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# Overview/Background

The Splice Machine would like to have a durable, highly available, and scalable concurrency model based on Snapshot Isolation (SI) extending HBase’s Multi Version Concurrency Control (MVCC). Several papers have been written recently illustrating techniques for performing Snapshot Isolation style semantics on HBase or BigTable. Ferro and Yabandeh have an exhaustive discussion about Snaphsot Isolation comparisons in Section 7 of their Paper *A Critique of Snapshot Isolation*.

Although Write Snapshot Isolation is an interesting concept presented in their paper, at this point Splice Machine will attempt basic Snapshot Isolation. Both Percolator and Omid contain in memory representations of the write set and follow Snapshot Isolation semantics and structure.

Omid uses the TransactionOracle to cache the rows latest commit Timestamp for in-memory conflict detection. This works well with concurrent transactions but clearly will not work for analytics level table scans where the cache cannot contain all the transactions latest commit timestamps. A simple large table scan would require a transaction lookup for each unique latest commit Timestamp in a region.

Percolator’s Two Phase Commit approach follows a classic two-phase commit protocol. The synchronous, multiple passes over the transactional writes would cause significant performance degradation in the case of large write sets.

Splice Machine will attempt to merge these two approaches to create a durable, highly available, and scalable concurrency model based on Snapshot Isolation.

# Requirements

The Splice Machine will need to support Snapshot Isolation for large numbers of small transactions (OLTP) and for large analytics queries (OLAP).

The addition of Snapshot Isolation cannot increase the write or read latency of HBase by more than 20%.

# Proposed Solution

## Proposed System Schema

Several new system level tables will be added to the existing Derby System Schemas.

TXN

Purpose: This table will maintain the transactional record through its state changes.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Fields | Data Type | Description |
| rowKey | long | Transaction Start Timestamp or Transaction ID |
| Attributes:Tsc | long | Transaction Commit Timestamp from Zookeeper |
| Attributes:Status | varchar | Active, Error, Commit, Abort, Complete |

## Acquisition of Shared and Exclusive Table Locks

The *TABLE\_LOCK* table will handle the exclusive locks for tables and indexes. There will not be a concept of a shared table lock. When an exclusive lock is requested, a record will be written into the TABLE\_LOCK table. The exclusive lock co-processor will be called to tell each region to block all transactions on the region greater than the transaction for the TABLE\_LOCK. Once all transactions have completed, the exclusive operation can finish and remove its TABLE\_LOCK.

## Null Columns

HBase Tables sparsely populate their columns making the storage of nulls extremely efficient. However, use cases where a value transitions from populated to null must be handled in the case of Snapshot Isolation. A Zero byte[] will be used to tombstone null columns when there was a prior value but not a current value.

## Record Tombstone and Rows with all Nulls

HBase Rows will have a record tombstone in the Percolator family that will mark a row as deleted. The tombstone will have the recorded timestamp of the delete like other updates and be written to the column *tombstone*. All rows will have a column populated in the attributes family (-1) that will be a zero byte[] column representing its creation. This will allow the current derby code to create records with all null columns.

## Transaction Creation

Once the transaction is created, Zookeeper will be called to retrieve a universally increasing timestamp for the start of the Transaction (*Tid or Transaction ID*). The Transaction ID will be written to the *TXN* table with a transaction status of Active.

Tid 🡨 Zookeeper Timestamp Oracle

Write Ti 🡪 **TXN** table

## Row Level Data Model

In the example row below, one can see the three different start timestamps referring to the state of the row at different times.

RowKey: 1

## Read Operations

All operations will need to start a transaction if it does not exist (3.7) and DDL Operations will need to acquire an exclusive lock.

Read Requests: (Ts(txni), Rr)

The lastCommit check will make the following progressions. (1) Check the local record’s lastCommit Time if it exists for the Transaction. If it does not exist, (2) it will check the Region Server’s commit cache. If the cache does not have the transaction, (3)it will hit the TXN table, place the transaction in the local Region Server’s cache, and then asynchronously roll forward the commit Timestamp.

