

A stylised mathematical model of national-level food system security

Conor Goold¹

¹Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK

5

10

15

20

Abstract. Global food security is threatened by various endogeneous and exogeneous, biotic and abiotic factors, including a rising human population, higher population densities, price volatility and climate change. Perturbations to the global food system have a direct effect on the security and resilience food systems. These effects are felt, in different ways, by both producers, processors and consumers. Various mathematical and computational models exist to understand food systems' responses to shocks and stresses, but most models are tailored to making predictions of specific food systems and contexts. Here, we present and analyse a stylised mathematical model of a national-level food system that incorporates dynamic interactions between domestic production of a food commodity, international trade, domestic demand and consumption, and food commodity price. The model exhibits two dominant modes of behaviour, unsustainable and sustainable domestic production, the stability of which depends on a balance between the strength of international trade and local production costs. As an example, we fit our dynamic systems model to data from the UK pig industry using Bayesian estimation.

Contents

1 Introduction

2

1 Introduction

25 Food security is defined as “when all people at all times have physical and economic access
to sufficient, safe and nutritious food to meet their dietary needs and preferences for an
active and healthy life” (FAO. 1996). The realisation of food security depends on the three
pillars of access, utilisation and availability (Maxwell 1996; Barrett 2010), and therefore
is an outcome of coupled agricultural, ecological and sociological systems (Hammond and
30 Dubé 2012; Ericksen 2008; Ingram 2011). In recent years, the resilience of food security has
become a priority area of research (e.g. Nyström et al. 2019; Tendall et al. 2015; Béné et al.
2016; Seekell et al. 2017) as biotic and abiotic, endogeneous and exogeneous demands on food
systems grow, including the deleterious effects food systems have on their own environments
(Springmann et al. 2018; Strzepek and Boehlert 2010). The challenge of food security is
35 for food systems to expand their production capacities while both remaining resilient to
unpredictable perturbations and limiting their effects on the environment (Ericksen et al.
2010).

Food system research is inherently transdisciplinary (Drimie and McLachlan 2013; Ham-
mond and Dubé 2012), of which one strand is computational and mathematical modelling.
40 The utility of quantitative modelling is the ability to build and perturb realistic models of
food systems to project important outcomes, such as food production levels, farmer prof-
itability, environmental degradation, food waste, and consumer behaviour (e.g. Springmann
et al. 2018; Marchand et al. 2016; Sampedro et al. 2020; Suweis et al. 2015; Scalco et al.
2019). The difficulty in modelling food systems is their complexity, often requiring large mod-
45 els in detail (i.e. number of parameters), scope (i.e. number of dynamic variables), or both,
which are challenging to analyse, and even more challenging to statistically estimate from
noisy real-world data. A handful of authors have used relatively simple, theoretical models
to understand the activities of global food system. For example, Suweis et al. (2015) link
population dynamics to food availability and international trade using a generalised logistic
50 model. Similarly, Tu, Suweis, and D’Odorico (2019) adapt the analytical framework of Gao,
Barzel, and Barabási (2016) to determine that the global food system is approaching a criti-
cal point signifying loss of the global food system’s sustainability. Moreover, Ngonghala et al.
(2017) demonstrate the dynamical interactions between human poverty, economic growth,
and disease from simplified models of coupled differential equations. Simplified models of
55 complex systems elicit specific explanations and hypotheses of how systems work (Smaldino
2019), which are, arguably, more amenable to direct hypothesis-testing from available data
than larger models that involve a many more causal pathways and potential redundancies.

Such *stylised* models are staples of scientific disciplines such as ecology (e.g. May 1973), evolutionary biology (Boyd et al. 2003), epidemiology (Kermack and McKendrick 1927), and
60 physics (Strogatz 1994).

