

Sophists

1 From philosophers of Milesian school to atomists, Greek philosophy has made great progress. They has
2 focused on the problems of the world, resulting in the profound change in related views and conceptions.
3 This is plainly showed by the transformation from a universe filled with gods and mythical beings to
4 a world of mechanical doctrines proposed by atomists. However, the spirit of free inquiry, independent
5 thinking, reflecting and criticizing is not confined in philosophy, but permeates to other fields. In literature,
6 writers views of life are deepened and broadened by criticism, which would be obvious by a comparison
7 between the dramatic poems of Aeschylus (525 BC-456 BC), Sophocles (496 BC-405 BC) and Euripides
8 (480 BC-406 BC). In historical research, legends and other forms of superstitions are discredited, although
9 they used to be acceptable to some extent. Herodotus (484 BC-420 BC) paves the way for a critical study
10 of history and Thucydides (460 bc-400 BC) is one of the most outstanding representatives in this field.
11 In medicine, old ideas are abandoned and a need of new knowledge of man and nature is felt. Some
12 philosophical theories, like the four elements of Empedocles, are applied in the art of healing and lead to
13 the direction of scientific research of medicine, showing the importance of observation and experiments.
14 The zeal for investigations is intense and extends to all sorts of problems.

15 The progress of thought is accompanied with the improvement of the whole society. The Persian Wars
16 (500 BC-449 BC) makes Athens the center of commerce, art and academe. Different ideas intermingle
17 with each other and give an impetus to thought and action. Old views and traditions are examined
18 and in many cases, lose their credit, resulting in the demand for new thoughts, views and conceptions.
19 Individual is wondering the meaning of life instead of merely living it. He is pondering it and is no longer
20 content to give voice to traditional views of his nation, but is prompted to set forth his own personal
21 thoughts and theories. This inevitably leads to the rise of skepticism and individualism, and Sophists are
22 the representatives of this new movement.

23 The term "sophist" originally means a wise and skillful man, and it gradually becomes the name of a
24 professional teacher who travels around, giving instructions for pay in the art of thinking and speaking,
25 and preparing young men for political life. Protagoras, the representative of Sophists, once said to a
26 young man:

27 *If you associate with me, on the very day you will return a better man than you came.*

28 When Socrates asked him how he would bring this about, he answered:

29 *If he comes to me, he will learn that which he comes to learn. And this is prudence in affairs, private*
30 *as well as public; he will learn to order his house in the best manner, and he will be able to speak and act*
31 *for the best in affairs of the state.*

32 As it is shown here, the main aim of Sophists is practical, but this has no influence on the fact that
33 they start the investigations in new fields. Attention is paid to moral and political questions, leading

34 to a systemic and thorough treatment of theory of knowledge, ethics and politics. For the first time,
35 philosophers line of sight is moved from the objective world to human knowledge and conduct.

36 Sophists represent the new time, but the name Sophist gradually becomes a term of reproach. This
37 is partly attributed to the fact that Sophists take pay, partly to the degeneration to subjectivism and
38 relativism, resulting from their anxiety to make their pupils efficient and the growth of individualism.
39 Individualism means that individuals have their own ideas, and it would be nature that these ideas are
40 highly diversified. Then who is right? What makes ones views better than others? The growth of
41 subjectivism and relativism makes the answer become Nothing. So what one happens to think true is
42 true, what one happens to think right is right. Ones ideas and acts are just as good as others. Everyone
43 is right, and there is no truth, only different views. Such a tendency and the anxiety to make students
44 efficient make the instructions of Sophists become to teach their pupils how to vanquish an opponent
45 by fair means, to win a debate with all sorts of logical fallacies. A reference from Thucydides may help
46 understand the chaos caused by the corruption of Sophists:

47 *The common meaning of words was turned about at mens pleasure; the most reckless bravado was*
48 *deemed the most desirable friend; a man of prudence and moderation was styled a coward; a man who*
49 *listened to reason was a good-for-nothing simpleton.*

50 Thus, the arguments of Sophists corrupt into the art of making the worse appear the better, making
51 the black appear the white. Until today, the word sophist is still can be understood as a person who
52 reasons with clever but fallacious arguments.

