

# MTH 295: Homework 3

Connor Baker

February 2018

1. Let  $R$  be a relation from a nonempty set  $A$  to itself. Prove that if  $R$  is symmetric, transitive, and  $\text{dom}(R) = A$ , then  $R$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Assume that the relation  $R$  is symmetric, transitive, and that  $\text{dom } R = A$ . Since  $\text{dom } R = A$ , and  $A$  is non-empty,  $R$  is non-empty, and there must be at least one tuple in  $R$ . Let  $x, y \in A$ , and  $xRy$ . By symmetry,  $yRx$ . By transitivity,  $xRx$ . By symmetry the symmetry of  $R$ , this proof also works if we let  $yRx$  instead of  $xRy$ . As  $R$  is symmetric, transitive, and reflexive,  $R$  must be an equivalence relation.  $\square$

2. Use the Principle of Mathematical Induction to prove  $3^n \geq 2^n + 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n = 1$ . Then,

$$3^1 \geq 2^1 + 1. \tag{1}$$

Next, we must now prove that if the inequality is true for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the above inequality also holds for  $n + 1$ . We do this by performing the following inductive step:

Let  $n = k$ . Then, assume

$$3^k \geq 2^k + 1. \tag{2}$$

is true. We now try to prove that the inequality holds for  $n = k + 1$  using our previous assumption:

$$\begin{aligned} 3^{k+1} &= 3^k \cdot 3 \\ &\geq 3^k \cdot 2 \\ &\geq (2^k + 1) \cdot 2 \\ &= 2^{k+1} + 2 \\ &\geq 2^{k+1} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Principal of Mathematical Induction,  $3^n \geq 2^n + 1$ , for all natural numbers  $n$ .  $\square$

3. Use the Principle of Mathematical Induction to prove

$$\sqrt{2\sqrt{2\sqrt{2\sqrt{2}\dots}}} \leq 2.$$

(Hint: Construct a recursively defined sequence.)

*Proof.* We begin by constructing a recursively defined sequence to model the left hand side of the inequality we wish to prove. Let

$$a_1 = \sqrt{2}$$

and

$$a_n = \sqrt{2 \cdot a_{n-1}}.$$

We now have a sequence that models nested roots of two for any natural number  $n$ . As such, we can substitute the sequence in place of the original.

Let  $n = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \sqrt{2} \\ &\leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

and the base case holds. Let  $n = k$ , and assume the following to hold:

$$a_k \leq 2.$$

Now, let  $n = k + 1$  :

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} &= \sqrt{2 \cdot a_k} = \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{a_k} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2} \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Principle of Mathematical Induction, it has been proven that  $\sqrt{2\sqrt{2\sqrt{2\sqrt{2}\dots}}} \leq 2$ .  $\square$

4. Let  $a_1 = 2, a_2 = 4$ , and  $a_{n+2} = 5a_{n+1} - 6a_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Prove that  $a_n = 2^n$  for all natural numbers  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n = 1$ . Then:

$$a_1 = 2 = 2^1.$$

Next, we must now prove that if the inequality is true for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the above inequality also holds for  $n + 1$ .

Let  $n = k$ . Then, assume the following equation to be true  $\forall n \leq k$  as well – that is, assume the equality holds for Equation (1) and Equation (2).

$$a_{k+1} = 5a_k - 6a_{k-1} = 2^{k-1}, \quad (1)$$

$$a_{k+2} = 5a_{k+1} - 6a_k = 2^k. \quad (2)$$

We now try to prove that the inequality holds for  $n = k + 1$ :

$$a_{k+3} = 5a_{k+2} - 6a_{k+1} = 2^{k+1}. \quad (3)$$

We substitute Equation (1) into Equation (2):

$$a_{k+3} = 5(2^k) - 6(2^{k-1}) = 2^{k+1}. \quad (4)$$

This simplifies as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+3} &= 5(2 \cdot 2^{k-1}) - 6(2^{k-1}) = 2^{k+1}, \\ a_{k+3} &= 10 \cdot 2^{k-1} - 6 \cdot 2^{k-1} = 2^{k+1}, \\ a_{k+3} &= 2^{k-1}(10 - 6) = 2^{k+1}, \\ a_{k+3} &= 2^{k-1}(4) = 2^{k+1}, \\ a_{k+3} &= 2^{k-1}(2^2) = 2^{k+1}, \\ a_{k+3} &= 2^{k+1} = 2^{k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Therefore, by the Principle of Strong Mathematical Induction,  $a_n = 2^n$  holds for all natural numbers.  $\square$

5. Use the Principle of Mathematical Induction to prove that

$$1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + 3 \cdot 3! + \cdots + n \cdot n! = (n+1)! - 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n = 1$ . Then:

$$1 \cdot 1! = (1+1)! - 1$$

$$1 = 2 - 1$$

$$1 = 1.$$

Next, we must now prove that if the equality is true for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the above equality also holds for  $n+1$ .

Let  $n = k$ . Then, assume the following equation to be true:

$$1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + 3 \cdot 3! + \cdots + k \cdot k! = (k+1)! - 1. \quad (1)$$

We now try to prove that the equality holds for  $n = k+1$ :

$$1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + 3 \cdot 3! + \cdots + (k+1) \cdot (k+1)! = ((k+1)+1)! - 1. \quad (2)$$

Equation (2) can be re-written to show more terms so that we can more clearly substitute in Equation (1):

$$1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \cdots + k \cdot k! + (k+1) \cdot (k+1)! = ((k+1)+1)! - 1,$$

which when we substitute Equation (1) into becomes:

$$(k+1)! - 1 + (k+1) \cdot (k+1)! = ((k+1)+1)! - 1.$$

Factoring out the  $(k+1)!$  yields:

$$(k+1)! \cdot ((k+1)+1) - 1 = (k+2)! - 1.$$

This can be re-written as

$$(k+1)! \cdot (k+2) - 1 = (k+2)! - 1,$$

which is the same as

$$(k+2)! - 1 = (k+2)! - 1. \quad (3)$$

Therefore, it has been proven by the Principle of Mathematical Induction that the equality holds for all natural numbers  $n$ .  $\square$