### **AE1MCS: Mathematics for Computer Scientists**

Huan Jin, Heshan Du University of Nottingham Ningbo China

# Aim and Learning Objectives

- To gain a good understanding of the definitions of proposition, propositional variable and logical operators;
- To gain a good understanding of other definitions: tautology, contradiction, contingency, logical equivalence, converse, contrapositive and inverse.
- To be able to draw truth tables and use them as a tool to solve logical problems;
- To be able to apply important logical equivalences to solve logical problems.

## Proposition

#### Definition

A proposition is a statement that is either true or false.

The area of logic that deals with propositions is called the *propositional logic* or *propositional calculus*.

# Is it a proposition?

- 1 Beijing is the capital of China.
- 21+1=2.
- 3 2 + 2 = 3.
- 4 What time is it?
- 5 Read this sentence carefully.
- 6 x + 1 = 2.
- 7 x + y = z.
- 8 If x > 0, then x > 1.

Unfortunately, it is not always easy to decide if a claimed proposition is true or false.

1 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of  $n^2 + n + 41$  is prime.

- 11 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of  $n^2 + n + 41$  is prime.
- The equation  $a^4 + b^4 + c^4 = d^4$  has no positive integer solutions [Euler's conjecture, 1769].

- 1 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of  $n^2 + n + 41$  is prime.
- The equation  $a^4 + b^4 + c^4 = d^4$  has no positive integer solutions [Euler's conjecture, 1769].
- 3 313( $x^3 + y^3$ ) =  $z^3$  has no positive integer solutions.

- 1 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of  $n^2 + n + 41$  is prime.
- The equation  $a^4 + b^4 + c^4 = d^4$  has no positive integer solutions [Euler's conjecture, 1769].
- 3 313( $x^3 + y^3$ ) =  $z^3$  has no positive integer solutions.
- 4 Every map can be colored with 4 colors so that adjacent regions have different colors [Four Color Theorem].

- 11 For every nonnegative integer n, the value of  $n^2 + n + 41$  is prime.
- The equation  $a^4 + b^4 + c^4 = d^4$  has no positive integer solutions [Euler's conjecture, 1769].
- 313( $x^3 + y^3$ ) =  $z^3$  has no positive integer solutions.
- 4 Every map can be colored with 4 colors so that adjacent regions have different colors [Four Color Theorem].
- Every even integer greater than 2 is the sum of two primes [Goldbach's conjecture, 1742].

# Propositional Variable

- a variable that represents a proposition
- $\blacksquare$  denoted using a letter  $p, q, r, s, \dots$
- truth value: T (true); F (false)

# **Logical Operators**

- Compound Proposition: formed from existing propositions using logical operators
- Logical Operators
  - Negation
  - Conjunction
  - Disjunction
  - Implication
  - **...**

# Negation

#### **Definition (Negation)**

Let p be a proposition. The *negation* of p, denoted by  $\neg p$ , is the statement

'It is not the case that p'.

The proposition  $\neg p$  is read 'not p'. The truth value of  $\neg p$  is the opposite of the truth value of p.

p	$\neg p$
T	F
F	Т

# Conjunction

#### Definition (Conjunction)

Let p and q be propositions. The *conjunction* of p and q, denoted by  $p \wedge q$ , is the proposition

'p and q'.

The proposition  $p \land q$  is true when both p and q are true and is false otherwise.

р	q	$p \wedge q$
Т	Т	T
Т	F	F
F	Τ	F
F	F	F

## Disjunction

#### Definition (Disjunction)

Let p and q be propositions. The *disjunction* of p and q, denoted by  $p \lor q$ , is the proposition

The proposition  $p \lor q$  is false when both p and q are false and is true otherwise.

р	q	$p \lor q$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	Т
F	Τ	Т
F	F	F

### **Exclusive Or**

#### Definition (Exclusive Or)

Let p and q be propositions. The *exclusive* or of p and q, denoted by  $p \oplus q$ , is the proposition that is true when *exactly* one of p and q is true and is false otherwise.

р	q	$p \oplus q$
Т	Т	F
Т	F	T
F	Τ	T
F	F	F

# **Implication**

#### **Definition (Implication)**

Let p and q be propositions. The *implication*  $p \rightarrow q$  is the proposition

'if p, then q'.

The proposition  $p \to q$  is false when p is true and q is false, and true otherwise. p is called the hypothesis or premise and q is called the conclusion or consequence.

