// HALBORN

# Composable.Finance

Substrate Pallets Security Audit

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: February 29th, 2021 - April 29th, 2022

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCU	MENT REVISION HISTORY	6
CONT	ACTS	7
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	8
1.1	INTRODUCTION	9
1.2	AUDIT SUMMARY	9
1.3	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	9
	RISK METHODOLOGY	10
1.4	SCOPE	12
2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	13
3	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	15
3.1	(HAL-01) IMPROPER PRICE CALCULATION LEADS TO MULTIPLE VULNE	RA-
	BILITIES - CRITICAL	17
	Description	17
	Code Location	17
	Proof Of Concept	19
	Risk Level	22
	Recommendation	22
3.2	(HAL-02) ORACLE SLASHING MECHANISM BYPASS - CRITICAL	23
	Description	23
	Code Location	23
	Proof Of Concept	25
	Risk Level	27
	Recommendation	27
3.3	(HAL-03) IMPROPER LENDING MARKET CONFIGURATION - CRITICAL	28
	Description	28

	Code Location	28
	Proof Of Concept	31
	Risk Level	37
	Recommendation	37
3.4	(HAL-04) OFFER CREATION WITH THE SAME ASSET - HIGH	38
	Description	38
	Code Location	38
	Proof Of Concept	39
	Risk Level	40
	Recommendation	40
3.5	(HAL-05) DUTCH AUCTION CREATION WITH THE SAME ASSET - HIGH	41
	Description	41
	Code Location	41
	Proof Of Concept	42
	Risk Level	43
	Recommendation	43
3.6	(HAL-06) COLLATERAL FACTOR CAN BE SET SMALLER THAN TWO - MED:	IUM
		44
	Description	44
	Code Location	44
	Proof Of Concept	45
	Risk Level	45
	Recommendation	45
3.7	(HAL-07) MISSING ACCESS CONTROL LEADS TO PRICE MANIPULATION LOW	N - 46
	Description	16

	Code Location	46
	Proof Of Concept	47
	Risk Level	48
	Recommendation	48
3.8	(HAL-08) ASSET MAPPING WITH NON EXISTING ASSET ID - LOW	49
	Description	49
	Code Location	49
	Proof Of Concept	50
	Risk Level	50
	Recommendation	50
3.9	(HAL-09) MISSING 'transactional' MACRO - LOW	51
	Description	51
	Code Location	51
	Proof Of Concept	54
	Risk Level	55
	Recommendation	55
3.10	(HAL-10) VESTING TRANSFER TO CALLER ACCOUNT - LOW	56
	Description	56
	Code Location	56
	Proof Of Concept	56
	Risk Level	57
	Recommendation	57
3.11	(HAL-11) DUPLICATE ROUTES ALLOWED - LOW	58
	Description	58

	Code Location	58
	Proof Of Concept	59
	Risk Level	60
	Recommendation	60
3.12	(HAL-12) UPDATE/CREATE ROUTES WITH NON-EXISTING TOKENS - LO 61	W
	Description	61
	Code Location	61
	Proof Of Concept	62
	Risk Level	63
	Recommendation	63
3.13	(HAL-13) CREATE DUPLICATE POOL WITH SAME PAIR - LOW	65
	Description	65
	Code Location	65
	Proof Of Concept	66
	Risk Level	67
	Recommendation	67
3.14	(HAL-14) ZERO AMOUNT COLLATERAL DEPOSIT - LOW	68
	Description	68
	Code Location	68
	Proof Of Concept	69
	Risk Level	70
	Recommendation	70
3.15	(HAL-15) MISSING ZERO VALUE CHECK - LOW	71
	Description	71
	Code Location	71

	Proof Of Concept	72
	Risk Level	72
	Recommendation	72
3.16	(HAL-16) TRANSFER TO CALLER ACCOUNT - LOW	73
	Description	73
	Code Location	73
	Proof Of Concept	74
	Risk Level	74
	Recommendation	74
3.17	(HAL-17) POSSIBLE ERROR AFTER CRITICAL FUNCTION - INFORMATION	
		75
	Description	75
	Code Location	75
	Risk Level	76
	Recommendation	76
4	AUTOMATED TESTING	77
4.1	AUTOMATED ANALYSIS	78
	Description	78
	Results	78

# DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	03/21/2022	Alpcan Onaran
0.2	Document Edits	04/26/2022	Michal Bajor
0.3	Document Edits	04/27/2022	Alpcan Onaran
0.4	Document Edits	04/28/2022	Alpcan Onaran
0.5	Draft Review	04/29/2022	Timur Guvenkaya
0.6	Draft Final Review	04/29/2022	Gabi Urrutia

# CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com
Timur Guvenkaya	Halborn	Timur.Guvenkaya@halborn.com
Michal Bajor	Halborn	Michal.Bajor@halborn.com
Alpcan Onaran	Halborn	Alpcan.Onaran@halborn.com
Hossam Mohamed	Halborn	Hossam.mohamed@halborn.com

# EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

Composable engaged Halborn to conduct a security assessment on their main Substrate pallets on February 29th, 2022 and ending April 29th, 2022. Composable is a cross-chain and cross-layer interoperability platform which aims to resolve the current problem of a lack of cohesion between different decentralized finance (DeFi) protocols.

## 1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided 8 weeks for the engagement and assigned one full-time security engineer to audit the security of the assets in scope. The engineer is a blockchain and smart contract security expert with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and in-depth knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this audit is to achieve the following:

 Identify potential security issues within the main Composable pallets.

In summary, Halborn identified few security risks that should be addressed by the Composable team.

## 1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy regarding the scope of the Bridge Substrate pallet. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of the code and can quickly identify items that do not follow security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used throughout the term of the audit:

- Research into the architecture, purpose, and use of the platform.
- Smart contract manual code review and walkthrough to identify any logic issue.
- Mapping out possible attack vectors
- Thorough assessment of safety and usage of critical Rust variables and functions in scope that could led to arithmetic <u>vulnerabilities</u>.
- Finding unsafe Rust code usage (cargo-geiger)
- On chain testing of core functions(polkadot.js).
- Active Fuzz testing {cargo-fuzz, honggfuzz}
- Scanning dependencies for known vulnerabilities (cargo audit).

#### RISK METHODOLOGY:

Vulnerabilities or issues observed by Halborn are ranked based on the risk assessment methodology by measuring the LIKELIHOOD of a security incident and the IMPACT should an incident occur. This framework works for communicating the characteristics and impacts of technology vulnerabilities. The quantitative model ensures repeatable and accurate measurement while enabling users to see the underlying vulnerability characteristics that were used to generate the Risk scores. For every vulnerability, a risk level will be calculated on a scale of 5 to 1 with 5 being the highest likelihood or impact.

#### RISK SCALE - LIKELIHOOD

- 5 Almost certain an incident will occur.
- 4 High probability of an incident occurring.
- 3 Potential of a security incident in the long term.
- 2 Low probability of an incident occurring.
- 1 Very unlikely issue will cause an incident.

#### RISK SCALE - IMPACT

- 5 May cause devastating and unrecoverable impact or loss.
- 4 May cause a significant level of impact or loss.

- 3 May cause a partial impact or loss to many.
- 2 May cause temporary impact or loss.
- 1 May cause minimal or un-noticeable impact.

The risk level is then calculated using a sum of these two values, creating a value of 10 to 1 with 10 being the highest level of security risk.

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
----------	------	--------	-----	---------------

10 - CRITICAL

9 - 8 - HIGH

**7 - 6** - MEDIUM

**5 - 4** - LOW

3 - 1 - VERY LOW AND INFORMATIONAL

## 1.4 SCOPE

The review was scoped to the pallets directory using 854 b59598fb4e44b2ed4540e93365fbc2074b99e commit-id in ComposableFi/composable repository.

