# Deep Learning and Quantum Many-body problems

Master of Science thesis project

November 2022

## Introduction and overview

Predicting the structure of quantum many-body systems from first principles in quantum mechanics is a central challenge in physics, chemistry, and material science. Deep learning techniques have recently proven to be powerful tools for solving interacting quantum mechanical problems in condensed matter physics, atomic and molecular physics and quantum chemistry, nuclear physics and materials science.

The above implies solving the Schrödinger equation for systems of many interacting bosons or fermions. This is classified as an NP-hard problem due to the complexity of the required many-dimensional wave function, resulting in an exponential growth of degrees of freedom. Reducing the dimensionalities of quantum mechanical many-body systems is an important aspect of modern physics, ranging from the development of efficient algorithms for studying many-body systems to exploiting the increase in computing power. To write software that can fully utilize the available resources has long been known to be an important aspect of these endeavors. Despite tremendous progress has been made in this direction, traditional many-particle methods, either quantum mechanical or classical ones, face huge dimensionality problems when applied to studies of systems with many interacting particles.

Over the last two decades, quantum computing and machine learning have emerged as some of the most promising approaches for studying complex physical systems where several length and energy scales are involved. Machine learning techniques and in particular neural-network quantum states [Goodfellow *et al.*(2016)Goodfellow, Bengio, and Courville] have recently been applied to studies of many-body systems, see for example Refs. [Carleo and Troyer(2017), Carrasquilla and Torlai(2021), Pfau *et al.*(2020)Pfau, Spencer, Matthews, and Foulkes, Calcavecchia *et al.*(2014)Calcavecchia, Pederiva, Kalos, and Küh Carleo *et al.*(2019)Carleo, Cirac, Cranmer, Daudet, Schuld, Tishby, Vogt-Maranto, and Zdeborová, Boehnlein *et al.*(2022 Adams *et al.*(2021)Adams, Carleo, Lovato, and Rocco, Lovato *et al.*(2022)Lovato, Adams, Carleo, and Rocco], in various fields of physics and quantum chemistry, with very promising results. In many of these studies, one has obtained results that align well with exact analytical solutions or are in close agreement with state-of-the-art quantum Monte Carlo calculations.

The variational and diffusion Monte Carlo algorithms are among the most popular and successful methods available for ground-state studies of quantum mechanical systems. They both rely on a suitable ansatz for the ground-state of the system, often dubbed *trial wave function*, which is defined in terms of a set of variational parameters whose optimal values are found by minimizing the total energy of the system. Devising flexible and accurate functional forms for the trial wave functions requires prior knowledge and physical intuition about the system under investigation. However, for many systems we do not have this intuition, and as a result it is often difficult to define a good ansatz for the state function.

According to the universal approximation theorem, a deep neural network can represent any continuous function within a certain error [Hornik *et al.*(1989)Hornik, Stinchcombe, and White] — see also Refs. [Murphy(2012), Hastie *et al.*(2009)Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman, Bishop(2006), Goodfellow *et al.*(2016)Goodfell for further discussions of deep leaning methods. Since the variational state wave function in principle

can take any functional form, it is natural to replace the trial wave function with a neural network and treat it as a machine learning problem. This approach has been successfully implemented in recent works, see for example Refs. [Pfau *et al.*(2020)Pfau, Spencer, Matthews, and Foulkes, Carleo and Troyer(2017), Cassella *et al.*(2022)Cassella, Sutterud, Azadi, Drummond, Pfau, Spencer, and Foulkes, Adams *et al.*(2021)Adams, Carle Lovato *et al.*(2022)Lovato, Adams, Carleo, and Rocco], and forms the motivation for the present study.

# 1 Specific tasks and milestones.

The specific task here is to implement and study deep learning methods for solving quantum mechanical many-particle problems of fermions. The results can be easily compared with exisiting standard many-particle codes developed by former students at the Computational Physics group. These codes will serve as useful comparisons in order to gauge the appropriateness of recent Machine Learning approaches to quantum mechanical problems.

The basic framework to be developed contains:

1. The development of a Variational Monte Carlo code for fermions.
2. The development of a trial wave function for the correlated part based on deep neural networks.
3. Be able to study quantum mechanical systems with interactions for bosons and fermions in one and two dimensions.
4. Compare results with those obtained with recent libraries like FermiNet, SchNet and other.

The milestones are

1. Spring semester 2023: Develop a Variational Monte Carlo framework for fermions without a self-consistent single-particle basis. Parts of this will be done in the course FYS4411, Computational Quantum Mechanics.
2. Fall semester 2023: Introduce neural networks for the correlated part of the trial wave function used in Monte Carlo studies. Study simpler Hamiltonians in one and two dimensions like those described in [1, 2].
3. Spring semester 2024: Perform numerical studies of quantum systems involving quantum dots in two dimensions and compare with results from other libaries.
4. Spring semester 2024: Final thesis

The thesis is expected to be handed in May/June 2024.

# References


[Goodfellow *et al.*(2016)Goodfellow, Bengio, and Courville] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville, *Deep Learning* (The MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2016).

[Carleo and Troyer(2017)] G. Carleo and M. Troyer, Science **355**, 602 (2017).

[Carrasquilla and Torlai(2021)] J. Carrasquilla and G. Torlai, (2021), 10.48550/arxiv.2101.11099.

[Pfau *et al.*(2020)Pfau, Spencer, Matthews, and Foulkes] D. Pfau, J. S. Spencer, Alexander G. D. G. Matthews, and W. M. C. Foulkes, Physical Review Research **2**, 033429 (2020).

