

CCDT: Diagrams in the t_3 amplitude

This document lists all diagrams entering the t_3 equation, as well as the index realignment to perform matrix multiplications.

Notationwise, the operation

$$t_{ij}^{ab} \rightarrow t_{bj}^{ai}$$

indicates a index transformation where we simply align the matrix representation of this tensor in a fashion corresponding to the element order above. The purpose of this operation is to align tensors so that contractions may be performed as matrix multiplications. Upper indices is mapped to a row index, while lower indices are mapped to columns.

Technically, this means to recalculate the row and column indices of the matrix elements in the COO format (flexmat class). The corresponding code to generate the two different representations of the flexmat object t_2 above is

$$t_{ij}^{ab} = \text{t2.pq_rs}()$$

$$t_{bj}^{ai} = \text{t2.pr_qs}()$$

From a theoretical point of view, this operation may be interpreted as a generalized transpose for tensors of $rank > 2$.

The (t_2t_3) terms

Diagram Label	Factor	Permutation	Index Transform	Code translation
$(t_2t_3)_a$	+1	$\hat{P}(iljk a/bc)$	$\sum_{ldme} \langle lm de \rangle t_{il}^{ad} t_{mjk}^{ebc} \rightarrow \sum_{me} \sum_{ld} t_{me}^{bjck} \langle me ld \rangle t_{ai}^{ld}$	update_as_qtru_ps(t3.qtru_sp() * vhhpp.qs_pr() * t2.sq_pr())
$(t_2t_3)_b$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(iljk)$	$\sum_{ldme} \langle lm de \rangle t_{li}^{de} t_{mjk}^{abc} \rightarrow \sum_m \sum_{lde} t_m^{abjck} \langle m lde \rangle t_i^{lde}$	update_as_pqtru_s(t3.pqtru_s()*vhhpp.q_prs()*t2.rpq_s())
$(t_2t_3)_c$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(a bc)$	$\sum_{ldme} \langle lm de \rangle t_{lm}^{da} t_{ijk}^{ebc} \rightarrow \sum_e \sum_{lmd} t_e^{ibjck} \langle e lmd \rangle t_a^{lmd}$	update_as_sqtru_p(t3.sqtru_p()*vhhpp.s_pqr()*t2.rsp_q())
$(t_2t_3)_d$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(klij a/bc)$	$\sum_{ldme} \langle lm de \rangle t_{ij}^{ad} t_{lmk}^{bec} \rightarrow \sum_{lme} \sum_d t_{lme}^{bck} \langle lm de \rangle t_{aij}^d$	update_as_qru_pst(t3.pru_stq()*vhhpp.pqs_r()*t2.q_prs())
$(t_2t_3)_e$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(iljk c ab)$	$\sum_{ldme} \langle lm de \rangle t_{il}^{ab} t_{jmk}^{dec} \rightarrow \sum_{mde} \sum_l t_{mde}^{jck} \langle mde l \rangle t_{abi}^l$	update_as_tru_pqs(t3.sru_tpq()*vhhpp.qrs_p()*t2.s_pqr())
$(t_2t_3)_f$	$+\frac{1}{4}$	$\hat{P}(klij)$	$\sum_{ldme} \langle lm de \rangle t_{ij}^{de} t_{lmk}^{abc} \rightarrow \sum_{lm} \sum_{de} t_{lm}^{abck} \langle lm de \rangle t_{ij}^{de}$	update_as_pqru_st(t3.pqru_st()*vhhpp.pq_rs()*t2.pq_rs())
$(t_2t_3)_q$	$+\frac{1}{4}$	$\hat{P}(c ab)$	$\sum_{ldme} \langle lm de \rangle t_{lm}^{ab} t_{ijk}^{dec} \rightarrow \sum_{de} \sum_{lm} t_{de}^{ijck} \langle de lm \rangle t_{ab}^{lm}$	update_as_stru_pq(t3.stru_pq()*vhhpp.rs_pq()*t2.rs_pq())

The (t_2t_2) terms

These are incorrectly generated due to unconnected lines in the interaction, so they are not yet ready for implementation.

