### THE LU FACTORIZATION [2.5]

### LU factorization: Motivation

Suppose we have to solve many linear systems

$$Ax = b^{(1)}, \quad Ax = b^{(2)}, \quad \cdots, \quad Ax = b^{(p)}$$

where matrix  $oldsymbol{A}$  is the same - but the right-hand sides are different

ightharpoonup Can solve each of them by Gaussian Elimination separately ightharpoonup inefficient

#### Cost?

- igwedge Can get the inverse  $A^{-1}$  then each solution is of the form  $x^{(k)}=A^{-1}b^{(k)}$
- Cost? [Using method based on rref seen in Lec. Notes 8]

Text: 2.5 – LU

- $\blacktriangleright$  Best option: Exploit the "LU factorization of A"
- Main result is this:

Gaussian elimination algorithm can provide as a by-product a \*factorization\* of A into the product of a lower triangular matrix L with ones on the diagonal, and an upper triangular matrix U:

$$A = LU$$

In addition:

This factorization is obtained at virtually no extra cost.

How would you solve systems with multiple right-hand sides using this? What does this approach cost?

Next: The LU factorization. Where does it come from and how to get it?

9-3 Text: 2.5 – LU

# $LU\ factorization\ -\ Revisiting\ GE$

We now ignore the right-hand side in GE

Recall: Gaussian elimination amounts to performing n-1 successive Gaussian transformations, i.e., multiplications (to the left) by elementary matrices that have ones on the diagonal and the negatives of the multipliers in the column being eliminated.

ightharpoonup Set  $A_0 \equiv A$ . Then – results of the n-1 steps:

$$A_1 = E_1 A_0 \ A_2 = E_2 A_1 = E_2 E_1 A_0 \ A_3 = E_3 A_2 = E_3 E_2 E_1 A_0 \ \cdots = \cdots \ A_{n-1} = E_{n-1} E_{n-2} \cdots E_2 E_1 A_0$$

9-4 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Text: 2.5 – LU

- $ightharpoonup A_{n-1} \equiv U$  is an upper triangular matrix.
- lacksquare We have  $oldsymbol{U}=oldsymbol{E}_{n-1}oldsymbol{E}_{n-2}\cdotsoldsymbol{E}_2oldsymbol{E}_1oldsymbol{A}$  or :

$$A = \underbrace{E_1^{-1}E_2^{-1}E_3^{-1}\cdots E_{n-1}^{-1}}_{L}U \equiv LU$$

- $F_1, E_2, \cdots, E_{n-1}$  are all lower triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal.
- ightharpoonup Each  $oldsymbol{E}_j^{-1}$  is lower triangular with ones on the diagonal. (Why?)
- $ightharpoonup L = E_1^{-1}E_2^{-1}E_3^{-1}\cdots E_{n-1}^{-1}$  is lower triangular (Why?)
- ➤ L has ones on the diagonal.

Text: 2.5 – LU

$$A = LU$$
 with:

lacksquare In the end :  $egin{aligned} L = E_1^{-1}E_2^{-1}E_3^{-1}\cdots E_{n-1}^{-1} \ U = A_{n-1} \end{aligned}$ 

 $\triangleright$  Called the LU decomposition (or factorization) of A.

#### Notes:

- ightharpoonup L is Lower triangular, and has ones on the diagonal We say that it is unit lower triangular
- ightharpoonup U is the last matrix into which A is transformed from Gaussian elimination. It is upper triangular.
- $\blacktriangleright$  We know how to get  $m{U}$  [last matrix in GE]
- $\blacktriangleright$  The main issue now is: How can we get  $m{L}$ ?

9-6 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Text: 2.5 – LU

## How do we get L?

- ightharpoonup Could we use:  $L=E_1^{-1}E_2^{-1}E_3^{-1}\cdots E_{n-1}^{-1}$  ? Too complex!
- There is a simpler way:

Theorem. Assume that Gaussian elimination can terminate (no division by zero) and let U be the final triangular matrix obtained and L the lower triangular matrix with  $l_{ii}=1$ , and, for i>k,  $l_{ik}=piv_{ik}$ , the multiplier used to eliminate row i in step k. Then: A=LU.

- $ightharpoonup l_{kk}=1$  and for i
  eq k,  $l_{ik}=$  multiplier  $a_{ik}/a_{kk}$  at k-th step of GE.
- The matrix A is the product of a unit lower triangular matrix L and an upper triangular matrix U.

9-7 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Text: 2.5 – LU

# $LU\ factorization$ - an example

Example: Let 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 1 of GE uses the multipliers  $l_{21}=-1/2$ ,  $l_{31}=1/2$ .

Step 2 of Gaussian Elimination uses the multiplier  $l_{32} = 1$ .

lacksquare Resulting matrix  $egin{array}{cccc} A_2 = egin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \ 0 & 4 & 4 \ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \equiv oldsymbol{U}$ 

Therefore: 
$$L = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ -1/2 & 1 & 0 \ 1/2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
  $U = egin{pmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \ 0 & 4 & 4 \ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- lacktriangle Verify that  $m{A} = m{L} m{U}$
- LU factorization of the matrix  $m{A} = egin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 0 \ 1 & 5 & 9 \ 1 & 0 & -12 \end{pmatrix}$
- For the same A compute the 3rd column of  $A^{-1}$ .

Text: 2.5 – LU

- How would you compute the inverse of a matrix given its LU factorization?
- Show how to use the LU factorization to solve linear systems with the same matrix A and different right-hand sides b.
- True or false: "Computing the LU factorization of a matrix  $m{A}$  involves more arithmetic operations than solving a linear system  $m{A}m{x}=m{b}$  by Gaussian elimination" ?

9-10 Text: 2.5 – LU