

CS 408 Software Testing

Project 2, Version 1

Instructor: Lin Tan
Release Date: Feb 10, 2020

Due: 11:59 PM, Friday, March 6, 2020
Group Sign-up Due: 11:59 PM, Monday, February 17, 2020
Submit: An electronic copy on BLACKBOARD

The whole project 2 is meant to be 18% of final grade (18/100 points). We plan to have the following breakdown for project 2: part (I)(a): 40%, part (I)(b): 10%, part (I)(c): 10%, part (I)(d) 20% and part (II): 20%.

Choose your own group members (3–4 students per group). Please email Yi Sun (sun624 at purdue.edu) to sign up your project group by the **Group Sign-Up Due Date** above. Your email should include the full name and username of all your group members (e.g John Smith (jsmith)) *You cannot make changes after you register your group, so double check before you submit.*

Please download `proj-skeleton.tar.gz` from the course repo, which you will need for this project. The skeleton contains the necessary source code and test cases for the project.

You could use mc01.cs.purdue.edu-mc18.cs.purdue.edu machines to work on your project. Several of the resources required for this project are already installed on these servers, but can also be downloaded independently.

I expect each group to work on the project independently (discussion and collaboration among group members are expected).

Submission Instructions:

Please read the following instructions carefully. **If you do not follow the instructions, you may be penalized up to 5 points.**

Electronic submission: Go to “Projects” → “Project 2” on Blackboard. Submit only one file in .zip format. Please name your file using the usernames of your group members. For example,

username1_username2_username3.zip

Only one person in a group needs to submit.

The .tar.gz file should contain the following items:

- in the root of the directory, a single pdf file “proj_sub.pdf” for both part (I) and part (II). You must include a **cover page** that contains your team members’ full names and your Purdue email addresses.
- a directory “pi” that contains your code for Project part (I) (follow the instructions for part (I)). “pi” should contain three folders including “partA”, “partC” and “partD”. The code for part I (a), (c) and (d) should be in directories “pi/partA”, “pi/partC”, and “pi/partD” respectively. It must not break the marking script given to you for Part I (a). **You must use C/C++ or Java for part (I).**
- a directory “pii” that contains the compressed output from Coverity for Project part (II)(b) (follow the instructions for part (II)).

The layout of your directories is important, since we use automated software to mark your submissions. In addition, **your code must work on eclinux machines**.

In your top-level “pi/partA” directory there should be your **Makefile**, **source code** and **pipair** (If you are using Java) file. Our marking script will copy the skeleton files into this directory before running **verify.sh**; for example, the first test will be in “pi/partA/test1”. Placing files into other folders, placing your **pipair** file in a different location, or doing anything which prevents **verify.sh** from running will result in losing marks.

In your top-level “pi/partC” directory you follow the same submission protocol as “pi/partA”.

In your top-level “pi/partD” there should be your **Makefile**, **source code** and **README** file. You do not have to follow the same submission protocol as I (a) and (c). We will manually inspect your code using the **README** file.

You can submit multiple times. After submission, **please view your submissions to make sure you have uploaded the right files/versions**.

Part (I) Building an Automated Bug Detection Tool

You will learn *likely-invariants* from call graphs in a way reminiscent to that in SOSP’01 paper discussed in class (Coverity). In particular, you will learn what pairs of functions are called together. You will then use these likely-invariants to automatically detect software bugs.

(a) Inferring Likely Invariants for Bug Detection

Take a look at the following contrived code segment.

```
void scope1() {
    A(); B(); C(); D();
}
void scope2() {
    A(); C(); D();
}
void scope3() {
    A(); B(); B();
}
void scope4() {
    B(); D(); scope1();
}
void scope5() {
    B(); D(); A();
}
void scope6() {
    B(); D();
}
```

We can learn that function A and function B are called together three times in function **scope1**, **scope3**, and **scope5**. Function A is called four times in function **scope1**, **scope2**, **scope3**, and **scope5**. We infer that the one time that function A is called without B in **scope2** is a bug, as function A and B are called together 3 times. We only count B once in **scope3** although **scope3** calls B two times.

