

Compiler Construction: Assignment 2

Fabian Krause

November 22, 2021

Assignment 2: Compiler for \mathcal{L}_{Int}

5 passes:

1. Remove Complex Operands: $\mathcal{L}_{Int} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_{Int}^{mon}$
2. Select Instructions: $\mathcal{L}_{Int}^{mon} \rightsquigarrow x86_{Var}$
3. Assign Homes: $x86_{Var} \rightsquigarrow x86_{Int}$
4. Patch Instructions: $x86_{Int} \rightsquigarrow x86_{Int}$
5. Prelude and Conclusion: $x86_{Int} \rightsquigarrow x86_{Int}$

1. Remove Complex Operands: $\mathcal{L}_{Int} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{L}_{Int}^{mon}$

Goal: All operands must be atomic (for example, $3 + (3 + 4)$ is not allowed)

Example: $\text{BinOp}(e1, \text{op}, e2)$

- ▶ $e1$ and $e2$ have to be atomic, since addq and subq (and any other n -ary operator) require two (n) atomic operands
- ▶ If $\text{BinOp}(e1, \text{op}, e2)$ is supposed to be atomic, assign it to fresh variable tmp
 - ▶ $\text{BinOp}(e1, \text{op}, e2) \rightsquigarrow \text{tmp},$
[$\dots, (\text{tmp}, \text{BinOp}(\text{atm1}, \text{op}, \text{atm2}))]$

Not all expressions have to be made atomic!

```
1      3 + 4
```

```
2
```

```
1      x = 1 + 6
```

```
2
```

2. Select Instructions: $\mathcal{L}_{Int}^{mon} \rightsquigarrow \text{x86}_{Var}$

Example: Statement `var = BinOp(atm1, Sub(), atm2)`

Idea:

```
1      movq    atm1, var
2      subq    atm2, var
3
```

What if `atm1 = var`?

```
1      movq    atm1, atm1
2      subq    atm2, atm1
3
```

What if `atm2 = var`?

```
1      movq    atm1, atm2
2      subq    atm2, atm2
3
```

Example: Statement `var = BinOp(atm1, Sub(), atm2)`

If `atm1 = var`

```
1      subq    atm2, atm1
```

```
2
```

If `atm2 = var`

```
1      negq    atm2
```

```
2      addq    atm1, atm2
```

```
3
```

Else

```
1      movq    atm1, var
```

```
2      subq    atm2, var
```

```
3
```

3. Assign Homes: $x86_{Var} \rightsquigarrow x86_{Int}$

Spill everything!

Store assignments in a mapping from Variable to arg. Create new stack locations on the fly.

4. Patch Instructions: $x86_{Int} \rightsquigarrow x86_{Int}$

x86 only allows one operand to be a memory location!

```
1      istr    -16(%rbp), -32(%rbp)
```

```
2
```

```
1      movq    -16(%rbp), %rax
```

```
2      istr    %rax, -32(%rbp)
```

```
3
```

5. Prelude and Conclusion: $x86_{Int} \rightsquigarrow x86_{Int}$

Prelude/Prologue:

- ▶ Save old base pointer %rbp (callee-saved register!)
- ▶ Update base pointer
- ▶ Make space for variables

```
1      pushq    %rbp
2      movq     %rsp, %rbp
3      subq     $16, %rsp
4
```

Conclusion/Epilogue:

- ▶ Remove space for variables
- ▶ Restore old base pointer

```
1      addq     $16, %rsp
2      popq     %rbp
3
```


Questions?