- Pallets
  - Assets
  - Bonded Finance
  - Dutch Auction
  - Oracle
  - Vesting
  - Dex-router
  - Mosaic
  - Helper pallet functions

# 2. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
3	2	1	10	1

## LIKELIHOOD

				(HAL-01) (HAL-02) (HAL-03)
			(HAL-06)	(HAL-04) (HAL-05)
	(HAL-08) (HAL-09) (HAL-10) (HAL-11) (HAL-12) (HAL-13) (HAL-14) (HAL-15) (HAL-16)			
(HAL-17)		(HAL-07)		

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
HAL-01 IMPROPER PRICE CALCULATION LEADS TO MULTIPLE VULNERABILITIES	Critical	-
HAL-02 ORACLE SLASHING MECHANISM BYPASS	Critical	-
HAL-03 IMPROPER LENDING MARKET CONFIGURATION LEADS TO MULTIPLE VULNERABILITIES	Critical	-
HAL-04 OFFER CREATION WITH THE SAME ASSET	High	-
HAL-05 DUTCH AUCTION CREATION WITH THE SAME ASSET	High	-
HAL-06 COLLATERAL FACTOR CAN BE SET SMALLER THAN TWO	Medium	-
HAL-07 MISSING ACCESS CONTROL LEADS TO PRICE MANIPULATION	Medium	-
HAL-08 ASSET MAPPING WITH NON-EXISTING ASSET ID	Low	<u>-</u>
HAL-09 MISSING 'transactional' MACRO	Low	-
HAL-10 VESTING TRANSFER TO CALLER ACCOUNT	Low	-
HAL-11 DUPLICATE ROUTES ALLOWED	Low	-
HAL-12 UPDATE/CREATE ROUTES WITH NON-EXISTING TOKENS	Low	-
HAL-13 CREATE DUPLICATE POOL WITH SAME PAIR	Low	-
HAL-14 ZERO AMOUNT COLLATERAL DEPOSIT	Low	-
HAL-15 MISSING ZERO VALUE CHECK	Low	-
HAL-16 TRANSFER TO CALLER ACCOUNT	Low	-

HAL-17 POSSIBLE ERROR AFTER CRITICAL FUNCTION



# FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

# 3.1 (HAL-01) IMPROPER PRICE CALCULATION LEADS TO MULTIPLE VULNERABILITIES - CRITICAL

#### Description:

The Oracle pallet is responsible for calculating prices for an asset. It is observed that it inappropriately calculates price, which leads to multiple undesired scenarios. The price itself is calculated at the end of each block if new prices were submitted and there were enough. The resulting price is a median of a sorted vector containing all proposed prices. To prevent malicious Oracles from manipulating the asset's price, every proposal which would not be in the acceptable range results in a slash of Oracle balance. However, two scenarios are possible where this mechanism can be exploited.

Suppose exactly half of the proposed prices would be malicious, i.e., substantially increasing or decreasing an asset's price. In that case, all Oracles might get slashed, regardless if they submitted a plausible price or not.

On the other hand, if most of the proposed prices were malicious, then such a situation would result in legitimate Oracles getting slashed.

Additionally, executing such attacks is as easy as anyone with enough balance can become an Oracle.

#### Code Location:

```
Listing 1: frame/oracle/src/lib.rs (Line 785)

775 pub fn update_price(
776    asset_id: T::AssetId,
777    asset_info: AssetInfo<Percent, T::BlockNumber, BalanceOf<T>>,
778    block: T::BlockNumber,
779    pre_prices: Vec<PrePrice<T::PriceValue, T::BlockNumber, T::
L, AccountId>>,
```

```
780 ) -> DispatchResult {
       if pre_prices.len() as u32 >= asset_info.min_answers {
           if let Some(price) = Self::get_median_price(&pre_prices) {
               Prices::<T>::insert(asset_id, Price { price, block });
               PriceHistory::<T>::try_mutate(asset_id, |prices| ->
   DispatchResult {
                   if prices.len() as u32 >= T::MaxHistory::get() {
                        prices.remove(0);
                   }
                   if block != 0_u32.into() {
                            .try_push(Price { price, block })
                            .map_err(|_| Error::<T>::MaxHistory)?;
                   0k(())
               })?;
               PrePrices::<T>::remove(asset_id);
               Self::handle_payout(&pre_prices, price, asset_id, &
→ asset_info);
           }
       0k(())
804 }
```

## 

```
let mid = numbers.len() / 2;

if numbers.len() % 2 == 0 {

#[allow(clippy::indexing_slicing)] // mid is less than the

len (len/2)

Some(numbers[mid - 1].saturating_add(numbers[mid]) / 2_u32

.into())

less {

#[allow(clippy::indexing_slicing)] // mid is less than the

len (len/2)

Some(numbers[mid])

Some(numbers[mid])

863 }

864 }
```

Proof Of Concept:

```
Listing 3
 2 fn halborn_test_price_manipulation() {
       new_test_ext().execute_with(|| {
           const ASSET_ID: u128 = 0;
           const MIN_ANSWERS: u32 = 3;
           const MAX_ANSWERS: u32 = 5;
           const THRESHOLD: Percent = Percent::from_percent(80);
           const BLOCK_INTERVAL: u64 = 5;
           const REWARD: u64 = 5;
           const SLASH: u64 = 5;
           let account_1 = get_account_1();
           let account_2 = get_account_2();
           let account_4 = get_account_4();
           let account_5 = get_account_5();
           assert_ok!(Oracle::add_asset_and_info(
               Origin::signed(account_2),
               ASSET_ID,
               Validated::new(THRESHOLD).unwrap(),
               Validated::new(MIN_ANSWERS).unwrap(),
               Validated::new(MAX_ANSWERS).unwrap(),
 → >>::new(BLOCK_INTERVAL).unwrap(),
               REWARD,
```

```
));
          System::set_block_number(6);
          assert_ok!(Oracle::set_signer(Origin::signed(account_2),
→ account_1));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::set_signer(Origin::signed(account_1),
→ account_2));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::set_signer(Origin::signed(account_5),
\rightarrow account_4));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::set_signer(Origin::signed(account_4),
\rightarrow account_5));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::add_stake(Origin::signed(account_1),
assert_ok!(Oracle::add_stake(Origin::signed(account_2),
assert_ok!(Oracle::add_stake(Origin::signed(account_4),
assert_ok!(Oracle::add_stake(Origin::signed(account_5),
let balance1 = Balances::free_balance(account_1);
          let balance2 = Balances::free_balance(account_2);
          let balance4 = Balances::free_balance(account_4);
          let balance5 = Balances::free_balance(account_5);
          println!("BALANCES before price submissions");
          println!("1: {}", balance1);
          println!("2: {}", balance2);
          println!("4: {}", balance4);
          println!("5: {}", balance5);
48
          // Scenario 1: 50% of Oracles are malicious
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_1),
   100_u128, 0_u128));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_2),
   100_u128, 0_u128));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_4),
   900_u128, 0_u128));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_5),
   900_u128, 0_u128));
          System::set_block_number(7);
```

```
Oracle::on_initialize(7);
          let res = Oracle::get_price(0, 1).unwrap();
          println!("PRICE: {} | BLOCK: {}", res.price, res.block);
          let balance1 = Balances::free_balance(account_1);
          let balance2 = Balances::free_balance(account_2);
          let balance4 = Balances::free_balance(account_4);
          let balance5 = Balances::free_balance(account_5);
          println!("BALANCES after price submition with 50% of
println!("1 (legitimate): {}", balance1);
          println!("2 (legitimate): {}", balance2);
          println!("4 (malicious)): {}", balance4);
          println!("5 (malicious): {}", balance5);
          // Scenario 2: >50% of Oracles are malicious
          System::set_block_number(13);
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_1),
   100_u128, 0_u128));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_4),
   900_u128, 0_u128));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_5),
   900_u128, 0_u128));
          System::set_block_number(14);
          Oracle::on_initialize(14);
          let res = Oracle::get_price(0, 1).unwrap();
          println!("PRICE: {} | BLOCK: {}", res.price, res.block);
          let balance1 = Balances::free_balance(account_1);
          let balance4 = Balances::free_balance(account_4);
84
          let balance5 = Balances::free_balance(account_5);
          println!("BALANCES after price submission with >50% of
🖵 malicious oracles (legitimate oracles are slashed and malicious
→ oracles are rewarded):");
          println!("1 (legitimate): {}", balance1);
          println!("4 (malicious): {}", balance4);
          println!("5 (malicious): {}", balance5);
      });
90 }
```

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 5 Impact - 5

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to calculate the new price as an average instead of a median, without considering the ones that are getting slashed. To determine which Oracles should get slashed, all prices should be considered. Then, if at least a specific number of the prices do not differ by more than a specified threshold, a price submission should be regarded as valid. Oracles that proposed a price within the threshold should be rewarded, and other Oracles should get slashed. This solution would not eliminate the second scenario. However, it would be more challenging to execute with a sufficient threshold defined.

# 3.2 (HAL-02) ORACLE SLASHING MECHANISM BYPASS - CRITICAL

#### Description:

The Oracle pallet is responsible for calculating prices for an asset implements a slashing mechanism that is designed to disincentivize malicious users from trying to manipulate the price. If the proposed price is not in the valid range from the newly chosen price (defined per asset), Oracle, who submitted that price, would lose a portion of its tokens.

However, the tokens are not subtracted from the staked balance but the free balance. If there is no free balance in the user's account, slash would not be completed.