We define *support* as the number of times a pair of functions appears together. Therefore, $support(\{A, B\})$ is 3. We define $confidence(\{A, B\}, \{A\})$ as $\frac{support(\{A, B\})}{support(\{A\})}$, which is $\frac{3}{4}$. We set the threshold for support and confidence to be $T_SUPPORT$ and $T_CONFIDENCE$, whose default values are 3 and 65%. You only print bugs

with confidence $T_CONFIDENCE$ or more and with pair support $T_SUPPORT$ times or more. For example, function B is called five times in function `scope1`, `scope3`, `scope4`, `scope5`, and `scope6`. The two times that function B is called alone are not printed as a bug as the confidence is only 60% ($\frac{support(A,B)}{support(B)} = \frac{3}{5}$), lower than the $T_THRESHOLD$, which is 65%. Please note that $support(A,B)$ and $support(B,A)$ are equal, and both 3.

Perform intra-procedural analysis. For example, do not expand `scope1` in `scope4` to the four functions A, B, C, and D. Match function names only.

The sample output with the default support and confidence thresholds should be:

```
bug: A in scope2, pair: (A, B), support: 3, confidence: 75.00%
bug: A in scope3, pair: (A, D), support: 3, confidence: 75.00%
bug: B in scope3, pair: (B, D), support: 4, confidence: 80.00%
bug: D in scope2, pair: (B, D), support: 4, confidence: 80.00%
```

Generate call graphs using LLVM. Given a bitcode file, you use LLVM *opt* to generate call graphs in plain text format, and then analyze the textual call graphs to generate function pairs and detect bugs. The *opt* tool is installed on CS Linux machines (mc*.cs.purdue.edu) If you want to work on your own computer, you need to use 64-bit LLVM 6.0 to avoid compatibility issues.

A sample call graph in text format is shown as follows:

```
Call graph node for function: 'ap_get_mime_headers_core'<<0x42ff770>> #uses=4
  CS<0x4574458> calls function 'ap_rgetline_core'
  CS<0x4575958> calls external node
  CS<0x4575a50> calls external node
  CS<0x4575b20> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
  CS<0x45775a8> calls external node
  CS<0x45776a0> calls external node
  CS<0x4577770> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
  CS<0x45783b8> calls external node
  CS<0x4579dc0> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
  CS<0x4579f78> calls function 'strchr'
  CS<0x457a948> calls external node
  CS<0x457aa40> calls external node
  CS<0x457ab10> calls function 'apr_table_setn'
  CS<0x457d9d0> calls function 'apr_table_addn'
  CS<0x457e4e8> calls function 'apr_table_compress'
and
Call graph node <<null function>><<0x2411e40>> #uses=0
```

The following explanation should help you understand the call graph:

- The above call graph represents the function `ap_get_mime_headers_core` calls functions `ap_rgetline_core`, `apr_table_setn`, etc.
- **#uses=** gives the number of times the caller is called by other functions. For example, `ap_get_mime_headers_core` is called 4 times.
- **CS** denotes call site.
- **0x?????** indicates the memory address of the data structure, i.e., call graph nodes and call sites.
- An **external node** denotes a function that is not implemented in this object file. Ignore external node functions.
- A call graph node of **null function** represents all external callers. Ignore null function nodes entirely.

Performance. We will evaluate the performance and scalability of your program on a pass/fail basis. The largest program that we test will contain up to 20k nodes and 60k edges. Each test case will be given a timeout of three minutes. A timed-out test case will receive zero points. We do not recommend using multi-threading because it only provides a linear gain in performance.

Hints:

- (Very Important!) Do not use string comparisons because it will greatly impact the performance. Use a hashing method to store and retrieve your mappings.
- Minimize the number of nested loops. Pruning an iteration in the outer loop is more beneficial than in the inner loop.
- None of the provided test cases should take more than 5 seconds to run.

Submission protocol. Please follow this protocol and read it *carefully*:

- You only have to submit three items inside the directory named **pi/partA**. You should ensure that you don't include compiled binaries or **test** subdirectories.
 - A file called **Makefile** to compile your source code; it must include 'all' and 'clean' targets.
 - A file called **pipair** as an executable script. You don't need to submit this if your **pipair** is an executable binary, which will be generated automatically when our script executes 'make' on your **Makefile**.
 - **Source code** for your program. Please document/comment your code properly so that it is understandable. Third party libraries are allowed. Make sure all your code runs on CS mc machines (mc01.cs.purdue.edu - mc18.cs.purdue.edu). We will not edit any environment variables when we run your code on our account, so make sure your program is self-contained. We will be testing the code under the bash shell.

Place all of the above items at the root of your repository. Do not submit any of the files from the skeleton folder.