[Calcavecchia *et al.*(2014)Calcavecchia, Pederiva, Kalos, and Kühne] F. Calcavecchia, F. Pederiva, M. H. Kalos, and T. D. Kühne, Physical Review E **90**, 053304 (2014).

[Carleo *et al.*(2019)Carleo, Cirac, Cranmer, Daudet, Schuld, Tishby, Vogt-Maranto, and Zdeborová] G. Carleo, I. Cirac, K. Cranmer, L. Daudet, M. Schuld, N. Tishby, L. Vogt-Maranto, and L. Zdeborová, Reviews of Modern Physics **91**, 045002 (2019).

[Boehnlein *et al.*(2022)Boehnlein, Diefenthaler, Sato, Schram, Ziegler, Fanelli, Hjorth-Jensen, Horn, Kuchera, Lee, Nazar A. Boehnlein, M. Diefenthaler, N. Sato, M. Schram, V. Ziegler, C. Fanelli, M. Hjorth-Jensen, T. Horn, M. P. Kuchera, D. Lee, W. Nazarewicz, P. Ostroumov, K. Orginos, A. Poon, X.-N. Wang, A. Scheinker, M. S. Smith, and L.-G. Pang, Reviews of Moddern Physics **94**, 031003 (2022).

[Adams *et al.*(2021)Adams, Carleo, Lovato, and Rocco] C. Adams, G. Carleo, A. Lovato, and N. Rocco, Physical Review Letters **127**, 022502 (2021).

[Lovato *et al.*(2022)Lovato, Adams, Carleo, and Rocco] A. Lovato, C. Adams, G. Carleo, and N. Rocco, (2022), 10.48550/arxiv.2206.10021.

[Hornik *et al.*(1989)Hornik, Stinchcombe, and White] K. Hornik, M. Stinchcombe, and H. White, Neural Networks **2**, 359 (1989).

[Murphy(2012)] K. P. Murphy, *Machine Learning: A Probabilistic Perspective* (The MIT Press, Cambdridge, Massachusetts, 2012).

[Hastie *et al.*(2009)Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman] T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman, *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference and Prediction* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2009).

[Bishop(2006)] C. M. Bishop, *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning* (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2006).

[Cassella *et al.*(2022)Cassella, Sutterud, Azadi, Drummond, Pfau, Spencer, and Foulkes] G. Cassella, H. Sutterud, S. Azadi, N. D. Drummond, D. Pfau, J. S. Spencer, and W. M. C. Foulkes, (2022), 10.48550/arxiv.2202.05183.

[Note1()] Natural units are used with energy given in units of $\hbar$ and length given in units of $\sqrt{\hbar/m}$.

[Drummond *et al.*(2004)Drummond, Towler, and Needs] N. D. Drummond, M. D. Towler, and R. J. Needs, Physical Review B **70**, 235119 (2004).

[Huang *et al.*(1998)Huang, Filippi, and Umrigar] C.-J. Huang, C. Filippi, and C. J. Umrigar, The Journal of Chemical Physics **108**, 8838 (1998).

[Neidinger(2010)] R. D. Neidinger, SIAM Review **52**, 545 (2010).

[Baydin *et al.*(2018)Baydin, Pearlmutter, Andreyevich Radul, and Siskind] A. G. Baydin, B. A. Pearlmutter, A. Andreyevich Radul, and J. M. Siskind, Journal of Machine Learning Research **18**, 1 (2018).

[Hammond *et al.*(1994)Hammond, Lester, and Reynolds] B L Hammond, W A Lester, and P J Reynolds, *Monte Carlo Methods in Ab Initio Quantum Chemistry* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1994).

[Umrigar and Filippi(2005)] C. J. Umrigar and C. Filippi, Physical Review Letters **94**, 150201 (2005).

[Høgberget(2013)] J. Høgberget, *Quantum Monte Carlo Studies of Generalized Many-body Systems*, Master's thesis, University of Oslo (2013).

[Taut(1994)] M. Taut, Journal of Physics A , 1045 (1994).

[Mariadason(2018)] A. A. Mariadason, *Quantum Many-Body Simulations of Double Dot System*, Master's thesis, University of Oslo (2018).

[Saito(2018)] H. Saito, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan **87**, 074002 (2018).

[Kim *et al.*()Kim, Fore, Nordhagen, Lovato, and Hjorth-Jensen] J.M. Kim, B. Fore, E. M. Nordhagen, A. Lovato, and M. Hjorth-Jensen, "Deep learning and confined electrons in two dimensions," .

[Ghosal *et al.*(2007)Ghosal, Güçlü, Umrigar, Ullmo, and Baranger] A. Ghosal, A. D. Güçlü, C. J. Umrigar, D. Ullmo, and H. U. Baranger, Physical Review B **76**, 085341 (2007).

[Fock(1930)] V. Fock, Zeitschrift für Physik **63**, 855 (1930).

[Metropolis *et al.*(1953)Metropolis, Rosenbluth, Rosenbluth, Teller, and Teller] N. Metropolis, A. W. Rosenbluth, M. N. Rosenbluth, A. H. Teller, and E. Teller, The Journal of Chemical Physics **21**, 1087 (1953).

[Kingma and Ba(2014)] D. P. Kingma and J. Ba, (2014), 10.48550/arxiv.1412.6980.

[Glorot and Bengio(2010)] X. Glorot and Y. Bengio, "Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks," in *Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 9, edited by Y. W. Teh and M. Titterington (PMLR, 2010) p. 249.

[1] J. Zanghellini, M. Kitzler, T. Brabec, and A. Scrinzi, Journal of Physics B **37**, 763 (2004), https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/37/4/004

[2] F. Calogero, Journal of Mathematical Physics **12**, 419, (1971), https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1665604