CSCC63 W17 - Assignment 1

Due: Submit to MarkUs by 11.59pm, Jan 28, 2017

Turing Machine Conventions. Use the following conventions when describing a Turing machine.

Turing machine algorithms are described in *stages*, with indentation for *blocks* that represent *loops*. Each stage is written simply (and clearly) enough that it is obvious it can be implemented on Turing machine. Description always starts with input which is always a string. Use the notation $\langle \mathbf{O} \rangle$ to represent the string encoding of object \mathbf{O} (e.g., $\langle \mathbf{G} \rangle$ represents string encoding of graph \mathbf{G}). A TM can decode such strings and reject automatically if input does not follow proper encoding. Descriptions must always include clear, explicit conditions for accepting and rejecting. Use Example 3.23 on pp.185-187 as a reference.

1. (15 marks) For this problem we will consider the notion of computability as it applies to functions.

For some alphabet Σ , we say that the function $f: \Sigma^* \to \Sigma^*$ is *computable* if there exists a Turing machine M such that for all strings $s \in \Sigma^*$, M accepts input w with final configuration $q_{accept}f(w)$. In other words, when M is started with input w on its tape, it eventually enters its accepting state with only f(x) on its tape and its head on the first symbol of f(w).

- (a) Let $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$. Given a string $a \in \Sigma^*$, we denote by int(a) the non-negative integer obtained by interpreting a as a binary number. For example, int(10011) = 19. Write a *high level* and an *implementation level* description for a Turing machine that computes
 - write a *mgn level* and an *implementation level* description for a Turing machine that computes f(x) where f(x) = 3x and $x \in \{0,1\}^*$. See page 185 for an explanation of high level and implementation level descriptions.
- (b) Give a state transition diagram for the Turing machine defined in (a).
- 2. (10 marks) Let L be an infinite, recognizable language. Prove that there exists a decidable, infinite language L_1 such that L_1 is a subset of L. HINT: Consider an enumerator.
- 3. (10 marks) Let R_1 and R_2 be regular expressions such that $L(R_1) \subseteq L(R_2)$. Define $A = \{\langle R_1, R_2 \rangle \mid L(R_1) \subseteq L(R_2) \}$. Show that A is decidable.
- 4. (10 marks) In the following question we will prove that given a language L, L is recognizable iff a decidable language L_D exists such that $L = \{u | \exists v, u \# v \in L_D\}$.
 - (a) Show that if a language L is recognizable, then there exists a decidable language L_D such that $L = \{u \mid \exists v, u \# v \in L_D\}.$
 - (b) Show that if there exists a decidable language L_D and a language L such that $L = \{u \mid \exists v, u \# v \in L_D\}$ then language L is recognizable.
- 5. (5 marks) Show that the collection L_D of decidable languages are closed under intersection. Repeat for the collection L_R of recognizable languages.

Total Marks: 50