- We provide the marking script (*verify.sh*) that we'll use to test your pipair. Please write your code and **Makefile** to fit the script.
- **Make sure your code works on CS mc machines.** We will use the provided scripts to grade your project on CS mc machines. If your code doesn't run on CS mc machines, you will receive 0 points. **You must use C/C++ or Java for part (I).**
- Marking will be done with strict line comparisons. We will count how many pairs are missing (false negatives) and how many pairs are false positives.
- You should print one pair per line to stdout in this format:

```
bug: %s in %s, pair: (%s, %s), support: %d, confidence: %.2f%%\n
```

- The order of the pairs in your output does not matter. As you can see from the script *verify.sh*, we will run *sort* before *diff*.
- The order of the two functions in a pair does not matter in the calculations. Both (foo, bar) and (bar, foo) contribute to the count of pair (bar, foo). However, to have consistent output (for easier grading), you must sort the pair alphabetically while printing. For example, print (bar, foo) instead of (foo, bar).
The golden output uses natural sorting (lexicographical comparison) with the following API:
<http://docs.oracle.com/javase/tutorial/collections/interfaces/order.html>
In lexicographical comparison, digits will precede letters and uppercase precedes lowercase.
- Ignore duplicate calls to the same function. For example, if we have the code segment `scope() { A(); B(); A(); B(); A(); }`, the support for the pair (A, B), *support(A, B)*, is 1.

- Name your program **pipair**. We must be able to run it with the following command, where the support and confidence value will always be an integer:
`./pipair <bitcode file> <T_SUPPORT> <T_CONFIDENCE>`,
e.g.
`./pipair hello.bc 10 80`, to specify support of 10 and confidence of 80%,
or
`./pipair <bitcode file>`,
e.g.
`./pipair hello.bc`, to use the default support of 3 and default confidence of 65%.
- If running your program requires a special command line format such as `java YourProgram arguments`, your `pipair` should be a wrapper bash script, e.g.:

```
#!/bin/bash
java YourProgram $@
```

`$@` represents all command line arguments.

- If you are using Java, you must configure the `-Xms128m` and `-Xmx128m` flag to ensure Java Virtual Machine allocates enough memory during the startup (do not allocate more than 128MB). It is recommended that configure this in your `pipair` script while launching your Java program. The reason is that the VM will not dynamically increase the heap size for the larger test cases. Make sure your program is memory efficient to ensure it scales to larger test cases.

Skeleton files and marking.

- We recommend developing on CS mc machines so that you don't have to deal with porting and compatibility issues later. We have confirmed that the UNIX "sort" command behave differently on Mac than on Linux.
- To test a specific test case, run "**make clean**" and then "**make**" in the test's directory. Your output should be identical to the "gold" file. Your output should be passed through **sort** before **diffing** with the **gold** file. For example:
`sort testX_Y_Z.out | diff gold_Y_Z -`
- To run all tests together, execute **verify.sh**. Logs of all output can be found in `/tmp`.
- **clean.sh** runs "**make clean**" in all test directories.
- Our marking procedure:
 1. We will extract your submitted tar file on the server.
 2. We will copy the required files from your `pi/partA` directory into the root of a clean skeleton folder that contains the full test suite with seven tests.
 3. We will run "**verify.sh**" to test your program, which will automatically:
 - (a) Run "**make**" to compile your program.
 - (b) Execute each test with a two minute limit.
- Since the skeleton files and tests are copied over during marking, do *not* modify any files given in the project skeleton, since they will be over-written.

Common issues.

- It says “error”.

This error indicates `verify.sh` encountered a problem when it tried to run `make` inside the test directories. This is likely due to a failure in `pipair`.

Here are a few things that you can do to help troubleshoot this issue:

- The error message is usually in the `testx_x_xx.out` file. This file contains what got written to stdout by your `pipair` executable.
- The log output of `verify.sh` is dumped to a file at `/tmp/testing-<your username>-pi-<time of log>.log`.
- Instead of testing the whole test suite using `verify.sh`, run the individual test cases manually with “`make clean`” and then “`make`” inside each testX folder.
- Verify the correctness of your `pipair` by running it manually inside each test folder. If your `pipair` is a bash script that consists of multiple commands, manually execute the bash commands one at a time.

- How should I implement `pipair`?