Special attention will need to be given to the antisymmetric elements in the multiplication.

Diagram Label	Factor	Permutation	Index Transform	Code translation
$(t_2t_2)_a$	-1	$\hat{P}(klij a/bc)$	$\sum_{ld} \langle lf d \rangle t_{ij}^{ad} t_{lk}^{bc} \rightarrow \sum_l \sum_d t_l^{bck} \langle lf d \rangle t_{aij}^d = 0$	(canonical HF basis) \rightarrow no contribution
$(t_2t_2)_b$	+1	$\hat{P}(iljk abc)$	$\sum_{lde} \langle lb de \rangle t_{il}^{ad} t_{jk}^{ec} \rightarrow \sum_{ld} \sum_e (t_{ld}^{ai} \langle ld be \rangle)_e^{aib} t_{cjk}^e$	update_as_psq_rtu(t2.pr_sq()*vhppp.pr_qs()*t2.p_qs())

$(t_2 t_2)_c$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(iljk c/ab)$	$\sum_{ldce} \langle lc de \rangle t_{il}^{ab} t_{jk}^{de} \rightarrow \sum_{de} \sum_l \langle t_{de}^{jk} \langle de lc \rangle \rangle_l^{jk} t_{abi}^l$	update_as_tur_pqs(t2.rs_pq()*vhppp.rs_pq()*t2.s_pqr())
$(t_2 t_2)_d$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(klji a/bc)$	$\sum_{ldmk} \langle lm dk \rangle t_{ij}^{ad} t_{lm}^{bc} \rightarrow \sum_{lm} \sum_d \langle t_{lm}^{bc} \langle lm dk \rangle \rangle_d^{bc} t_{aij}^d$	update_as_qru_pst(t2.pq_rs()*vhphp.pq_rs()*t2.q_prs())

The problem of unconnected lines leaving the interaction may be solved by performing the multiplication and alignment in three steps:

1. Align and multiply inside paranthesis.
2. Align the resulting product to the final amplitude and multiply.
3. Align the resulting product to the amplitudes.

The linear t_3 terms

The following terms are linear in the t_3 amplitude.

Diagram Label	Factor	Permutation	Index Transform	Code translation
$(t_3)_a$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(c/ab)$	$\sum_{de} \langle ab de \rangle t_{ijk}^{dec} \rightarrow \sum_{de} \langle ab de \rangle t_{cij}^{de}$	update_as_pq_rstu(vpppp.pq_rs() * t3.pq_rstu())
$(t_3)_b$	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(klji)$	$\sum_{lm} \langle lm ij \rangle t_{lmk}^{abc} \rightarrow \sum_{lm} t_{lm}^{abck} \langle lm ij \rangle$	update_as_pqru_st(t3.pqrs_tu()* vphhp.pq_rs())
$(t_3)_c$	$+1$	$\hat{P}(iljk a/bc)$	$\sum_{ld} \langle a l id \rangle t_{ljk}^{dbc} \rightarrow \sum_{ld} \langle a l ld \rangle t_{bcjk}^{ld}$	update_as_ps_qrtu(vphhp.pr_qs() * t3.sp_qrtu())

The diagram $(t_3)_a$ includes the ladder operator from \hat{V} , so it will have to be calculated using some block scheme.

The linear t_2 terms

Diagram Label	Factor	Permutation	Index Transform	Code translation
$(t_2)_a$	$+1$	$\hat{P}(klji a/bc)$	$\sum_d \langle bc dk \rangle t_{ij}^{ad} \rightarrow \sum_d \langle bck d \rangle t_{aij}^d$	update_as_qru_pst(vppph.pqs_r() * t2.q_prs())
$(t_2)_b$	-1	$\hat{P}(iljk c/ab)$	$\sum_l \langle lc jk \rangle t_{il}^{ab} \rightarrow \sum_l t_l^{abi} \langle llc jk \rangle$	update_as_pqs_rtu(t2.pqr_s() * vhphh.p_qrs())

Implementation

Finally we state the basic implementation of the t3 amplitude equation. Tables is separated by horizontal lines.

