cmstatr: An R Package for Statistical Analysis of Composite Material Data

1/29/2020

Summary

A number of statistical techniques are commonly used when analyzing strength datat for composite materials used in aerospace applications, such as carbon fiber and fiberglass. Currently, many users use MS Excel spreadsheets for performing this analysis. cmstatr is an R package that implements the statistical analysis techniques commonly used for composite material strength data.

The design standards for civil aviation require that the probability of structural failure due to material variability is minimized. To do so, the desginer must select Design Values for each material and compare those to the stresses experienced by those materials. These Design Values are selected so that, with 95% confience, the Design Value is 99% or 90% lower confidence bound of the material strength, depending on the type of structure. These one-sided tolerance bounds are referred to as A-Basis and B-Basis values, respectively. Computing these A- and B-Basis values is the main problem that cmstatr addresses.

A set of statistical methods are described in a publication called the Composites Materials Handbook, or CMH-17-1G (CMH-17 2012). The use of these methods is widely accepted by industry and civil aviation regulators. The methods described in CMH-17-1G are implemented in cmstatr.

The MS Excel spreadsheets typically used, such as STAT-17 (Materials Sciences Corporation 2008), ASAP (Raju and Tomblin 2008) and CMH-17 STATS [INSERT CITATION], use password-protected VBA macros to perform the computations. As such, the code cannot be audited by the user. cmstatr aims to address this by providing open-source code for performing these computations.

Implementation Goals

cmstatr aims give a consistent interface for the user. Most functions are written to work with the tidyverse (Wickham et al. 2019) and most functions have similar argument lists. The intent is to make the package easy to learn and use.

The implementation of cmstatr also aims to avoid the use of loopup tables and minimize the use of approximations. While this decision leads to increased computation time, the typically small datasets (tens to hundreds of observations) associated with composite material test data, and the speed of modern computers make this practical for interactive programming.

Example Usage

Normally, to use cmstatr the user will load cmstatr itself as well as the tidyverse package.

library(cmstatr)
library(tidyverse)

-- Attaching packages -

```
## v ggplot2 3.2.1
                            0.3.3
                   v purrr
## v tibble 2.1.3
                    v dplyr
                            0.8.4
## v tidyr
           1.0.2
                    v stringr 1.4.0
## v readr
           1.3.1
                    v forcats 0.4.0
## -- Conflicts ------
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag()
                  masks stats::lag()
```

cmstatr contains some example datasets, which can be used to demonstrate the features of the package. One of those datasets — carbon.fabric.2 — will be used in the following example. This dataset contains results from several mechanical tests of a typical composite material. and contains the typical measurements obtained from a test lab. In the following examples, results from the "warp tension" (WT) test will be used. Part of this dataset is shown below.

```
carbon.fabric.2 %>%
filter(test == "WT") %>%
head(10)
```

```
##
           condition batch thickness nplies strength modulus failure_mode
## 1
        WT
                   CTD
                            Α
                                  0.112
                                              14
                                                 142.817
                                                             9.285
                                                                              LAT
        WT
                   CTD
                                  0.113
## 2
                            Α
                                              14
                                                  135.901
                                                             9.133
                                                                              LAT
## 3
        WT
                   CTD
                            Α
                                  0.113
                                              14
                                                  132.511
                                                             9.253
                                                                              LAT
                   CTD
                                                  135.586
## 4
         WT
                            Α
                                  0.112
                                              14
                                                             9.150
                                                                              LAB
## 5
        WT
                   CTD
                            Α
                                  0.113
                                              14
                                                  125.145
                                                             9.270
                                                                              LAB
## 6
        WT
                   CTD
                            Α
                                  0.113
                                              14
                                                  135.203
                                                             9.189
                                                                              LGM
## 7
         WT
                   CTD
                            Α
                                  0.113
                                              14
                                                  128.547
                                                             9.088
                                                                              LAB
## 8
         WT
                   CTD
                            В
                                  0.113
                                              14
                                                  127.709
                                                             9.199
                                                                              LGM
## 9
        WT
                   CTD
                            В
                                  0.113
                                              14
                                                  127.074
                                                             9.058
                                                                              LGM
## 10
         WT
                   CTD
                            В
                                  0.114
                                              14
                                                  126.879
                                                             9.306
                                                                              LGM
```