You can implement `pipair` as an executable binary, or you can implement `pipair` as a bash script. It might be easier if you do it the bash script approach. The reason is that you can call `opt` within the bash script and either

- pipe the call graph output from `opt` into your C/Java program; or,
- write the call graph to a file and then read the file with your C/Java program to perform the analysis.

- My program is printing garbage; how can I get rid of message X or message Y?

Please check both stdout and stderr. You can discard those messages by dumping them to `/dev/null`.

- I don’t know how to get the call graph using `opt`. I’m getting either nothing or gibberish.

Use LLVM’s `opt` tool. `opt` prints the call graph to stderr, and the contents of the bitcode file to stdout if stdout is not the terminal. There are many ways of getting the call graphs:

- Use an intermediate file for the call graph.
- Call `opt` from inside your program, and capture `opt`’s stderr.
- Write a wrapper, and pipe the call graph to your program as if it is typed by hand to stdin. For example: `opt -print-callgraph 2>&1 >/dev/null | YourProgram $@`

There are many other ways depending on your design and your language of choice.

- I can’t run a shell command with I/O redirection (e.g., “`opt -print-callgraph 2>&1 >/dev/null`”).

This is because your Linux shell does not support I/O redirection. You can check what shell you are running by executing “`ps -p $$`”. Change your shell to `bash` by issuing “`bash`” in the terminal to fix the issue.

- I’m getting “Segmentation Fault” from `opt`.

If it’s only test3, you are using an old version of LLVM. You must use 64-bit LLVM 6.0 to generate a call graph for test3. If all tests segfaults, you are using a broken LLVM compilation. We recommend using LLVM on CS Linux machines.

- Java throws “NoClassDefFoundError” if I run my program within the testX directory.

The working directory while running the tests is always *inside* the testX directories. Therefore, you need to specify the path to your .class file using the “`-cp`” option in your `pipair` wrapper. For example:

```
java -cp [classpath here] YourClassName $@"
```

(b) Finding and Explaining False Positives

Examine the output of your code for (a) on the Apache `httpd` server (test3 from part (a)) by running `verify.sh`. Do you think he had found any real bugs? There are some false positives. A false positive is where a line says “bug ...” in your output, but there is nothing wrong with the corresponding code. Write down two different fundamental reasons for as to why false positives occur in general.

Identify 2 pairs of functions that are false positives for `httpd`. Explain why you think each of the two pairs that you selected are false positives. The two pairs should have a combined total of at least 10 “bug ...” line locations (e.g., first pair can have 4 and second pair can have 6). For example, the following sample output contains 4 locations regarding 3 pairs: (A, B) (A, D) and (B, D). You do not have to provide explanations for all 10 locations, but you will want to use at least one location to discuss each pair. Briefly explain why the pair is a false positive with reference to the source code.

```
bug: A in scope2, pair: (A, B), support: 3, confidence: 75.00%
bug: A in scope3, pair: (A, D), support: 3, confidence: 75.00%
bug: B in scope3, pair: (B, D), support: 4, confidence: 80.00%
bug: D in scope2, pair: (B, D), support: 4, confidence: 80.00%
```

Use the callgraph provided in test3 together with the source code to help your answer this question. The source code of `httpd` has been provided on CS Linux machines for your convenience. It is located at: `/homes/cs408/httpd-2.4.41`. You can also download the source code from <http://mirror.cogentco.com/pub/apache//httpd/httpd-2.4.41.tar.gz>

If you find new bugs (bugs that have not been found by other people yet), you may receive bonus points. Check the corresponding bug databases of Apache¹ to make sure that the bug you found has not been reported there already. Clearly explain why you think it is a bug and provide evidence that it is a new bug.

(c) Inter-Procedural Analysis.

One solution to reduce false positives is inter-procedural analysis. For example, one might expand the function `scope1` in the function `scope4` to the four functions A, B, C, and D. Implement this solution by adding an optional fourth command line parameter to `pipair`. We leave the algorithm’s implementation details up to you.

Write a report (up to 2 pages) to describe your algorithm and what you found. Run an experiment on your algorithm and report the details. For example, you can vary the numbers of levels that you expand as an experiment. Provide a concrete example to illustrate that your solution can do better than the default algorithm used in (a). We will read your report and code. If you only submit a report, you will receive at most 50% of the points for this part (c). Make sure your code is well documented and your report is understandable. Follow the submission protocol in part (a) and place the code inside the `pi/partC` directory.

