

Section for Statistical Theory

TA: Cong Mu

Office Hour: Wednesday 09:30AM - 11:30AM

September 10 & 11, 2020

1 Review

- Preliminaries
- Sufficiency
- Completeness
- Exponential Families

2 Problems

Regular Models

Models $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta \mid \theta \in \Theta\}$ will be called regular parametric models if either one of the followings hold

- 1 All of the P_θ are continuous with densities $p(x, \theta)$.
- 2 All of the P_θ are discrete with frequency functions $p(x, \theta)$, and the set $\{x \mid p(x, \theta) > 0\}$ is the same for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

Bias–variance tradeoff

- 1 Low bias with high variance.
- 2 High bias with low variance.

MSE

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an estimator of a parameter θ , then

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}) = \left(\text{Bias}[\hat{\theta}] \right)^2 + \text{Var}[\hat{\theta}],$$

where

$$\text{Bias}[\hat{\theta}] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] - \theta.$$

Sufficient Statistic

A statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is called sufficient for $P_\theta \in \mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta \mid \theta \in \Theta\}$ if the condition distribution of \mathbf{X} given $T(\mathbf{X}) = t$ does not involve θ .

Factorization Theorem for Sufficient Statistics

In a regular model, a statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ with range \mathcal{T} is sufficient for θ **if and only if** there exists a function $g(t, \theta)$ defined for $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$ and a function $h(\mathbf{x})$ defined for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ such that for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\theta \in \Theta$

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) = g(T(\mathbf{x}), \theta) h(\mathbf{x}).$$

Minimal Sufficient Statistic

A statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is called minimally sufficient if it is sufficient and provides a greater reduction of the data than any other sufficient statistic $S(\mathbf{X})$, i.e., we can find a transformation r such that $T(\mathbf{X}) = r(S(\mathbf{X}))$.

Characterization for Minimal Sufficient Statistics

A statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is minimally sufficient **if and only if**

$$\frac{p(\mathbf{x}, \theta)}{p(\mathbf{y}, \theta)} \text{ does not involve } \theta \iff T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y}).$$

Complete Statistic

A statistic $T(\mathbf{X})$ is called complete for $P_\theta \in \mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta \mid \theta \in \Theta\}$ if for every measurable function g ,

$$E_\theta [g(T)] = 0, \forall \theta \quad \implies \quad P_\theta [g(T) = 0] = 1, \forall \theta.$$

Sufficiency and Completeness

Rao-Blackwell Theorem

If $g(X)$ is an estimator of parameter θ , then the Rao-Blackwell estimator $g_*(X) = E[g(X) | T(X)]$ where $T(X)$ is a sufficient statistic is typically a better estimator of θ . With respect to MSE, that is

$$E[(g_*(X) - \theta)^2] \leq E[(g(X) - \theta)^2].$$

Lehmann-Scheffé Theorem

If an unbiased estimator depends on the data only through a complete and sufficient statistic for some parameter θ , then it is the minimum-variance unbiased estimator (MVUE) of θ .

Exponential Families

One-Parameter Exponential Families

The family of distributions of a model $\{P_\theta \mid \theta \in \Theta\}$ is said to be a one-parameter exponential family if there exist real-valued functions $\eta(\theta)$, $B(\theta)$ defined for $\theta \in \Theta$, real-valued functions $T(x)$, $h(x)$ defined for $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that the density (frequency) functions $p(x, \theta)$ of P_θ can be written as

$$p(x, \theta) = h(x) \exp [\eta(\theta) T(x) - B(\theta)].$$

Note that the functions $\eta(\theta)$, $B(\theta)$ and $T(x)$ are not unique. By **Factorization Theorem for Sufficient Statistics**, $T(X)$ is sufficient for θ .

Exponential Families

Canonical One-Parameter Exponential Families

A useful reparametrization of the one-parameter exponential family by letting the model be indexed by η rather than θ has the form as

$$q(x, \eta) = h(x) \exp[\eta^T(x) - A(\eta)],$$

where

$$A(\eta) = \begin{cases} \log \int h(x) \exp[\eta^T(x)] dx & \text{continuous case} \\ \log \sum h(x) \exp[\eta^T(x)] & \text{discrete case} \end{cases}.$$

Note that $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{E}$ where \mathcal{E} is the collection of all η such that $A(\eta)$ is finite.

