

**CSCI 3753: Operating Systems**  
**Fall 2016**  
**Problem Set Two (Solutions)**

Please write your answers in the space provided.

**Due date:** Thursday, October 13 in class. No extensions will be given except at the instructor's discretion in documented cases of extreme hardship or emergencies. Please submit a hardcopy of your solutions.

**Problem 1. [10 Points]** Is the swap() function below thread-safe or not? Explain your reasoning.

```
int temp;

void swap(int *y, int *z)
{
    int local;

    local = temp;
    temp = *y;
    *y = *z;
    *z = temp;
    temp = local;
}
```

Swap is not thread-safe, because it is using a global variable temp. For example, suppose thread T1 called swap(&m,&n), where \*m=1 and \*n=2, and thread T2 called swap(&q,&r) where \*q=7 and \*r=4. Let T1 execute inside swap(), up to just before the line \*z=temp. At this point, temp=\*y=1, and T1 desires to set \*z=1 to complete the swap. But if T1 is interrupted at this point, then T2 executes, then T2 sets temp=\*y=7. Now suppose T1 context switches back in, and executes \*z=temp=7. So the output of the swap() does not swap the values 1 and 2, but instead produces a value of 7 for one of the variables. Hence, the code is not thread safe.

**Problem 2. [20 Points]** Using TS( ) instruction, provide an implementation of semaphores.

```
typedef struct {
    PID pid;
    Boolean sleeping;
} list_item;

typedef struct {
    int value;
    boolean lock;
    struct list_item *list[ ];
} semaphore;

init (semaphore *s)
{
    value = 1; // Assume initial value to be 1; it can be set to a different value
               // depending on exact semantics
    initializes list to empty
    lock = FALSE;
}

wait (semaphore *s) {
    while (TS(&(s->lock)));
    s->value--;
    if (s->value < 0) {
        list_item x = <process_id, TRUE>
        add &x to s->list;
        lock = FALSE;
        sleep ( );
        x.sleeping = FALSE;
    }
    else lock = FALSE;
}

signal (semaphore *s) {
    while (TS(&(s->lock)));
    s->value++;
    if (s->value <= 0) {
        struct list_item *x = remove an address of list item <P, bool> from s->list;
        while (x->sleeping == TRUE)
        {
            wakeup (P);
            yield ( ); // preempt this process
        }
        lock = FALSE;
    }
    else lock = FALSE;
}
```

**Problem 3. [35 Points]** You have just been hired by Greenpeace to help the environment. Because unscrupulous commercial interests have dangerously lowered the whale population, whales are having synchronization problems in finding a mate. The trick is that in order to have children, *three* whales are needed, one male, one female, and one to play matchmaker --- literally, to push the other two whales together (*I am not making this up!*). Your job is to write three functions: *Male* ( ), *Female* ( ), and *Matchmaker* ( ). A male whale calls *Male* ( ), which waits until there is a waiting female and a matchmaker. A female whale calls *Female* ( ), which must wait until there is a waiting male and a matchmaker. Similarly, a matchmaker calls *Matchmaker* ( ), which must wait until there is a waiting male and a female. Once all three types of whales are present, all three return with one of them printing a message “A calf is born”. Use semaphores to implement the required synchronization.

```
semaphore male = 0, female = 0, matchmaker = 0;
semaphore male_start = 0, male_end = 0;
semaphore female_start = 0, female_end = 0;
semaphore mutex = 1;
```

```
Male()
{
    signal(male);
    wait(male_start);
    wait(male_end);
    signal(matchmaker);
}
```

```
Female()
{
    signal(female);
    wait(female_start);
    wait(female_end);
    signal(matchmaker);
}
```

```
Matchmaker()
{
    wait(male);
    wait(female);
    wait(mutex);
    signal(male_start);
    signal(female_start);
    printf("A calf is born\n");
    signal(male_end);
    signal(female_end);
    wait(matchmaker);
    wait(matchmaker);
    signal(mutex);
}
```

**Problem 4. [35 Points]** Unisex bathroom problem: CU wants to show off how politically correct it is by applying the U.S. Supreme Court's "Separate but equal is inherently unequal" doctrine to gender, ending its long-standing practice of gender-segregated bathrooms on campus. However, as a concession to tradition, it decrees that when a woman is in the bathroom, other women may enter, but no men, and vice versa. Also, due to fire code, at most  $N$  ( $N > 1$ ) individuals may use the bathroom at any time.

Your task is to write two functions: `man_use_bathroom()` and `woman_use_bathroom()`. Provide a monitor-based solution that manages access to the bathroom. Your solution should be fair, starvation free and deadlock free.

```
void man_use_bathroom ( )
{
    unisex_bathroom.enter_bathroom_man ( );
    Use restroom
    unisex_bathroom.exit_bathroom_man ( );
}

void woman_use_bathroom ( )
{
    unisex_bathroom.enter_bathroom_woman( );
    Use restroom
    unisex_bathroom.exit_bathroom_woman ( );
}

monitor unisex_bathroom {
    int mc, mcw, fc, fcw;
    condition m_cond, f_cond;

    void enter_bathroom_man
    {
        // no women in the bathroom, no women waiting, and # of men in bathroom is < N, enter bathroom
        if (fc == 0 && fcw == 0 && mc < N) mc++;
        else {
            // have to wait
            mcw++;
            m_cond.wait( );
            mcw--; mc++;
        }
        // check if more men waiting and mc < N; if so wake one man
        if (mcw > 0 && mc < N) m_cond.signal( );
    }

    void enter_bathroom_woman is similar to enter_bathroom_man()
    {
        fc--; // one less woman in the bathroom now
        //no men waiting and at least one woman waiting
        if (mcw == 0 && fcw > 0) f_cond.signal( );
        // some men waiting and no more women in the bathroom
        else if (mcw > 0 && fc == 0) m_cond.signal( );
    }
}
```