References

- Barrett, C. B. (2010). “Measuring food insecurity”. In: *Science* 327.5967, pp. 825–828.
- Béné, C. et al. (2016). “Is resilience a useful concept in the context of food security and nutrition programmes? Some conceptual and practical considerations”. In: *Food Security* 8.1, pp. 123–138.
- 65 Boyd, R. et al. (2003). “The evolution of altruistic punishment”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 100.6, pp. 3531–3535.
- Drimie, S. and M. McLachlan (2013). “Food security in South Africa: first steps toward a transdisciplinary approach”. In: *Food Security* 5.2, pp. 217–226.
- 70 Ericksen, P. J. (2008). “Conceptualizing food systems for global environmental change research”. In: *Global environmental change* 18.1, pp. 234–245.
- Ericksen, P. et al. (2010). “The value of a food system approach”. In: *Food security and global environmental change* 25, pp. 24–25.
- FAO. (1996). *World Food Summit: Rome Declaration on World Food Security and World Food Summit Plan of Action*. FAO.
- 75 Gao, J., B. Barzel, and A.-L. Barabási (2016). “Universal resilience patterns in complex networks”. In: *Nature* 530.7590, p. 307.
- Hammond, R. A. and L. Dubé (2012). “A systems science perspective and transdisciplinary models for food and nutrition security”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109.31, pp. 12356–12363.
- 80 Ingram, J. S. (2011). “A food systems approach to researching food security and its interactions with global environmental change”. In: *Food Security* 3.4, pp. 417–431.
- Kermack, W. O. and A. G. McKendrick (1927). “A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics”. In: *Proceedings of the royal society of london. Series A, Containing papers of a mathematical and physical character* 115.772, pp. 700–721.
- 85 Marchand, P. et al. (2016). “Reserves and trade jointly determine exposure to food supply shocks”. In: *Environmental Research Letters* 11.9, p. 095009.
- Maxwell, S. (1996). “Food security: a post-modern perspective”. In: *Food policy* 21.2, pp. 155–170.
- 90 May, R. M. (1973). “Qualitative stability in model ecosystems”. In: *Ecology* 54.3, pp. 638–641.
- Ngonghala, C. N. et al. (2017). “General ecological models for human subsistence, health and poverty”. In: *Nature ecology & evolution* 1.8, pp. 1153–1159.
- Nyström, M. et al. (2019). “Anatomy and resilience of the global production ecosystem”. In: *Nature* 575.7781, pp. 98–108.
- 95 Sampedro, C. et al. (2020). “Food supply system dynamics in the Galapagos islands: Agriculture, livestock and imports”. In: *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems* 35.3, pp. 234–248.

- Scalco, A. et al. (2019). “An Agent-Based Model to Simulate Meat Consumption Behaviour
 100 of Consumers in Britain”. In: *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*.
- Seekell, D. et al. (2017). “Resilience in the global food system”. In: *Environmental Research
 Letters* 12.2, p. 025010.
- Smaldino, P. (2019). “Better methods can’t make up for mediocre theory.” In: *Nature* 575.7781,
 p. 9.
- 105 Springmann, M. et al. (2018). “Options for keeping the food system within environmental
 limits”. In: *Nature* 562.7728, p. 519.
- Strogatz, S. H. (1994). “Nonlinear dynamics and chaos: with applications to physics”. In:
Biology, Chemistry and Engineering, p. 1.
- Strzepek, K. and B. Boehlert (2010). “Competition for water for the food system”. In: *Philo-
 110 sophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 365.1554, pp. 2927–
 2940.
- Suweis, S. et al. (2015). “Resilience and reactivity of global food security”. In: *Proceedings of
 the National Academy of Sciences* 112.22, pp. 6902–6907.
- Tendall, D. et al. (2015). “Food system resilience: Defining the concept”. In: *Global Food
 115 Security* 6, pp. 17–23.
- Tu, C., S. Suweis, and P. D’Odorico (2019). “Impact of globalization on the resilience and
 sustainability of natural resources”. In: *Nature Sustainability* 2.4, p. 283.