Exponential Families

k-Parameter Exponential Families

The family of distributions of a model $\{P_{\theta} \mid \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k\}$ is said to be a k-parameter exponential family if there exist real-valued functions $\eta_1(\theta), \dots, \eta_k(\theta), B(\theta)$ defined for $\theta \in \Theta$, real-valued functions $T_1(x), \dots, T_k(x), h(x)$ defined for $x \in \mathcal{X}$ such that the density (frequency) functions $p(x, \theta)$ of P_{θ} can be written as

$$p(x, \theta) = h(x) \exp \left[\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\top}(\theta) \boldsymbol{T}(x) - B(\theta) \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\eta}(\theta) &= [\eta_1(\theta) \quad \cdots \quad \eta_k(\theta)]^{\top}, \\ \boldsymbol{T}(x) &= [T_1(x) \quad \cdots \quad T_k(x)]^{\top}. \end{aligned}$$

Exponential Families

Canonical k-Parameter Exponential Families

A useful reparametrization of the k -parameter exponential family by letting the model be indexed by $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ rather than $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ has the form as

$$q(x, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = h(x) \exp \left[\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{T}(x) - A(\boldsymbol{\eta}) \right],$$

where

$$A(\boldsymbol{\eta}) = \begin{cases} \log \int h(x) \exp \left[\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{T}(x) \right] dx & \text{continuous case} \\ \log \sum h(x) \exp \left[\boldsymbol{\eta}^\top \mathbf{T}(x) \right] & \text{discrete case} \end{cases}.$$

Note that $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathcal{E}$ where \mathcal{E} is the collection of all $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ such that $A(\boldsymbol{\eta})$ is finite.

Normal Distribution

- **Multivariate Normal:** $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|}} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right].$$

- **Bivariate Normal:** $(X, Y) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X^2, \sigma_Y^2, \rho)$

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{\exp(z)}{2\pi\sigma_X\sigma_Y\sqrt{1-\rho^2}},$$

where

$$z = -\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)} \left[\frac{(x - \mu_X)^2}{\sigma_X^2} - \frac{2\rho(x - \mu_X)(y - \mu_Y)}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} + \frac{(y - \mu_Y)^2}{\sigma_Y^2} \right].$$

Bivariate Normal

Marginal and Conditional Distribution

The marginal and conditional distributions of bivariate normal are normal. That is, if $(X, Y) \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ where

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_X \\ \mu_Y \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_X^2 & \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y \\ \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y & \sigma_Y^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$, $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ and $X | Y = y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{X|Y}, \sigma_{X|Y}^2)$ where

$$\mu_{X|Y} = \mu_X + \rho \frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y} (y - \mu_Y), \quad \sigma_{X|Y}^2 = (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_X^2$$

Problem 1.1.1(d), page 67 in [B&D, 2015]

The number of eggs laid by an insect follows a Poisson distribution with unknown mean λ . Once laid, each egg has an unknown chance p of hatching and the hatching of one egg is independent of the hatching of the others. An entomologist studies a set of n such insects observing both the number of eggs laid and the number of eggs hatching for each nest.

Problem 1.3.11(a), page 78 in [B&D, 2015]

A decision rule δ is said to be unbiased if for all $\theta, \theta' \in \Theta$, we have

$$E_{\theta} [\ell(\theta, \delta(\mathbf{X}))] \leq E_{\theta} [\ell(\theta', \delta(\mathbf{X}))].$$

Show that if θ is real and $\ell(\theta, a) = (\theta - a)^2$, then this definition coincides with the definition of an unbiased estimate of θ .

Problem 1.5.1, page 84 in [B&D, 2015]

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta)$ iid where $\theta > 0$.

- 1 Show directly that $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is sufficient for θ .
- 2 Establish the same result using the factorization theorem.

Problem 1.5.12, page 86 in [B&D, 2015]

Let $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta \mid \theta \in \Theta\}$ where P_θ is discrete concentrated on $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$. Let

$$p(x, \theta) = P_\theta[X = x] = L_x(\theta) > 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{X}.$$

Show that $\frac{L_X(\cdot)}{L_X(\theta_0)}$ is minimal sufficient.

References



Bickel, Peter J., and Kjell A. Doksum. (2015) Mathematical statistics: basic ideas and selected topics, volume I. CRC Press.

Thanks for listening!