р	q	$p \rightarrow q$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F
F	Τ	T
F	F	Т

The proposition  $p \rightarrow q$  is true, if p is false or q is true.

# Examples

- If Goldbach's Conjecture is true, then  $x^2 \ge 0$  for every real number x.
- If pigs fly, then your account will not get hacked.

## **Bi-Implication**

#### Definition (Bi-Implication)

Let p and q be propositions. The *bi-implication*  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is the proposition

'p if and only if q'.

The bi-implication  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is true when p and q have the same truth values, and is false otherwise.

The words 'if and only if' are sometimes abbreviated 'iff'.

р	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
Т	Т	T
Т	F	F
F	Τ	F
F	F	Т

 $p \leftrightarrow q$  is true when both  $p \to q$  and  $q \to p$  are true, and is false otherwise.

### More Definitions

- Tautology, Contradiction and Contingency
- Logical Equivalence
- Converse, Contrapositive and Inverse

# Tautology, Contradiction and Contingency

Definition (Tautology, Contradiction and Contingency)

A compound proposition that is *always true*, no matter what the truth values of the propositions that occur in it, is called a **tautology**. A compound proposition that is *always false* is called a **contradiction**. A compound proposition that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is called a **contingency**.

### Exercise

How to construct a tautology, a contradiction and a contingency using just one propositional variable?

How to construct a tautology, a contradiction and a contingency using just one propositional variable?

р	$\neg p$	$p \lor \neg p$	$p \wedge \neg p$	p  o  eg p
Т	F	Т	F	F
F	Τ	T	F	T

[There are some other ways not shown in the table above...]

## Logical Equivalence

#### Definition (Equivalence)

The compound propositions p and q are logically equivalent, if they always have the same truth value (i.e.  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a tautology). The notation  $p \equiv q$  denotes that p and q are logically equivalent.

### Exercise

- Are  $\neg(p \lor q)$  and  $\neg p \land \neg q$  logically equivalent? Why?
- Are  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $\neg p \lor q$  are logically equivalent? Why?

[Hint: construct truth tables]

Are  $\neg(p \lor q)$  and  $\neg p \land \neg q$  logically equivalent? Why?

**Answer:** Yes. As shown in the truth table below,  $\neg(p \lor q)$  and  $\neg p \land \neg q$  always have the same truth value. Thus, they are logical equivalent.

p	q	$p \lor q$	$\neg (p \lor q)$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$\neg p \wedge \neg q$
T	Т	Т	F	F	F	F
T	F	Т	F	F	Т	F
F	Τ	Т	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т

Are  $p \rightarrow q$  and  $\neg p \lor q$  are logically equivalent? Why?

**Answer:** Yes. As shown in the truth table below,  $p \to q$  and  $\neg p \lor q$  always have the same truth value. Thus, they are logical equivalent.

р	q	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	p  o q
Т	Т	F	Т	Т
T	F	F	F	F
F	Т	Т	T	Т
F	F	Т	T	Т

# Converse, Contrapositive and Inverse

- The **converse** of  $p \rightarrow q$  is the proposition  $q \rightarrow p$ .
- The **contrapositive** of  $p \rightarrow q$  is the proposition  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ .
- The **inverse** of  $p \rightarrow q$  is the proposition  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ .

Which pairs of the following propositions are equivalent? Why?

- a conditional statement and its converse
- a conditional statement and its contrapositive
- a conditional statement and its inverse

# Some Important Logical Equivalences

	Equivalence	Name
1	$p \wedge T \equiv p$	Identity laws
2	$ oldsymbol{ ho} ee \mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{p}$	
3	$p \lor T \equiv T$	Domination laws
4	$p \wedge F \equiv F$	
5	$p \lor p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
6	$p \wedge p \equiv p$	
7	$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
8	$p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$	Commutative laws
9	$p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	

# Some Important Logical Equivalences

	Equivalence	Name
10	$(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$	Associative laws
11	$(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	
12	$p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$	Distributive laws
13	$p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	
14	$ eg(p \wedge q) \equiv  eg p \vee  eg q$	De Morgan's laws
15	$ eg(p \lor q) \equiv  eg p \land  eg q$	
16	$oldsymbol{p}ee(oldsymbol{p}\wedgeoldsymbol{q})\equivoldsymbol{p}$	Absorption laws
17	$\rho \wedge (\rho \vee q) \equiv \rho$	
18	$ ho ee  eg  ho \equiv \overline{T}$	Negation laws
19	$oldsymbol{ ho} \wedge  eg oldsymbol{ ho} \equiv oldsymbol{F}$	