53 *The Theory of Knowledge* The Sophistic movement is not primarily a moral and religious reformation,
54 although it does contain such aspects. The aim of Sophists, in the first instance, is a protest against
55 the paradoxical conclusions drawn by nature philosophers. Earlier philosophers focused on the problem
56 of substance and change, and their answers are amazingly diversified. The substance, or substances by
57 which all objects are composed of, could be fire, air, water, or all of them together. The answer could
58 even be more weird: the *Infinite*, numbers, atoms and so on. As for the problem of change, things are not
59 better. One says that change is impossible, and another one says there is nothing but change. Still, there
60 are some people trying to muddle through this by distinguishing change into absolute level and relative
61 level. Facing such a mess, Sophists turn their attention on human himself. They realize that human mind
62 is an important factor in the process of knowing and reach a conclusion that all the paradoxical theories
63 of their predecessors are caused by the limitation of human mind. Humans intelligence is not qualified to
64 solve the problem of the universe and gain the absolute truth. As a result, all the knowledge we get is
65 dependent on the people who propose it. So what seems to be true to an individual is true for him, and
66 nobody has ever gotten absolute truth. What thinkers has proposed are just subjective opinions.

67 Now, the reason for the rise of conflictual opinions is clear. Then how we should deal with them?
68 Protagoras (485 BC-420 BC) teaches that they are all true. Man is the measure of all things. Thus he
69 says. The word man in the reference is not man generically, but the individual man. The individual is the
70 law of himself in matters of knowledge. Opinions from different individuals are different, even opposed
71 to one another, but they can be all true. Then, the task of thinkers is not to demonstrate the truth, but
72 to persuade people to embrace one of those opposing statements rather than others. Even two opposing
73 theories can be both true, Protagoras still believes that one can be better than the other. Now here comes
74 a paradox: Protagoras holds the view that two conflictual ideas are both true, then what can make one
75 better than the other, resulting in the conclusion that we should embrace one of them? In other words,
76 Protagoras is guilty of reinstating a standard of truth after having denied all standards.

77 Facing the great mess of conflictual views, Protagoras goes to one extreme by asserting that they are
78 all true, while some philosophers, like Gorgias (483 BC-375 BC), goes to another extreme, declaring that
79 they are all fake. In Gorgias works, he forms a completely negativistic philosophy in three statements:
80 first, there is nothing; second, even if there were something, we could not know it; third, even if it existed
81 and we could know it, we could not communicate this knowledge to others.

82 Sophistic theory of knowledge is negative and skeptical. It reflects and criticizes knowledge itself,
83 but it still has positive sides. The dialectical arguments used by Sophists, although the primary aim is
84 to confute their adversaries, paves the way for the dialectic of Plato and the logic of Aristotle. Besides,
85 Sophists also recognize the pragmatic aspects of knowledge. Absolute theoretic truth maybe unattainable,
86 but relative knowledge achieved by individuals can still be put into practice and used in affairs of life.
87 Thus, the original form of pragmatism is offered.

88 1 Ethics

89 The negativistic trend appears in the theory of knowledge should have had its place in Sophistic ethics.
90 If knowledge is impossible, then knowledge of right and wrong is impossible, so there is no universal right
91 and wrong, and morality is just a subjective concept. Or in another line of argument, if peoples diversified
92 and conflictual opinions can be all true, then their opinions on the knowledge of right and wrong can be
93 all true, too. Again there is no universal right and wrong, and morality is just a subjective concept. Such
94 an attitude can originate from the diversity of customs, morals and traditions of different nations, similar
95 to the growth of skepticism in knowledge caused by the conflictual theories of nature philosophers.

96 However, such a nihilistic attitude to ethics is not drawn by Sophists, attributed to the spirit of
97 pragmatism, which also appears in Sophistic theory of knowledge. Earlier Sophists, like Protagoras and
98 Gorgias, tend to insist that all established morality and laws, are merely conventional, but emphasis their

99 necessity at the same time. Certain moral and legal rules must be employed, if there is to be any social
100 and moral order at all. The moral and social conventions raise man above the level of brute and transform
101 him into a social animal. Later Sophists does not go to the extreme of nihilistic ethics, neither. They
102 set a distinction between nature and convention, and attribute moral standards and rules of conduct to
103 the latter. So, morality is not grounded in the very nature and constitution of things, but the product
104 of convention and arbitrary agreement among men. According to some, laws are made by the weak, the
105 majority, in order to restrain the strong, the best, to hinder the fittest from getting their due. Hence,
106 human laws violate the justice of nature, which is the right of the stronger. While according to others, laws
107 are made by the few, the strong, in order to promote their own interests. The two versions of Sophistic
108 doctrines are conflictual, but share a common core that laws and morality are conventional device for the
109 promotion of group interests, emphasizing their pragmatic significance.