One common task is to calculate B-Basis values. Depending on the distribution of the data, this can be done using one of several functions. Assuming that the data from the warp tension (WT) elevated-temperature wet (ETW) strength follows a normal distribution, this can be done as follows:

```
carbon.fabric.2 %>%
  filter(test == "WT") %>%
  filter(condition == "ETW") %>%
  basis_normal(strength, batch)
## Warning: `anderson_darling_normal` failed: Anderson-Darling test rejects
## hypothesis that data is drawn from a normal distribution
##
## Call:
## basis_normal(data = ., x = strength, batch = batch)
## Distribution: Normal
                            (n = 18)
  The following diagnostic tests failed:
##
       `anderson_darling_normal`
## B-Basis:
              (p = 0.9, conf =
                                  0.95)
```

122.9315

All of the various basis functions perform diagnostic tests. If any of the diagnostic tests fail, a warning is emitted and the test failure is also recorded in the returned object (and shown in that object's print method). In the example above, the output shows that the Anderson-Darling test for normality (Lawless 1982) rejects the hypothesis that the data is drawn from a normal distribution. The single-point basis functions perform the following tests: the maximum normed residual test for outliers within a batch (CMH-17 2012), the

Anderson-Darling k-Sample test to check if batches are drawn from the same (unspecified) distribution (Scholz and Stephens 1987), the maximum normed residual test for outliers within the data, and the Anderson-Darling test for a particular distribution (Lawless 1982).

Two non-parametric basis calculations, based on (Guenther 1969) and (Vangel 1994) are implemented. These functions perform the same diagnostic tests, but skip the Anderson-Darling test for a particular distribution.

The diagnostic test can be run directly using cmstatr as well. For example, the failed diagnostic test above can be run as follows:

```
carbon.fabric.2 %>%
  filter(test == "WT") %>%
  filter(condition == "ETW") %>%
  anderson_darling_normal(strength)

##
## Call:
## anderson_darling_normal(data = ., x = strength)
##
## Distribution: Normal ( n = 18 )
## Test statistic: A = 0.9381665
## Significance: 0.01103075 (assuming unknown parameters)
## Conclusion: Sample is not drawn from a Normal distribution (alpha = 0.05 )
```

If it is decided that the failure of the diagnostic test is acceptable, the test can be overriden to avoid a warning from being emitted by the basis function:

```
carbon.fabric.2 %>%
  filter(test == "WT") %>%
  filter(condition == "ETW") %>%
  basis_normal(strength, batch, override = c("anderson_darling_normal"))

##
## Call:
## basis_normal(data = ., x = strength, batch = batch, override = c("anderson_darling_normal"))
##
## Distribution: Normal ( n = 18 )
## The following diagnostic tests were overridden:
## anderson_darling_normal
## B-Basis: ( p = 0.9 , conf = 0.95 )
## 122.9315
```

Comparison With Existing Tools

```
... excel tools...... reproducibility...... avoidance of lookup tables and approximations...... example use...... comparison with existing tools...
```

Reproducibility

It is envisioned that many users of cmstatr will...

References

CMH-17. 2012. "Composites Materials Handbook, Volume 1. Polymer Matrix Composites Guideline for Characterization of Structural Materials." CMH-17-1G. SAE International.

Guenther, William. 1969. Determination of Sample Size for Distribution-Free Tolerance Limits. Statistical Research Report, Institute of Mathematics, University of Oslo. 1.

Lawless, J. F. 1982. Statistical Models and Methods for Lifetime Data. John Wiley & Sons.

Materials Sciences Corporation. 2008. "CMH-17 Statistical Analysis for B-Basis and a-Basis Values." STAT-17 Rev 5. Materials Sciences Corporation.

Raju, K.S., and J.S. Tomblin. 2008. "Agate Statistical Analysis Program." ASAP-2008. Wichita State University.

Scholz, F.W., and M.A Stephens. 1987. "K-Sample Anderson-Darling Tests." *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 82 (399): 918–24.

Vangel, Mark. 1994. "One-Sided Nonparametric Tolerance Limits" 23: 1137-54. https://doi.org/10.1080/03610919408813222.

Wickham, Hadley, Mara Averick, Jennifer Bryan, Winston Chang, Lucy D'Agostino McGowan, Romain François, Garrett Grolemund, et al. 2019. "Welcome to the tidyverse." *Journal of Open Source Software* 4 (43): 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.