Const(6.0))
val q = Const(2.0) * Sum(Var(), Const(3.0))
```

```
object DoublePrecision {
    operator fun Number.times(f: Fun<KDouble>) =
        Const(toDouble()) * f
}
class KDouble(num: Double): Const<KDouble>(num) {
   override val e by lazy { KDouble(Math.E) }
    override val one by lazy { KDouble(1.0) }
    override val zero by lazy { KDouble(0.0) }
    // Adapters for wrapping primitive Double...
// Uses * operator in Double context
fun Fun<KDouble>.multiplyByTwo() =
   with(DoublePrecision) { 2 * this }
```

15/24

Shape safe vector addition and inference (toy example)


```
interface Nat<T: `2`> { val value: Int }
// Type level integer literals for shape checking
open class `0`(override val value: Int = 0): `1`(0)
  { companion object: `0`(), Nat<`0`> }
open class `1`(override val value: Int = 1): `2`(1)
  { companion object: `1`(), Nat<`1`> }
open class `2`(open val value: Int = 2)
  { companion object: `2`(), Nat<`2`> }
// <L: `2`> accepts L <= 2 via Liskov substitution
class Vec<E, L: `2`>(val len: L, val es: List<E>)
operator fun <L: `2`, V: Vec<Int, L>> V.plus(v: V) =
 Vec<Int, L>(len, es.zip(v.es).map { it.l + it.r })
val Y= Vec(`2`, list0f(1,2)) + Vec(`2`, list0f(3,4))
val X= Vec(`1`, listOf(1)) + Y // Compiler error!
```

```
val x = Var("x")
val y = Var("y")
val z = y * (\sin(x * y) - x) // Function under test
val dz_dx = d(z) / d(x) // Automatic derivative
val manualDx = y * (cos(x * y) * y - 1)
"dz/dx should be y * (cos(x * y) * y - 1)" {
    assertAll (DoubleGenerator) { cx, cy ->
        // Evaluate the results at a given seed
        val autoEval = dz dx(x to cx, y to cy)
        val symbEval = manualDx(x to cx, y to cy)
        // Only pass iff |adEval - manualEval| < eps
        autoEval shouldBeApproximately symbEval
```

Usage: Plotting higher derivatives of nested functions

```
// Use double-precision floating point numerics
with(DoublePrecision) {
  val x = Var()
  val y = \sin(\sin(\sin(x)))/x + x*\sin(x) + \cos(x) + x
  // Perform lazy symbolic differentiation
  val dy_dx = d(y) / d(x)
  val d2y dx = d(dy dx) / d(x)
  val d3y dx = d(d2y_dx2) / d(x)
  val d4v dx = d(d3y dx3) / d(x)
  val d5y dx = d(d4y dx4) / d(x)
  plot(-9..9, dy_dx, dy2_dx, d3y_dx, d4y_dx, d5y_dx)
```


 $y = \frac{\sin\sin\sin x}{x} + x\sin x + \cos x + x, \frac{dy}{dx}, \frac{d^2y}{dx^2}, \frac{d^3y}{dx^3}, \frac{d^4y}{dx^4}, \frac{d^5y}{dx^5}$



Usage: 3D plotting with mixed higher order partials

```
with(DoublePrecision) {
    val x = Var()
    val y = Var()
    val z = \sin(10 * (x * x + pow(y, 2))) / 10
    val dz dx = d(z) / d(x)
    val d2f dxdy = d(dz dx) / d(y)
    val d3z d2xdy = d(d(dz dx) / d(y)) / d(x)
    plot3d(-1, 1, d3z_d2xdy)
```

$$z = \sin(10(x^2 + y^2))/10$$
, $\frac{\partial^3 z}{\partial^2 x \partial y}$



Further directions to explore

Theory Directions

- Generalization of types to higher order functions, vector spaces
- Dependent types via code generation to type-check convolution
- General programming operators and data structures
- Imperative define-by-run array programming syntax
- Program induction and synthesis, cf.
 - The Derivative of a Regular Type is its Type of One-Hole Contexts
 - The Differential Lambda Calculus (2003)
- Asynchronous gradient descent (cf. HogWild, YellowFin, et al.)

Implementation Details

- Closer integration with Kotlin/Java standard library
- Encode additional structure, i.e. function arity into type system
- Vectorized optimizations for matrices with certain properties
- Configurable forward and backward AD modes based on dimension
- Automatic expression refactoring for numerical stability
- Primitive type specialization, i.e. FloatVector <: Vector<T>?

Learn more at:

http://kg.ndan.co

Liam Paull Michalis Famelis





