Review

User feedback - Alternative Design

Name	Rating	Description
Armandas B	6	Basic colour scheme and good selection of fonts. Content well placed on the page and not cluttered.
Viktor	4	High contrast between dark grey and blue for the buttons that make them difficult to use, and lost of space between content that does not need it.

User feedback - Main Design

Name	Rating	Description
Armandas B	5	Colour scheme becomes even simpler, with a lack of defining or memorable features of the page. A user probably wouldn't remember it well with such a simplistic look.
Viktor	8	Shape and colour of the website is clean. The greys look good together and aren't distracting.

Review of Design successes and failures

Armandas' comment on the simplicity of both designs is feedback I find to be true and useful. I have kept this simplicity through to the final website and refrained from implementing and complex features. I did however grow concerned over the over abstraction of the website. I decided to add some different ways to display content, such as tabs and carosels as well as change the layout on some key pages, such as the application page. I felt this could have been developed further, and that contrast of colours and a more dynamic and interesting design layout could have improved the page significantly.

Review of website functionality against requirements

```
A profile of the company itself

The website has an ABOUT US page.

Profiles of existing trainee web developers

Profiles of employees are presented in a user friendly way, on their own page.

Job specifications and required qualifications

Noted at the bottom of the application form.

An on-line application form
```

```
There is an online application form.

Links to web development courses

Links to website development courses have been provided, and given their own page.
```

Functionally, it means all of the physical requirements of the user.