# Logical Equivalences involving Implications

20	$ extcolor{black}{p}  ightarrow  extcolor{black}{q} \equiv  eg  extcolor{black}{p} ee  extcolor{black}{q}$
21	$ extstyle p  o q \equiv  eg q  o  eg p$
22	$ extcolor{black}{p}ee q\equiv  eg p ightarrow q$
23	$p \wedge q \equiv \lnot (p  o \lnot q)$
24	$ eg(p o q)\equiv p\wedge  eg q$
25	$(p \rightarrow q) \land (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \land r)$
26	$(p \rightarrow r) \land (q \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \lor q) \rightarrow r$
27	$(p \rightarrow q) \lor (p \rightarrow r) \equiv p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
28	$(p \to r) \lor (q \to r) \equiv (p \land q) \to r$

# Logical Equivalences involving Bi-Implications

$$\begin{array}{c|c} 29 & p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \land (q \rightarrow p) \\ 30 & p \leftrightarrow q \equiv \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\ 31 & p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \land q) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q) \\ 32 & \neg (p \leftrightarrow q) \equiv p \leftrightarrow \neg q \\ \end{array}$$

## Using De Morgan's Laws

Use De Morgan's laws to express the negations of the following sentences.

- Tony has a cellphone and he has a laptop computer.
- Heather will go to the concert or Steve will go to the concert.

# Constructing New Logical Equivalences

- A proposition in a compound proposition can be replaced by a compound proposition that is logically equivalent to it without changing the truth value of the original compound proposition.
- Prove two propositions are logically equivalent by developing a series of logical equivalences.

### **Exercise**

- Show that  $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$  and  $p \land \neg q$  are logically equivalent.
- Show that  $\neg(p \lor (\neg p \land q))$  and  $\neg p \land \neg q$  are logically equivalent.
- Show that  $(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \lor q)$  is a tautology.

Show that  $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$  and  $p \land \neg q$  are logically equivalent.

#### Answer:

$$\neg(p \to q) 
\equiv \neg(\neg p \lor q) 
\equiv \neg(\neg p) \land \neg q 
\equiv p \land \neg q.$$

Show that  $\neg(p \lor (\neg p \land q))$  and  $\neg p \land \neg q$  are logically equivalent.

#### Answer:

$$\neg(p \lor (\neg p \land q)) 
\equiv \neg p \land \neg(\neg p \land q) 
\equiv \neg p \land (\neg(\neg p) \lor \neg q) 
\equiv \neg p \land (p \lor \neg q) 
\equiv (\neg p \land p) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q) 
\equiv F \lor (\neg p \land \neg q) 
\equiv \neg p \land \neg q.$$

Show that  $(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \lor q)$  is a tautology.

#### Answer:

$$(p \land q) \rightarrow (p \lor q)$$

$$\equiv \neg(p \land q) \lor (p \lor q)$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \lor \neg q) \lor (p \lor q)$$

$$\equiv (p \lor \neg p) \lor (q \lor \neg q)$$

$$= T \lor T = T$$

# List of Symbols

SYMBOL	MEANING	PAGE
$\neg p$	negation of p	3
$p \wedge q$	conjunction of $p$ and $q$	4
$p \vee q$	disjunction of $p$ and $q$	4
$p \oplus q$	exclusive or of $p$ and $q$	6
$p \rightarrow q$	the implication $p$ implies $q$	6
$p \leftrightarrow q$	biconditional of p and q	9
$p \equiv q$	equivalence of $p$ and $q$	23
ceiling talkener	tautology	23
Fw (u) to meat	contradiction	23
$P(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$	propositional function	36
$\forall x P(x)$	universal quantification of $P(x)$	38
$\exists x P(x)$	existential quantification of $P(x)$	40
	uniqueness quantification of $P(x)$	41
$\exists !x P(x)$	therefore	64
$p\{S\}q$	partial correctness of S	364

# **Expected Learning Outcomes**

- To gain a good understanding of the definitions of proposition, propositional variable and logical operators;
- To gain a good understanding of other definitions: tautology, contradiction, contingency, logical equivalence, converse, contrapositive and inverse.
- To be able to draw truth tables and use them as a tool to solve logical problems;
- To be able to apply important logical equivalences to solve logical problems.

# Reading

Kenneth H. Rosen, *Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications*, 7th Edition, 2013.

■ Sections 1.1-1.3.