Test 3 from part (a) utilizes the same program (`httpd`) as part (b). Therefore, you might find it convenient to use test 3 to verify your inter-procedural experiment.

Resources for students who would like to generate a call graph with function arguments (optional):

- Check out the following post: <http://stackoverflow.com/questions/5373714/generate-calling-graph-for-c-code>
- Once you generated the call graph, use `c++filt` to demangle the function names (takes care of function overloading). After that use `sed` to transform the text and you will get the call graph.
- It is important that you disable C/C++ optimizations during the call graph generation. Add the following flags in “opt” to ensure there is no inlining and ensure “opt” would not optimize the function arguments: `-disable-inlining -disable-opt`
- You have to use `clang++` to generate the bitcode.

¹<https://issues.apache.org/bugzilla/>

(d) Improving the Solutions.

Propose another non-trivial solution to reduce false positives and/or find more bugs. Your solution should not be a simple modification of the support and confidence parameters. Cite two papers that are related to the area of your proposed solution. Implement your solution in code and place it inside the `pi/partD` directory. Write two pages of summary to describe your solution. You may implement and describe an approach that had been published. If you do so, make sure you cite the papers.

Part (II) — Using a Static Bug Detection Tool Coverity (20 points)

For this part of the project you will be using the static code analysis tool Coverity to find defects in source code. We have acquired a Coverity license for student use. Occasionally, we will collect groups, generate Coverity credentials, and mail you a link which allows you choose a password for your credentials.

(a) Resolving Bugs in Apache Tomcat

In this task, you are to triage warning messages resulting from running Coverity static analysis on Apache Tomcat. We have already compiled and analyzed a version of Apache Tomcat for you. The pre-analyzed code is available on Coverity's web interface at <https://coverity.cs.purdue.edu:8443>. More information can be found at <https://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/lintan/courses/cs408/coverity.html> and <https://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/lintan/courses/cs408/tomcat6.html>

You should first visit Coverity at <https://coverity.cs.purdue.edu:8443>. If you are visiting off-campus, you should connect to Purdue VPN first.

Note that you don't need to create your own project for (a). You only need to inspect warnings for the existing project *tomcat6*.

To view and triage warnings from the analysis, select the "Outstanding Issues" item in the navigation tree on the left hand side of the page. Choose "Tomcat6" from the dropdown menu on the top of the page.

You can click on any warning to view the details of the warning and the source code that triggered the warning.

Your task is to triage and repair these warnings. There are 18 warnings for you to triage and repair. Triage each warning by classifying it as one of the following: *False Positive*, *Intentional*, or *Bug*. Do not use the built-in Triage tool, write your classification and explanations directly in your report. Below are descriptions of each triage classification:

- **False Positive:** The warning does not indicate a fault or deficiency.
- **Intentional:** You believe the warning points to code that the developer **intentionally** created that is incorrect (contains a fault) or considered bad practice.
- **Bug:** The warning points to a defect (a fault or bad practice) in the code.

If you classify the warning as *False Positive*, provide an explanation as to why you believe this is the case. If you classify the warning as *Intentional*, explain how the code can be re-factored to remove the warning, or explain why it should be left as-is. If you classify the warning as bug, provide the faulty lines (1-2 lines is often enough, including the file name and line numbers) and a description of a proposed bug fix.

The difference between False Positive/Intentional and Intentional/Bug is not always clear, so there is not necessary one unique good classification. Make sure you explain clearly your choice!

For details on each Coverity checker, read the checker documentation found on https://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/lintan/courses/cs408/coverity.html#reference_material).

The report for this part should be no more than 4 pages. Be concise.

(b) Analyzing Your Own Code

Run Coverity on your own code from part (I) (a). See instructions on <https://www.cs.purdue.edu/homes/lintan/courses/cs408/coverity.html> to analyze your code with Coverity Connect interface. Once your project is uploaded on the web interface, you must **make it inaccessible to other students: connect on <https://coverity.cs.purdue.edu:8443> with your credentials. click on “Configuration” , “Projects & Streams” and Select your project. In the “Roles” tab, click on “add” and check “No Access” for the group “Students”.**

You learn more from having the tool find defects in code that you wrote, fixing the problem and seeing the defect go away. Discuss two of the bugs detected by Coverity using up to 1 page. If Coverity finds no bugs, what are the possible reasons?

Include the results from your analysis with your submission. Put them in directory pii.