## Write Operation

All operations will need to start a transaction if it does not exist(3.7) and DDL Operations will need to acquire an exclusive lock. Writes will need to perform write-write conflict checking detailed below:

Write Requests: (Ts(txni), Rw)

\*Notice that the whole set is sent for callback (One RPC)

## Lazy Last Commit Roll Forward

The Key difference between Percolator and Omid is the commit timestamp identification process. The Splice Machine will attempt to split the difference between the two approaches while adjusting to the reality of not having a single, in memory representation of write operations. Specifically, we will not guarantee LastCommit Timestamp update (Similar to both Percolator and Omid). We will attempt to push forward the commit timestamp in a non-durable way to increase performance. We will do this via the following methods…

1. Asynchronous Write Transaction Callback for write sets smaller than the *TransactionWriteThreshold*. These will perform commit timestamp roll forwards and abort record removal for small transactions.
2. Asynchronous Read Roll Forward Commit timestamp append and abort removal (Similar to Percolator).
3. Region Compaction will trigger Commit Timestamp roll forwards, abort removals, and extra version removal (Algorithm TBD).
4. Table Vacuum will trigger Commit Timestamp roll forwards, abort removals, and extra version removal (Algorithm TBD).

## Deadlock Detection

Deadlocks cannot occur since blocked transactions fail.

# Assumptions/Limitations

## 4.1 Serializability

The current approach does not support serializability from a database perspective. It could be added by implementing range locks or by following a different structure outlined in <http://www.cs.umn.edu/tech_reports_upload/tr2012/12-004.pdf>.

## 4.2 Point in Time Recoverability

Our current implementation utilizes Zookeeper for schema definitions (Conglomerate Definitions). We would need to change this to an HBase table if we would like to be able to fully recover to a point in time.

# Other Design Considerations

[Subsections here cover additional design thoughts to the feature]

## QA Considerations

[Thoughts about the types of Unit, Functional, Performance, etc. tests that should be written. Also, will these design changes impact/break existing QA test plans?]

## Documentation/Help Considerations

[Thoughts around the impact of this feature on Documentation and Help are useful here]

## Hardware/OS Considerations

[Are there any hardware-specific or OS-specific issues?]

## I18N/L10N Considerations

[How is this design dealing with Internationalization issues?]

## Public API Considerations

[Are there public API’s? If so add as an additional section]

## Operational Considerations

[Are there any additional considerations for the Operational part of the business?]

## Build/Release Considerations

[Are there any impacts on the build or release processes?]

## Upgrade/Migration Considerations

[When an upgrade or migration occurs, what must be taken into consideration? Are there scripts to accommodate migration? Etc]

## Future Considerations

[What features or design ideas came up that we should consider for the future? List these here to help out Product Management, Development, etc]

# Outstanding Issues

[Remaining issues that need to be resolved still]

# Appendix A

@Override

**public** **void** start(CoprocessorEnvironment e) **throws** IOException {

SpliceLogUtils.*info*(*LOG*, "Starting the coprocessor CoProcessor %s", SpliceDerbyRegionObserver.**class**);

**super**.start(e);

**synchronized** (**this**) {

**if** (*server* == **null**) {

**try** {

*server* = **new** NetworkServerControl();

*server*.start(**new** DerbyOutputLoggerWriter()); // This will log to log4j

SpliceLogUtils.*info*(*LOG*, *server*.getSysinfo());

} **catch** (Exception exception) {

SpliceLogUtils.*logAndThrow*(*LOG*, "Could Not Start Derby - Catastrophic", **new** IOException(exception));

}

}

}

}

/\*\*

\* Logs the stop of the observer.

\*/

@Override

**public** **void** stop(CoprocessorEnvironment e) **throws** IOException {

SpliceLogUtils.*info*(*LOG*, "Stopping the CoProcessor %s",SpliceDerbyRegionObserver.**class**);

**super**.stop(e);

**synchronized** (**this**) {

**if** (*server* != **null**) {

**try** {

*server* = **null**;

} **catch** (Exception exception) {

SpliceLogUtils.*logAndThrow*(*LOG*, "Could Not Start Derby - Catastrophic", **new** IOException(exception));

}

}

}

}