For example, a malicious Oracle might stake all of its tokens. Then Oracle might send an invalid price proposal, manipulating the market. In such a scenario, an Oracle pallet would not be able to punish the malicious Oracle, who then may unstake the tokens and receive the initially staked tokens without penalties.

#### Code Location:

```
PerThing::from_rational(adjusted_number, price)
           };
           let min_accuracy = asset_info.threshold;
               let try_slash = T::Currency::can_slash(&answer.who,
   slash_amount);
                   log::warn!("Failed to slash {:?}", answer.who);
               T::Currency::slash(&answer.who, slash_amount);
                    answer.who.clone(),
               ));
           } else {
               let reward_amount = asset_info.reward;
               let controller = SignerToController::<T>::get(&answer.
→ who)
                    .unwrap_or_else(|| answer.who.clone());
               let result = T::Currency::deposit_into_existing(&
   controller, reward_amount);
                if result.is_err() {
                   log::warn!("Failed to deposit {:?}", controller);
               Self::deposit_event(Event::UserRewarded()
                    answer.who.clone(),
                   asset_id,
                   reward_amount,
               ));
           };
           Self::remove_price_in_transit(&answer.who, asset_info)
718 }
```

Proof Of Concept:

```
Listing 5
 2 fn halborn_test_escape_slashing() {
       new_test_ext().execute_with(|| {
           const ASSET_ID: u128 = 0;
           const MIN_ANSWERS: u32 = 3;
           const MAX_ANSWERS: u32 = 5;
           const THRESHOLD: Percent = Percent::from_percent(80);
           const BLOCK_INTERVAL: u64 = 5;
           const REWARD: u64 = 5;
           const SLASH: u64 = 5;
           let account_1 = get_account_1();
           let account_2 = get_account_2();
           let account_4 = get_account_4();
           let account_5 = get_account_5();
           assert_ok!(Oracle::add_asset_and_info()
               Origin::signed(account_2),
                Validated::new(THRESHOLD).unwrap(),
               Validated::new(MIN_ANSWERS).unwrap(),
                Validated::new(MAX_ANSWERS).unwrap(),
                Validated::<BlockNumber, ValidBlockInterval<StalePrice
   >>::new(BLOCK_INTERVAL).unwrap(),
               REWARD,
                SLASH
           ));
           let balance1 = Balances::free_balance(account_1);
           let balance2 = Balances::free_balance(account_2);
           let balance4 = Balances::free_balance(account_4);
           let balance5 = Balances::free_balance(account_5);
           println!("BALANCES before staking");
           println!("1: {}", balance1);
           println!("2: {}", balance2);
           println!("4: {}", balance4);
           println!("5: {}", balance5);
           System::set_block_number(6);
           assert_ok!(Oracle::set_signer(Origin::signed(account_2),
 \rightarrow account_1));
```

```
assert_ok!(Oracle::set_signer(Origin::signed(account_1),
→ account_2));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::set_signer(Origin::signed(account_5),
\rightarrow account_4));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::set_signer(Origin::signed(account_4),
\rightarrow account_5));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::add_stake(Origin::signed(account_1),
assert_ok!(Oracle::add_stake(Origin::signed(account_2),
assert_ok!(Oracle::add_stake(Origin::signed(account_4),
→ 99));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::add_stake(Origin::signed(account_5),
let balance1 = Balances::free_balance(account_1);
          let balance2 = Balances::free_balance(account_2);
          let balance4 = Balances::free_balance(account_4);
          let balance5 = Balances::free_balance(account_5);
          println!("BALANCES before price submissions");
          println!("1: {}", balance1);
          println!("2: {}", balance2);
          println!("4: {}", balance4);
          println!("5: {}", balance5);
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_1),
   100_u128, 0_u128));
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_2),
   100_u128, 0_u128));
          // Proposing price of 4000 would result in getting slashed
          assert_ok!(Oracle::submit_price(Origin::signed(account_4),
   4000_u128, 0_u128));
          System::set_block_number(7);
          Oracle::on_initialize(7);
          let res = Oracle::get_price(0, 1).unwrap();
          println!("AFTER 1st SUBMIT PRICE - PRICE: {} | BLOCK: {}",
   res.price, res.block);
          let balance1 = Balances::free_balance(account_1);
          let balance2 = Balances::free_balance(account_2);
          let balance4 = Balances::free_balance(account_4);
          let balance5 = Balances::free_balance(account_5);
          println!("BALANCES after price submissions");
```

```
println!("1: {}", balance1);
           println!("2: {}", balance2);
          println!("4: {}", balance4);
           println!("5: {}", balance5);
           assert_ok!(Oracle::remove_stake(Origin::signed(account_4))
→ );
           System::set_block_number(40);
           assert_ok!(Oracle::reclaim_stake(Origin::signed(account_4)
→ ));
          let balance2 = Balances::free_balance(account_2);
          let balance4 = Balances::free_balance(account_4);
           let balance5 = Balances::free_balance(account_5);
           println!("BALANCES after account_4 removed stake:");
          println!("1: {}", balance1);
           println!("2: {}", balance2);
          println!("4: {}", balance4);
           println!("5: {}", balance5);
      });
92 }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 5 Impact - 5

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to implement the slashing mechanism to subtract penalties from the staked tokens. Furthermore, the submit\_price function should check that the amount of staked tokens is greater or equal to the potential slash amount for a given asset.

# 3.3 (HAL-03) IMPROPER LENDING MARKET CONFIGURATION - CRITICAL

#### Description:

Inside the lending pallet, using the update\_market function, market owners can edit the collateral\_factor. The should\_liquidate function calculates liquidation according to the last factor determined by the market owner, not the collateral factor of the time the borrowing takes place.

Using this market, owner can create a market with a collateral factor of two (minimum allowed); after borrowers borrow assets, the owner can edit the collateral\_factor anything bigger than two and instantly make liquidation available.

When liquidation becomes available, borrowers are no longer be able to withdraw their deposit collateral even if liquidation was not triggered.

This market configuration may also result in different types of vulner-abilities.

#### Code Location:

## 

```
let source_target_account = Self::account_id(

    market_id);
                     let unit_price =
                          T::Oracle::get_ratio(CurrencyPair::new(
let sell = Sell::new(
                         market.collateral,
                     );
                      T::Liquidation::liquidate(&
  source_target_account, sell, market.liquidators)?;
                      if let Some(deposit) = BorrowRent::<T>::get(
  market_id, account) {
                         let market_account = Self::account_id(

    market_id);
                         <T as Config>::NativeCurrency::transfer()
                             &market_account,
                             liquidator,
                             deposit,
                             false,
                      }
              0k(())
```

```
ensure!(amount <= collateral_balance, Error::<T>::

    NotEnoughCollateral);
               let borrow_asset = T::Vault::asset_id(&market.borrow)
→ ?;
               let borrower_balance_with_interest = Self::

    borrow_balance_current(market_id, account)?

                   .unwrap_or_else(BorrowAmountOf::<Self>::zero);
               let borrow_balance_value =
                   Self::get_price(borrow_asset,

    borrower_balance_with_interest)?;
               let collateral_balance_after_withdrawal_value =
                   Self::get_price(market.collateral,

    collateral_balance.safe_sub(&amount)?)?;
               let borrower_after_withdrawal = BorrowerData::new(
                   collateral_balance_after_withdrawal_value,
                   borrow_balance_value.
                   market.collateral_factor,
                   market.under_collaterized_warn_percent,
               );
               ensure! (
                   !borrower_after_withdrawal.should_liquidate()?,
                   Error::<T>::NotEnoughCollateral
               );
```

Proof Of Concept:

```
let expected = 50_000 * USDT::one();
          set_price(BTC::ID, expected);
          set_price(USDT::ID, USDT::one());
          let market_account = Lending::account_id(&market_id);
          let deposit_usdt = 2_000_00;
          assert_ok!(Tokens::mint_into(USDT::ID, &BOB, deposit_usdt)
→ );
          assert_ok!(Tokens::mint_into(USDT::ID, &CHARLIE,

    deposit_usdt));
          //print initial market BOB account USDT balance
          let market_total_cash = Lending::total_cash(&market_id).

    unwrap();
          let bob_usdt_balance = Tokens::balance(USDT::ID, &BOB);
          let bob_btc_balance = Tokens::balance(BTC::ID, &BOB);
          let bob_collateral_amount = Lending::collateral_of_account
let charlie_usdt_balance = Tokens::balance(USDT::ID, &
→ CHARLIE);
          let charlie_btc_balance = Tokens::balance(BTC::ID, &

    CHARLIE);
          let charlie_collateral_amount = Lending::

    collateral_of_account(&market_id, &CHARLIE);

       let ratio = <Oracle as composable_traits::oracle::Oracle</pre>
println!("INITIAL market total cash: {:?}",
market_total_cash);
         println!("INITIAL BOB USDT Balance: {:?}",

    bob_usdt_balance);
          println!("INITIAL BOB BTC Balance: {:?}", bob_btc_balance)
          println!("INITIAL BOB deposited collateral amount: {:?}",

    bob_collateral_amount);
          println!("INITIAL CHARLIE USDT Balance: {:?}",

    charlie_usdt_balance);
          println!("INITIAL CHARLIE BTC Balance: {:?}",

    charlie_btc_balance);
```

```
println!("INITIAL CHARLIE deposited collateral amount:
println!("INITIAL collateral-borrow asset ratio {:?}",
→ ratio);
         println!("***");
         //Deposit 200000 USDT amount collateral from BOB
         let collateral_deposit_amount = 200000;
         assert_ok!(Lending::deposit_collateral_internal(&market_id
  , &BOB, collateral_deposit_amount));
         assert_ok!(Lending::deposit_collateral_internal(&market_id
  , &CHARLIE, collateral_deposit_amount));
         println!("BOB and CHARLIE each deposited {:?} collateral

    USDT", collateral_deposit_amount);
         println!("***");
         let bob_usdt_balance = Tokens::balance(USDT::ID, &BOB);
         let bob_collateral_amount = Lending::collateral_of_account
let bob_borrow_limit = Lending::get_borrow_limit(&)

    market_id, &BOB);
         let charlie_usdt_balance = Tokens::balance(USDT::ID, &

    CHARLIE);
      let charlie_collateral_amount = Lending::
let charlie_borrow_limit = Lending::get_borrow_limit(&

    market_id, &CHARLIE);
        let ratio = <Oracle as composable_traits::oracle::Oracle</pre>
L >::get_ratio(CurrencyPair::new(collateral_asset, borrow_asset));
         let should_liqudate = Lending::should_liquidate(&market_id
println!("AFTER COLLATERAL DEPOSIT BOB USDT Balance: {:?}"
println!("AFTER COLLATERAL DEPOSIT BOB's collateral amount
   in market: {:?}", bob_collateral_amount);
         println!("AFTER COLLATERAL DEPOSIT BOB's borrow limit:

    {:?}", bob_borrow_limit);
```

```
println!("AFTER COLLATERAL DEPOSIT CHARLIE USDT Balance:
println!("AFTER COLLATERAL DEPOSIT CHARLIE's collateral

    amount in market: {:?}", charlie_collateral_amount);

          println!("AFTER COLLATERAL DEPOSIT CHARLIE's borrow limit:
   {:?}", charlie_borrow_limit);
          println!("AFTER COLLATERAL DEPOSIT should_liqudate: {:?}",
   should_liqudate);
          println!("AFTER COLLATERAL DEPOSIT collateral-borrow asset
   ratio {:?}", ratio);
          println!("***");
          assert_ok!(Lending::borrow_internal(&market_id, &BOB, 2));
          assert_ok!(Lending::borrow_internal(&market_id, &CHARLIE,

→ 2));
          let market_total_cash = Lending::total_cash(&market_id).

    unwrap();
          let bob_usdt_balance = Tokens::balance(USDT::ID, &BOB);
          let bob_btc_balance = Tokens::balance(BTC::ID, &BOB);
          let bob_repay_amount = Lending::borrow_balance_current(&)

    market_id, &BOB).unwrap();
          let bob_collateral_amount = Lending::collateral_of_account

    (&market_id, &BOB);
          let charlie_usdt_balance = Tokens::balance(USDT::ID, &

    CHARLIE);
          let charlie_btc_balance = Tokens::balance(BTC::ID, &
→ CHARLIE);
        let charlie_repay_amount = Lending::borrow_balance_current
let charlie_collateral_amount = Lending::

    collateral_of_account(&market_id, &CHARLIE);

          let total_borrows = Lending::total_borrows(&market_id).

    unwrap();
          let ratio = <Oracle as composable_traits::oracle::Oracle</pre>
$\ \rightarrow \cdots::get_ratio(CurrencyPair::new(collateral_asset, borrow_asset));
```

```
println!("AFTER BORROW Market total cash: {:?}",

    market_total_cash);
         println!("AFTER BORROW Markets total borrows: {:?}",

    total_borrows);
          println!("AFTER BORROW BOB USDT Balance: {:?}",

    bob_usdt_balance);
         println!("AFTER BORROW BOB BTC Balance: {:?}",

    bob_btc_balance);
          println!("AFTER BORROW BOB borrow balance current: {:?}",

    bob_repay_amount);
          println!("AFTER BORROW BOB collateral amount: {:?}",

    bob_collateral_amount);
          println!("AFTER BORROW CHARLIE USDT Balance: {:?}",
println!("AFTER BORROW CHARLIE BTC Balance: {:?}",
println!("AFTER BORROW CHARLIE borrow balance current:
println!("AFTER BORROW CHARLIE collateral amount: {:?}",
println!("AFTER BORROW collateral-borrow asset ratio {:?}"
print1n!("***");
          //Update collateral factor bigger than 2
          let collateral_factor = MoreThanOneFixedU128::
  saturating_from_rational(200, 99);
          let manager = *ALICE;
          let updatable = UpdateInput {
             collateral_factor.
             under_collaterized_warn_percent: Percent::from_float
\downarrow (1.1),
             liquidators: vec![],
             interest_rate_model: InterestRateModel::default(),
         };
          let updated = Lending::update_market(
             Origin::signed(manager),
             market_id,
             updatable,
         );
```

```
assert_ok!(updated);
          println!("Markets collateral factor is update from 2 to :
↓ {:?}", collateral_factor);
          let should_liqudate = Lending::should_liquidate(&market_id
→ , &BOB);
          println!("AFTER MARKET FACTOR UPDATE Should liquidate :
println!("Borrowers cannot withdraw their collateral right
   now");
          println!("***");
          println!("Liquidate start");
          println!("BEFORE LIQUIDATE market account reversed USDT

    balance: {:?}", Tokens::reserved_balance(USDT::ID, &market_account)

→ ));
          let ratio = <Oracle as composable_traits::oracle::Oracle</pre>
$\ >::get_ratio(CurrencyPair::new(collateral_asset, borrow_asset));
          assert_ok!(Lending::liquidate_internal(&ALICE, &market_id,
   vec![*BOB, *CHARLIE]));
          let market_total_cash = Lending::total_cash(&market_id).

    unwrap();
          let bob_collateral_amount = Lending::collateral_of_account
let charlie_collateral_amount = Lending::
149
          println!("AFTER LIQUIDATE market total cash: {:?}",

    market_total_cash);
          println!("AFTER LIQUIDATE BOB collateral amount: {:?}",

    bob_collateral_amount);
          println!("AFTER LIQUIDATE CHARLIE collateral amount: {:?}"
println!("AFTER LIQUIDATE market account reversed USDT

    balance: {:?}", Tokens::reserved_balance(USDT::ID, &market_account)

→ ));
```

```
157 });
158 }
```

Likelihood - 5 Impact - 5

# Recommendation:

It is recommended to use the collateral factor of the time the borrowing takes place, not the market's latest collateral factor, since it can be edited.

# 3.4 (HAL-04) OFFER CREATION WITH THE SAME ASSET - HIGH

# Description:

Inside the bonded-finance pallet, the do\_offer function accepts offers with the same asset as both the offer's asset and the offer's reward asset.

This can result in situations when a victim pays, for example, 1000 tokens to get 100 tokens of the same type.

```
Listing 11: frame/bonded-finance/src/lib.rs
329 pub fn do_offer(
       from: &AccountIdOf<T>,
       offer: BondOfferOf<T>,
       keep_alive: bool,
333 ) -> Result<T::BondOfferId, DispatchError> {
       let offer_id = BondOfferCount::<T>::try_mutate(
           |offer_id| -> Result<T::BondOfferId, DispatchError> {
               *offer_id = offer_id.safe_add(&T::BondOfferId::one())
→ ?:
               Ok(*offer_id)
           },
       let offer_account = Self::account_id(offer_id);
       T::NativeCurrency::transfer(from, &offer_account, T::Stake::

    get(), keep_alive)?;
       T::Currency::transfer(
           offer.reward.asset,
           &offer_account,
           offer.reward.amount,
       )?;
       BondOffers::<T>::insert(offer_id, (from.clone(), offer));
```

```
350 Self::deposit_event(Event::<T>::NewOffer { offer_id });
351 Ok(offer_id)
352 }
```

```
Listing 12
 2 fn halborn_test(_does_not_matter_offer in simple_offer(1)) {
       ExtBuilder::build().execute_with(|| {
           System::set_block_number(1);
           let offer = BondOffer {
               asset: mock::MockCurrencyId::BTC,
               bond_price: 1_000_000 + MIN_VESTED_TRANSFER as u128,
               maturity: BondDuration::Infinite,
               reward: BondOfferReward {
                    asset: mock::MockCurrencyId::BTC,
                    amount: 1_000_000,
                    maturity: 96_u64,
               },
           };
           assert_ok!(<ValidBondOffer<MinReward, MinVestedTransfer>
 BondOfferOf < Runtime >,
               ValidBondOffer < MinReward , MinVestedTransfer > ,
           >>::validate(offer.clone()));
           prop_assert_ok!(Tokens::mint_into(NATIVE_CURRENCY_ID, &
 → ALICE, Stake::get()));
           prop_assert_ok!(Tokens::mint_into(mock::MockCurrencyId::

    BTC, &ALICE, offer.reward.amount));
           prop_assert_ok!(Tokens::mint_into(mock::MockCurrencyId::
 \rightarrow BTC, &BOB, 2 * 1_000_000));
           let alice_balance = Tokens::balance(mock::MockCurrencyId::
 → BTC, &ALICE);
           let bob_balance = Tokens::balance(mock::MockCurrencyId::

    BTC, &BOB);
           println!("-----
           println!("BEFORE OFFER");
           println!("ALICE'S BALANCE: {} | BOB'S BALANCE: {}",
```

```
    alice_balance, bob_balance);
          let offer_id = BondedFinance::do_offer(&ALICE, offer.clone
\rightarrow (), false);
          prop_assert_ok!(offer_id);
          let offer_id = offer_id.expect("impossible; qed");
          prop_assert_ok!(BondedFinance::bond(Origin::signed(BOB),

    offer_id, 1, false));

          System::assert_has_event(Event::BondedFinance(crate::Event
          }));
          System::assert_last_event(Event::BondedFinance(crate::
let alice_balance = Tokens::balance(mock::MockCurrencyId::
→ BTC, &ALICE);
          let bob_balance = Tokens::balance(mock::MockCurrencyId::
→ BTC, &BOB);
          println!("AFTER BOND");
          println!("ALICE'S BALANCE: {} | BOB'S BALANCE: {}",
→ alice_balance, bob_balance);
          0k(())
      })?;
47 }
```

Likelihood - 5 Impact - 3

#### Recommendation:

Implementing a validation mechanism in the offer function is recommended, which will ensure that offers with the same token provided as the offer's asset and offer's reward asset are not accepted.

# 3.5 (HAL-05) DUTCH AUCTION CREATION WITH THE SAME ASSET - HIGH

### Description:

Inside the dutch-auction pallet ask function accepts sell offers with the same asset provided as both base and quote.

This can result in situations when a victim pays, for example, 1000 tokens to get 100 tokens of the same type.

#### Code Location:

# Listing 13: frame/dutch-auction/src/lib.rs 273 fn ask( from\_to: &Self::AccountId, order: Sell<Self::MayBeAssetId, Self::Balance>, configuration: TimeReleaseFunction, 277 ) -> Result < Self::OrderId, DispatchError > { ensure!(order.is\_valid(), Error::<T>::OrderParametersIsInvalid let order\_id = <OrdersIndex <T>>::mutate(|x| { \*x = x.next();// in case of wrapping, will need to check existence of → order/takes 283 }); let treasury = &T::PalletId::get().into\_account(); let deposit = T::PositionExistentialDeposit::get(); <T::NativeCurrency as NativeTransfer<T::AccountId>>::transfer( from\_to, treasury, deposit, true, )?; let now = T::UnixTime::now().as\_secs(); let order = SellOf::<T> { from\_to: from\_to.clone(), context: Context::<Self::Balance> { added\_at: now, deposit

```
Listing 14
 2 fn halborn_tests_same_pair_auction() {
       new_test_externalities().execute_with(|| {
           Tokens::mint_into(BTC, &ALICE, 10000).unwrap();
           Tokens::mint_into(BTC, &BOB, 10000).unwrap();
           let alice_balance_before_auction = Tokens::balance(BTC, &
 → ALICE);
           let bob_balance_before_auction = Tokens::balance(BTC, &BOB
 → );
           println!("Alice balance before auction = {}",

    alice_balance_before_auction);
           println!("Bob balance before auction = {}",
 → bob_balance_before_auction);
           let seller = AccountId::from_raw(ALICE.0);
           let buyer = AccountId::from_raw(BOB.0);
           let sel1_amount: u128 = 100;
           let take_amount = 10;
           let sell_offer = Sell::new(BTC, BTC, sell_amount, fixed(

    take_amount));
           let configuration = TimeReleaseFunction::LinearDecrease(

    LinearDecrease { total: 10 });

           let ask_result = DutchAuction::ask(Origin::signed(seller),
   sell_offer, configuration);
```

```
assert!(ask_result.is_ok());
           let order_id = crate::OrdersIndex::<Runtime>::get();
           let result = DutchAuction::take(
               Origin::signed(buyer),
               Take::new(sell_amount, fixed(take_amount)),
          );
           assert!(result.is_ok());
          DutchAuction::on_finalize(42);
          let alice_balance_after_auction = Tokens::balance(BTC, &
→ ALICE);
          let bob_balance_after_auction = Tokens::balance(BTC, &BOB)
           println!("Alice balance after auction = {}",

    alice_balance_after_auction);

          println!("Bob balance after auction = {}

    bob_balance_after_auction);
      });
40 }
```

Likelihood - 5
Impact - 3

# Recommendation:

Implementing a validation mechanism in the 'ask' function is recommended to ensure that the base asset is not the same as the quote asset.

# 3.6 (HAL-06) COLLATERAL FACTOR CAN BE SET SMALLER THAN TWO - MEDIUM

### Description:

Inside the lending pallet, the update\_market function allows the market owner to update the collateral factor smaller than or equal to one.

This may also result in different types of vulnerabilities.

```
Listing 15: frame/lending/src/lib.rs (Line 582)
           pub fn update_market(
               origin: OriginFor<T>,
               market_id: MarketIndex,
               input: UpdateInput<T::LiquidationStrategyId>,
           ) -> DispatchResultWithPostInfo {
               let who = ensure_signed(origin)?;
               Markets::<T>::mutate(&market_id, |market| {
                   if let Some(market) = market {
                       ensure!(who == market.manager, Error::<T>::
market.collateral_factor = input.

    collateral_factor;

580
                       market.interest_rate_model = input.
   interest_rate_model;
                       market.under_collaterized_warn_percent = input

    .under_collaterized_warn_percent;

                       market.liquidators = input.liquidators.clone()
↳ ;
                       0k(())
                   } else {
                       Err(Error::<T>::MarketDoesNotExist)
               Self::deposit_event(Event::<T>::MarketUpdated {

    market_id, input });
               0k(().into())
```

```
Listing 16
 2 fn collateral_factor_update_test() {
       new_test_ext().execute_with(|| {
           System::set_block_number(1);
           let (market_id, _vault_id) = create_simple_market();
           let expected = 50_000 * USDT::one();
                                            set_price(USDT::ID, USDT::
           set_price(BTC::ID, expected);
 → one());
           //Update collateral factor smaller than 1
           let collateral_factor = MoreThanOneFixedU128::

    saturating_from_rational(50, 100);

           let updatable = UpdateInput {
               under_collaterized_warn_percent: Percent::from_float
 \downarrow (1.1),
                liquidators: vec![],
                interest_rate_model: InterestRateModel::default(),
           };
           let updated = Lending::update_market(Origin::signed(*ALICE
         market_id, updatable);
           assert_ok!(updated);
           println!("Markets collateral factor is update from 2 to :
 ↓ {:?}", collateral_factor);
       });
20 }
```

### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 4

Impact - 3

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to implement checks in update\_market function to ensure collateral factor is updated higher than one.

# 3.7 (HAL-07) MISSING ACCESS CONTROL LEADS TO PRICE MANIPULATION - LOW

### Description:

Inside the uniswap and curv-amm pallets, the create function calls do\_create\_pool without restrictions, allowing anyone to create a pool of arbitrary pairs, which leads to a price manipulation risk.

dex-router pallet auditing where theupdate\_route allows the caller to create, update or delete existing routers, the function was found to lack implementing a custom origin to restrict access to this function. However, the function is not public, but we're not sure if the composable team is planning to use it in the future.

An attacker can abuse those two bugs to develop attacks that might result in price manipulation.

```
Listing 17: TODO

1 #[pallet::weight(T::WeightInfo::create())]
2 pub fn create(
3    origin: OriginFor<T>,
4    pair: CurrencyPair<T::AssetId>,
5    fee: Permill,
6    owner_fee: Permill,
7 ) -> DispatchResult {
8    let who = ensure_signed(origin)?;
9    let _ = Self::do_create_pool(&who, pair, fee, owner_fee)?;
10    Ok(())
11 }
```

```
Listing 18

1 fn update_route(
2 who: &T::AccountId,
3 asset_pair: CurrencyPair<T::AssetId>,
```

```
4    route: Option<BoundedVec<DexRouteNode<T::PoolId>, T::
L> MaxHopsInRoute>>,
5 ) -> Result<(), DispatchError> {
6         match route {
7             Some(bounded_route) => Self::do_update_route(who,
L> asset_pair, bounded_route)?,
8             None => Self::do_delete_route(who, asset_pair)?,
9             }
10             Ok(())
11 }
```

Using the create function, an attacker can create a malicious pool with an arbitrary token against a valuable asset such as TEST/ETH.

Once the pool is created using update\_route, an attacker can update the route for important trading pairs such as ETH/USDT so any trade going to be executed through that router and complete transactions in the context of the malicious pool, allowing the attacker to manipulate the ETH price.

```
let attacker_pool_badusdt = ConstantProductAmm::

    do_create_pool(&ATTACKER, CurrencyPair::new(BADHACK, USDT),

→ Permill::zero(), Permill::zero()).unwrap();
         let attacker_pool_badeth = ConstantProductAmm::
ConstantProductAmm::add_liquidity(&ATTACKER,

    attacker_pool_badusdt, 100 * unit, 50 * unit, 0_u128, true);

         // Add liquidity 2 BADHACK / 1 ETH
         ConstantProductAmm::add_liquidity(&ATTACKER,

    attacker_pool_badeth, 2 * unit, 1 * unit, 0_u128, true);

         let dex_route = vec![DexRouteNode::Uniswap(
→ attacker_pool_badusdt), DexRouteNode::Uniswap(attacker_pool_badeth
→ ),];
         assert_ok!(DexRouter::update_route(
             &ATTACKER,
             currency_pair,
             Some(dex_route.clone().try_into().unwrap()) ));
         assert_eq!(DexRouter::get_route(currency_pair), Some(

    dex_route));

         assert_ok!(DexRouter::exchange(&ATTACKER, currency_pair, 1
\rightarrow _u128 * unit));
      });
33 }
```

```
Risk Level:
```

Likelihood - 3 Impact - 1

# Recommendation:

It is recommended to restrict access to update\_route to a specific origin to prevent such risks of malicious pool creation and modifying the routers.

# 3.8 (HAL-08) ASSET MAPPING WITH NON EXISTING ASSET ID - LOW

# Description:

Inside mosaic pallet, update\_asset\_mapping function, does not check if asset\_id corresponds to an asset which may create problems in the program flow.

```
Listing 20: frame/mosaic/src/lib.rs (Line 784)
784 pub fn update_asset_mapping(
       origin: OriginFor<T>,
       asset_id: AssetIdOf<T>,
       network_id: NetworkIdOf<T>,
       remote_asset_id: Option < RemoteAssetIdOf < T>> ,
789 ) -> DispatchResultWithPostInfo {
       T::ControlOrigin::ensure_origin(origin)?;
           NetworkInfos::<T>::get(network_id.clone()).ok_or(Error::<T</pre>
 → >::UnsupportedNetwork)?;
       let entry = LocalToRemoteAsset::<T>:::try_get(asset_id,
   network_id.clone()).ok();
       match (entry, remote_asset_id) {
            // remove an non-existent entry.
            (None, None) => {},
            // insert a new entry.
            (None, Some(remote_asset_id)) => {
                LocalToRemoteAsset::<T>::insert(
                  asset_id,
                    network_id.clone(),
                    remote_asset_id.clone(),
                RemoteToLocalAsset::<T>::insert(
                    remote_asset_id.clone(),
                    network_id.clone(),
                    asset_id,
               );
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add checks to ensure the asset\_id exists.

# 3.9 (HAL-09) MISSING 'transactional' MACRO - LOW

# Description:

Inside the dex-router pallet, exchange and buy functions are looping through the route nodes and executing ConstantProductDex::exchange or StableSwapDex::exchange.

One of those functions might return an error during exchanging tokens, so during the route operation, the user might lose funds without reaching the objective, i.e. (exchange first pairs in route and fails to exchange the second pair due to any reason might case exchange to fail).

#### Code Location:

# Listing 22: frame/dex-router/src/lib.rs 217 // TODO: expected minimum value can be provided from input 218 fn exchange ( who: &T::AccountId, asset\_pair: CurrencyPair<T::AssetId>, dx: T::Balance, 222 ) -> Result<T::Balance, DispatchError> { let route = Self::get\_route(asset\_pair).ok\_or(Error::<T>:: NoRouteFound)?; let mut $dx_t = dx$ ; let mut dy\_t = T::Balance::zero(); for route\_node in &route { match route\_node { DexRouteNode::Curve(pool\_id) => { currency\_pair(\*pool\_id)?; dy\_t = T::StableSwapDex::exchange( \*pool\_id, T::Balance::zero(),

```
Listing 23: frame/dex-router/src/lib.rs
265 fn buy(
       who: &T::AccountId,
       asset_pair: CurrencyPair<T::AssetId>,
       amount: T::Balance,
269 ) -> Result<T::Balance, DispatchError> {
      let route = Self::get_route(asset_pair).ok_or(Error::<T>::

    NoRouteFound)?;
       let mut dy_t = amount;
      let mut dx_t = T::Balance::zero();
       for route_node in route.iter().rev() {
           match route_node {
              DexRouteNode::Curve(pool_id) => {

    currency_pair(*pool_id)?;

                   dx_t = T::StableSwapDex::get_exchange_value(
                        *pool_id,
                        currency_pair.base,
                   )?;
```

```
},
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(pool_id) => {

    currency_pair(*pool_id)?;

                   dx_t = T::ConstantProductDex::get_exchange_value(
                       *pool_id.
                   )?;
               },
           }
      for route_node in route {
          match route_node {
               DexRouteNode::Curve(pool_id) => {
                   let currency_pair = T::StableSwapDex::
  currency_pair(pool_id)?;
                   let dy_t = T::StableSwapDex::exchange(
                       pool_id,
                       currency_pair,
                       dx_t,
                       T::Balance::zero(),
                       true,
                   )?;
                   dx_t = dy_t;
               },
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(pool_id) => {
                   let currency_pair = T::ConstantProductDex::
  currency_pair(pool_id)?;
                   let dy_t = T::ConstantProductDex::exchange(
                       pool_id,
                       T::Balance::zero(),
                       true,
                   )?;
      }
      0k(dx_t)
```

```
324 }
```

```
Listing 24
 2 fn halborn_tests() {
       new_test_ext().execute_with(|| {
           let currency_pair = CurrencyPair { base: ETH, quote: USDT
 → };
           let unit = 1_000_000_000_000_u128;
           let BOBMOB: AccountId = 222;
           let KING: AccountId = 333;
           Tokens::mint_into(ETH, &KING, 1000 * unit);
           Tokens::mint_into(USDC, &KING, 1000 * unit);
           Tokens::mint_into(ETH, &BOBMOB, 100 * unit);
           Tokens::mint_into(USDC, &BOBMOB, 100 * unit);
           let p1 = ConstantProductAmm::do_create_pool(&KING,
 □ CurrencyPair::new(ETH, USDC), Permill::zero(), Permill::zero()).

    unwrap();
           let p2 = ConstantProductAmm::do_create_pool(&KING,
 Ly CurrencyPair::new(USDC, USDT), Permill::zero(), Permill::zero()).
           ConstantProductAmm::add_liquidity(
               &KING, p1, 10 * unit, 500 * unit, 0_u128, true
           ConstantProductAmm::add_liquidity(
               &KING, p2, 200 * unit, 200 * unit, 0_u128, true
           );
           let dex_route = vec![
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(p1),
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(p2),
           ];
           assert_ok!(DexRouter::update_route(
```

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

### Recommendation:

It is recommended to add transactional macro to buy and exchange functions and functions going through several transactions to avoid funds loss; another prevention that can be applied is to calculate whatever the user owns the required amount to exchange for each pair in the route.

# 3.10 (HAL-10) VESTING TRANSFER TO CALLER ACCOUNT - LOW

# Description:

Inside vesting pallet, vested\_transfer function allows you to vesting transfer to the caller account itself.

### Code Location:

```
Listing 25: frame/vesting/src/lib.rs
249 pub fn vested_transfer(
       origin: OriginFor<T>,
       from: <T::Lookup as StaticLookup>::Source,
       beneficiary: <T::Lookup as StaticLookup>::Source,
       asset: AssetIdOf<T>,
       schedule: VestingScheduleOf <T>,
255 ) -> DispatchResult {
       T::VestedTransferOrigin::ensure_origin(origin)?;
       let from = T::Lookup::lookup(from)?;
       let to = T::Lookup::lookup(beneficiary)?;
       <Self as VestedTransfer>::vested_transfer(asset, &from, &to,

    schedule.clone())?;

       Self::deposit_event(Event::VestingScheduleAdded { from, to,

    asset, schedule });
       0k(())
263 }
```

Proof Of Concept:

```
Listing 26

1 #[test]
2 fn vested_transfer_transfer_to_same_account() {
3    ExtBuilder::build().execute_with(|| {
4         System::set_block_number(1);
```

```
start: 0_u64,
            period: 10_u64,
            per_period: 100_u64,
         };
         assert_ok!(Vesting::vested_transfer(
            Origin::signed(ALICE),
            schedule.clone(),
        ));
         assert_eq!(Vesting::vesting_schedules(&ALICE,
System::assert_last_event(Event::Vesting(crate::Event::
            asset: MockCurrencyId::BTC,
        }));
        assert_ok!(Vesting::claim(Origin::signed(ALICE),
});
29 }
```

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

### Recommendation:

It is recommended to add checks to ensure the caller account is not identical with the vesting destination.

# 3.11 (HAL-11) DUPLICATE ROUTES ALLOWED - LOW

## Description:

Inside dex-router pallet, update\_route function is accepting duplicate
routes.

```
Listing 27: frame/dex-router/src/lib.rs (Line 133)
133 fn do_update_route(
       who: &T::AccountId,
       asset_pair: CurrencyPair<T::AssetId>,
       route: BoundedVec < DexRouteNode < T:: PoolId >, T:: MaxHopsInRoute >,
137 ) -> Result<(), DispatchError> {
       let k1 = asset_pair.base;
       for r in route.as_slice() {
           match r {
                DexRouteNode::Curve(pool_id) => {
                    ensure! (
                        T::StableSwapDex::pool_exists(*pool_id),
                        Error::<T>::PoolDoesNotExist
                DexRouteNode::Uniswap(pool_id) => {
                    ensure! (
                        T::ConstantProductDex::pool_exists(*pool_id),
                        Error::<T>::PoolDoesNotExist
       }
       let existing_route = DexRoutes::<T>::get(k1, k2);
       DexRoutes::<T>::insert(k1, k2, DexRoute::Direct(route.clone())
→ );
       let event = match existing_route {
           Some(DexRoute::Direct(old_route)) => Event::RouteUpdated {
```

```
Listing 28
 2 fn halborn_tests() {
      new_test_ext().execute_with(|| {
          let currency_pair = CurrencyPair { base: ETH, quote: USDT
 → };
          let unit = 1_000_000_000_000_u128;
          let ATTACKER: AccountId = 111;
          Tokens::mint_into(XRP, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
          Tokens::mint_into(ETH, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
          Tokens::mint_into(SOL, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
          Tokens::mint_into(USDC, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
          Tokens::mint_into(BTC, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
          let p1 = ConstantProductAmm::do_create_pool(&ATTACKER,
└ CurrencyPair::new(XRP, ETH), Permill::zero(), Permill::zero()).

    unwrap();
          let p2 = ConstantProductAmm::do_create_pool(&ATTACKER,
```

```
    unwrap();
           ConstantProductAmm::add_liquidity(
               &ATTACKER, p1, 50 * unit, 50 * unit, 0_u128, true
           );
           ConstantProductAmm::add_liquidity(
               &ATTACKER, p2, 50 * unit, 50 * unit, 0_u128, true
           );
           let dex_route = vec![
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(p1),
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(p1),
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(p2),
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(p2),
           ];
           assert_ok!(DexRouter::update_route(
               &ATTACKER,
               Some(dex_route.clone().try_into().unwrap())
           ));
           assert_eq!(DexRouter::get_route(currency_pair), Some(

    dex_route));
           assert_ok!(DexRouter::exchange(&ATTACKER, currency_pair, 1
\rightarrow _u128 * unit));
       });
41 }
```

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 2

### Recommendation:

It is recommended to check whether the route exists or not.

# 3.12 (HAL-12) UPDATE/CREATE ROUTES WITH NON-EXISTING TOKENS - LOW

### Description:

Inside the dex-router pallet, the update\_route function does not check if the tokens are not related to the trading currency pairs or not.

Example: ETH/USDT route can be updated to go through TNK/USDC, then BAD/USDC; the trade will never get executed, so basically, a user might go to trade ETH/USDT, but in the backend, the user will get exchanged for non-related tokens.

```
Listing 29: frame/dex-router/src/lib.rs
133 fn do_update_route(
       who: &T::AccountId,
       asset_pair: CurrencyPair<T::AssetId>,
       route: BoundedVec < DexRoute Node < T:: PoolId > , T:: MaxHopsInRoute > ,
137 ) -> Result<(), DispatchError> {
       let k1 = asset_pair.base;
       let k2 = asset_pair.quote;
       for r in route.as_slice() {
            match r {
                DexRouteNode::Curve(pool_id) => {
                    ensure! (
                        T::StableSwapDex::pool_exists(*pool_id),
                        Error::<T>::PoolDoesNotExist
                DexRouteNode::Uniswap(pool_id) => {
                    ensure! (
                        T::ConstantProductDex::pool_exists(*pool_id),
                        Error::<T>::PoolDoesNotExist
                },
            }
       }
```

```
let existing_route = DexRoutes::<T>::get(k1, k2);
       DexRoutes::<T>::insert(k1, k2, DexRoute::Direct(route.clone())
       let event = match existing_route {
           Some(DexRoute::Direct(old_route)) => Event::RouteUpdated {
               who: who.clone(),
               old_route: old_route.into_inner(),
               updated_route: route.to_vec(),
           },
           None => Event::RouteAdded {
               who: who.clone(),
               route: route.to_vec(),
           },
       };
       Self::deposit_event(event);
       0k(())
176 }
```

```
Listing 30

1 #[test]
2 fn halborn_tests() {
3    new_test_ext().execute_with(|| {
4
5    let currency_pair = CurrencyPair { base: ETH, quote: USDT
L };
6    let unit = 1_000_000_000_u128;
7    let ATTACKER: AccountId = 111;
8
9    // mint
10    Tokens::mint_into(XRP, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
11    Tokens::mint_into(ETH, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
12    Tokens::mint_into(SOL, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
13    Tokens::mint_into(USDC, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
14    Tokens::mint_into(BTC, &ATTACKER, 100 * unit);
```

```
let p1 = ConstantProductAmm::do_create_pool(&ATTACKER,
└ CurrencyPair::new(XRP, BTC), Permill::zero(), Permill::zero()).

   unwrap();
           let p2 = ConstantProductAmm::do_create_pool(&ATTACKER,
└ CurrencyPair::new(SOL, USDC), Permill::zero(), Permill::zero()).

   unwrap();
           ConstantProductAmm::add_liquidity(
               &ATTACKER, p1, 50 * unit, 50 * unit, 0_u128, true
          );
           ConstantProductAmm::add_liquidity(
               &ATTACKER, p2, 50 * unit, 50 * unit, 0_u128, true
          );
           let dex_route = vec![
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(p1),
               DexRouteNode::Uniswap(p2),
           ];
           assert_ok!(DexRouter::update_route()
               &ATTACKER,
               Some(dex_route.clone().try_into().unwrap())
           ));
           assert_eq!(DexRouter::get_route(currency_pair), Some(

    dex_route));

           assert_ok!(DexRouter::exchange(&ATTACKER, currency_pair, 1
\rightarrow _u128 * unit));
      });
39 }
```

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to check whether the route will be created related to the currency pairs or not; consider the following steps to fix this issue

- create a BTreeMap
- insert the pools pairs to the BTreeMap object
- apply the following checks

```
Listing 31

1 ensure!(treemap.contains_key(&pair.base), Error::<T, I>::
    UnrelatedToken);
2 ensure!(treemap.contains_key(&pair.quote), Error::<T, I>::
    UnrelatedToken);
```

# 3.13 (HAL-13) CREATE DUPLICATE POOL WITH SAME PAIR - LOW

# Description:

Inside uniswap-v2 pallet, the do\_create\_pool function lacks existing pool validation, allowing the creation of multiple pools with the same pairs.

```
Listing 32: frame/uniswap-v2/src/lib.rs
583 pub fn do_create_pool(
       who: &T::AccountId,
       pair: CurrencyPair<T::AssetId>,
588 ) -> Result<T::PoolId, DispatchError> {
       // NOTE(hussein-aitlahcen): do we allow such pair?
       ensure!(pair.base != pair.quote, Error::<T>::InvalidPair);
       let total_fees = fee.checked_add(&owner_fee).ok_or(
  ArithmeticError::Overflow)?;
       ensure!(total_fees < Permill::one(), Error::<T>::InvalidFees);
       let lp_token = T::CurrencyFactory::create(RangeId::LP_TOKENS)
 ↳ ?;
       // Add new pool
       let pool_id =
           PoolCount::<T>::try_mutate(|pool_count| -> Result<T::</pre>
let pool_id = *pool_count;
               Pools::<T>::insert(
                   pool_id,
                   ConstantProductPoolInfo {
                       owner: who.clone(),
                       fee,
```

```
Listing 33
 1 fn bad_pools() {
       new_test_ext().execute_with(|| {
           let pool_id1 = StableSwap::do_create_pool(
               &ALICE,
               CurrencyPair::new(USDT, USDC),
               100_u16,
               Permill::zero(),
               Permill::zero(),
           .expect("impossible; qed;");
           let pool_id2 = StableSwap::do_create_pool(
               &ALICE,
               CurrencyPair::new(USDT, USDC),
               100_u16,
               Permill::zero(),
               Permill::zero(),
           .expect("impossible; qed;");
           let pool1 = StableSwap::pools(pool_id1).expect("impossible

    ; qed; ");
           let pool2 = StableSwap::pools(pool_id2).expect("impossible
```

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

# Recommendation:

It is recommended that each pair of assets should have one pool, USDT/USDC, and USDC/USDT should be one pool as well.

# 3.14 (HAL-14) ZERO AMOUNT COLLATERAL DEPOSIT - LOW

### Description:

Inside the lending pallet, thedeposit\_collateral function allows users to deposit zero collateral. Zero amount wrappings can be abused if someone constantly calls deposit\_collateral with zero amount and fill the block space.

```
Listing 34: frame/vesting/src/lib.rs
       fn deposit_collateral(
              market_id: &Self::MarketId,
              account: &Self::AccountId,
              amount: CollateralLpAmountOf <Self>,
           ) -> Result<(), DispatchError> {
               let market = Self::get_market(market_id)?;
               let market_account = Self::account_id(market_id);
                 <T as Config>::MultiCurrency::can_withdraw(market.
.into_result()
                      .is_ok(),
                  Error::<T>::TransferFailed
              );
               ensure! (
                  <T as Config>::MultiCurrency::can_deposit(
                      market.collateral,
                      &market_account,
                  ) == DepositConsequence::Success,
                  Error::<T>::TransferFailed
              );
               AccountCollateral::<T>::try_mutate(market_id, account,
    |collateral_balance| {
```

```
let new_collateral_balance = collateral_balance
.unwrap_or_default()
.checked_add(&amount)
.ok_or(Error::<T>::0verflow)?;
collateral_balance.replace(new_collateral_balance)

L;
Result::<(), Error<T>>::0k(())

3)?;

<T as Config>::MultiCurrency::transfer(
market.collateral,
account,
account,
smarket_account,
amount,
true,

1545
.expect("impossible; qed;");
1549
0k(())

1550
}
```

19

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add checks to ensure collateral value is bigger than 0.

# 3.15 (HAL-15) MISSING ZERO VALUE CHECK - LOW

### Description:

Inside assets pallet, the transfer and transfer\_native functions does not check if the amount equal to zero. Zero amount of wrappings can be abused if someone constantly calls transfer or transfer\_native with zero amount and fill the block space.

```
Listing 36: TODO
 1 pub fn transfer(
           origin: OriginFor<T>,
           dest: <T::Lookup as StaticLookup>::Source,
           #[pallet::compact] amount: T::Balance,
           keep_alive: bool,
       ) -> DispatchResultWithPostInfo {
           let src = ensure_signed(origin)?;
           let dest = T::Lookup::lookup(dest)?;
           <Self as Transfer<T::AccountId>>::transfer(asset, &src, &

    dest, amount, keep_alive)?;
           0k(().into())
14 pub fn transfer_native(
           origin: OriginFor<T>,
          dest: <T::Lookup as StaticLookup>::Source,
           #[pallet::compact] value: T::Balance,
           keep_alive: bool,
       ) -> DispatchResultWithPostInfo {
           let src = ensure_signed(origin)?;
           let dest = T::Lookup::lookup(dest)?;
           <Self as NativeTransfer<T::AccountId>>::transfer(&src, &

    dest, value, keep_alive)?;
           0k(().into())
```

```
Listing 37
 2 fn zero_amount_transfer() {
       new_test_ext().execute_with(|| {
           Pallet::<Test>::transfer(
               Origin::signed(FROM_ACCOUNT),
               ASSET_ID,
               0,
               true,
           .expect("transfer should work");
           assert_eq!(
               Pallet::<Test>::total_balance(ASSET_ID, &FROM_ACCOUNT)
                INIT_AMOUNT - 0
           );
           assert_eq!(
               Pallet::<Test>::total_balance(ASSET_ID, &TO_ACCOUNT),
                INIT_AMOUNT + 0
       });
21 }
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add zero amount checks to transfer and transfer\_native functions.

# 3.16 (HAL-16) TRANSFER TO CALLER ACCOUNT - LOW

# Description:

Inside assets pallet, the transfer and the transfer\_native functions, allows you to transfer to caller account itself.

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 2

Recommendation:

Consider adding checks to ensure the caller account is not identical to the destination.

# 3.17 (HAL-17) POSSIBLE ERROR AFTER CRITICAL FUNCTION - INFORMATIONAL

### Description:

Inside mosaic pallet, the transfer\_to function can throw an error at safe\_add after calling the transfer function.

Since the function has the #[transactional] macro, the likelihood of error is low; hence this finding is only informational.

#### Code Location:

# Listing 41: frame/mosaic/src/lib.rs 450 pub fn transfer\_to( origin: OriginFor<T>, network\_id: NetworkIdOf<T>, asset\_id: AssetIdOf<T>, address: EthereumAddress, amount: BalanceOf <T>. keep\_alive: bool, 457 ) -> DispatchResultWithPostInfo { let caller = ensure\_signed(origin)?; ensure!(AssetsInfo::<T>::contains\_key(asset\_id), Error::<T>:: let remote\_asset\_id = Self::get\_remote\_mapping(asset\_id, 460 network\_id.clone())?; let network\_info = NetworkInfos::<T>::get(network\_id.clone()).ok\_or(Error::<T</pre> ensure!(network\_info.enabled, Error::<T>::NetworkDisabled); ensure!(network\_info.max\_transfer\_size >= amount, Error::<T>:: ensure!(network\_info.min\_transfer\_size <= amount, Error::<T>:: BelowMinTransferSize); T::Assets::transfer(

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 1

Recommendation:

It is recommended to ensure that no functions might fail after the critical calls to transfer, mint, burn, etc.

# AUTOMATED TESTING

# 4.1 AUTOMATED ANALYSIS

## Description:

Halborn used automated security scanners to assist with detection of well-known security issues and vulnerabilities. Among the tools used was cargo audit, a security scanner for vulnerabilities reported to the RustSec Advisory Database. All vulnerabilities published in https://crates.io are stored in a repository named The RustSec Advisory Database. cargo audit is a human-readable version of the advisory database which performs a scanning on Cargo.lock. Security Detections are only in scope. All vulnerabilities shown here were already disclosed in the above report. However, to better assist the developers maintaining this code, the auditors are including the output with the dependencies tree, and this is included in the cargo audit output to better know the dependencies affected by unmaintained and vulnerable crates.

## Results:

Crate: hyper

Version: 0.10.16

Title: Lenient hyper header parsing of Content-Length could allow request

smuggling

Date: 2021-07-07

ID: RUSTSEC-2021-0078

URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2021-0078

Solution: Upgrade to >=0.14.10

Dependency tree:

hyper 0.10.16

Crate: hyper

Version: 0.10.16

Title: Integer overflow in hyper's parsing of the Transfer-Encoding

header leads to data loss

Date: 2021-07-07

ID: RUSTSEC-2021-0079

URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2021-0079

Solution: Upgrade to >=0.14.10

Crate: lru
Version: 0.6.6

Title: Use after free in lru crate

Date: 2021-12-21

ID: RUSTSEC-2021-0130

URL: https://rustsec.org/advisories/RUSTSEC-2021-0130

Solution: Upgrade to >=0.7.1

Dependency tree:

lru 0.6.6

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

HALBORN