Note that permutations are not yet included.

t2t3a.update_as_qtru_ps(t3.qtru_sp()*vhhpp.qs_pr()*t2.sq_pr())

t2t3b.update_as_pqtru_s(t3.pqtru_s()*vhhpp.q_prs()*t2.rpq_s())

t2t3c.update_as_sqtru_p(t3.sqtru_p()*vhhpp.s_pqr()*t2.rsp_q())

t2t3d.update_as_qru_pst(t3.pru_stq()*vhhpp.pqs_r()*t2.q_prs())

t2t3e.update_as_tru_pqs(t3.sru_tpq()*vhhpp.qrs_p()*t2.s_pqr())

t2t3f.update_as_pqru_st(t3.pqru_st()*vhhpp.pq_rs()*t2.pq_rs())

t2t3g.update_as_stru_pq(t3.stru_pq()*vhhpp.rs_pq()*t2.rs_pq())

t2t2b.update_as_psq_rtu(t2.pr_sq()*vhppp.pr_qs()*t2.p_qrs())

```
t2t2c.update_as_tur_pqs(t2.rs_pq()*vhppp.rs_pq()*t2.s_pqr())
```

```
t2t2d.update_as_qru_pst(t2.pq_rs()*vhhph.pq_rs()*t2.q_prs())
```

```
t3a.update_as_pq_rstu(vp PPP.pq_rs() * t3.pq_rstu()) //Note that this will probably be replaced by a block implementation.
```

```
t3b.update_as_pqru_st(t3.pqrs_tu)* vphhp.pq_rs()
```

```
t3c.update_as_ps_qrtu(vphhp.pr_qs() * t3.sp_qrtu())
```

```
t2a.update_as_qru_pst(vp pph.pqs_r() * t2.q_prs())
```

```
t2b.update_as_pqs_rtu(t2.pqr_s() * vhhph.p_qrs() )
```

CCDT: Diagrams in the t_2 amplitude

Actually, the inclusion of triples will only result in three extra terms in the doubles equation, and we may even remove the first due to the fact that we use a canonical HF basis where f is diagonal.

$$D_{CCD} + \sum_{me} f_e^m t_{ijm}^{abe} + \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}(ab) \sum_{mef} \langle bmllef \rangle t_{ijm}^{aef} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{P}(ij) \sum_{mne} \langle mnllje \rangle t_{imn}^{abe} = 0$$

The terms we need to include in the t_2 equation is then (labelling as in Shavitt and Bartlett):

Diagram Label	Factor	Permutation	Index Transform	Code translation
D_{10b}	$+\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(ab)$	$\sum_{mef} \langle bmllef \rangle t_{ijm}^{aef} \rightarrow (\sum_{mef} \langle bllmef \rangle t_{ija}^{mef})_{ij}^{ab}$	update_as_q_rsp(vhppp.p_qrs() * t3.uqr_stp())
D_{10c}	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\hat{P}(ij)$	$\sum_{mne} \langle mnllje \rangle t_{imn}^{abe} \rightarrow (\sum_{mne} t_{mne}^{abi} \langle mnellj \rangle)_{ij}^{ab}$	update_as_pqr_s(t3.pqs_tur() * vhhhp.pqs_r())

This means we have to add in the following when computing the doubles contribution

```
D10b.update_as_q_rsp(vhppp.p_qrs() * t3.uqr_stp())
```

```
D10c.update_as_pqr_s(t3.pqs_tur() * vhhhp.pqs_r())
```

In []: