Yet more about pointers...

## **Void Pointers**

### Problem: previous ADT code is not general enough

- As you noticed with A4, we are reliant on #ifdef to implement different types for the different problems
- This also caused us to have to recompile our ADT "library" each time we used it with a different problem

#### Solution:

- Let's write a routine that searches for array elements and returns their index in the array.
- But…let's do this in a way that works no matter the type of the array elements!

### Problem: previous ADT code is not general enough

- As you noticed with A4, we are reliant on #ifdef to implement different types for the different problems
- This also caused us to have to recompile our ADT "library" each time we used it with a different problem

#### Solution:

Using void pointers in our function pointers' argument types

### Problem: previous ADT code is not general enough

- As you noticed with A4, we are reliant on #ifdef to implement different types for the different problems
- This also caused us to have to recompile our ADT "library" each time we used it with a different problem

#### Solution:

Using void pointers in our function pointers' argument types

But what does that mean?

### What are void pointers?

- A void pointer is a "generic" pointer
- Remember, a pointer has two parts:
  - 1. the memory address (where the pointer is pointing)
    - this is always of size int
    - so it doesn't matter the "type" of the pointer
  - 2. the increment size
    - how many bytes needed to get to the next element

### What are void pointers?

- A void pointer is a "generic" pointer
- Remember, a pointer has two parts:
  - 1. the memory address (where the pointer is pointing)
  - 2. the increment size
- With a void pointer
  - 1. the memory address is given as usual
  - 2. however, the increment size is equal to 0

### What are void pointers?

- A void pointer is a "generic" pointer
- Remember, a pointer has two parts:
  - 1. the memory address (where the pointer is pointing)
  - 2. the increment size
- When a pointer passed in as an argument to a void \* parameter...
  - 1. the memory address is kept the same
  - 2. the increment size is set to 0
    - a cast is performed by C on the pointer being passed in
    - during the cast: the address is kept the increment size remove (set to 0)
    - the resulting ptr is stored in the parameter variable

### When used inside the function:

- The void point can be cast into the pointer type the function "knows" it should be
- Remember the function itself is ...
  - going to be a function pointer
  - written by the user of your code (possibly yourself)
  - passed in to the general calling function (i.e. as a callback)
- So the pointer type the void pointer should be cast as ...
   will be coded by the user of the function
- Thus your code *remains general!*, with no need to recompile

### When used inside the function:

The cast can be done through assignment (no cast needed)

e.g. let the sum functions signature be

the user, knowing that "value" is of type double in their application, could then write the following:

```
int start = 0.0;
int * total = sum(list, add, &start);
printf("The sum = %d\n", *total);
```

So, sum, by using void pointers has be used generally

#### Whe

```
Add function using void pointers
```

```
int add(void * answer arg, void * x_arg, void * y_arg){
    int * x = x arg;
    int * y = y_arg;
    int * answer = answer_arg;
    int result = FAILURE
    if (!overflow_condition(x, y)){
         *answer = *x + *y;
         result = SUCCESS;
                                                                  ion,
    return result;
Note: Since we can only be type general using void pointers,
      So we cannot just return answer, as we would with int add(int, int),
      as we would have to malloc the space for it.
```

So, sum, by using void pointers has be used generally

### When used inside the function:

The cast can be done through assignment (no cast needed)

e.g. let the sum functions signature be

the user, knowing that "value" is of type double in their application, could then write the following:

```
int start = 0.0;
int * total = sum(list, add, &start);
printf("The sum = %d\n", *total);
```

So, sum, by using void pointers has be used generally

#### There are many other changes needed to the linked lists functions:

- For example, in push or append, you have to create a node, where you need to copy a value using an "abstract type", not just an int or a char [80], ... who knows what
- There are two approaches to solving this problem
  - 1. Fixed size value approach using void pointers
  - 2. Passing in a create\_node function as a function pointer

Push example (previously called add\_front): Original code

```
Node * push(Node ** head, int value){
   Node *new_node = malloc(sizeof(Node));
   if (new_node != NULL) {
        new_node->num = value;
        new_node->next = *head;
        *head = new_node;
   }
   return new_node
}
```

#### Push example (previously called add\_front): New code

Fixed size value approach using void pointers

```
Node * push(Node ** head, void * value, int size) {
    Node *new node = malloc(sizeof(Node));
                                                       increment size
    char * value copy = malloc(size);
                                                         = 1 byte
    if (new node != NULL && value copy != NULL) {
        memcpy(value copy, value, size);
                                                      copy content
        new node->value = value copy;
                                                      byte-by-byte
        new node->next = *head;
        *head = new node;
        return new node;
                                                  copy new pointer
                                                     into node
    } else {
        return NULL;
```

#### Push example (previously called add\_front): New code

#### Push example (previously called add\_front): New code

### Push example (previously called add\_front): New code

```
Node
      In either case:
          remember that value in the new node
          has been malloc'd and so needs to be freed
          when the list is to be destroyed
        new node->next = *head;
                                                         will have
        *head = new_node;
                                                      malloc'd space
        return new node;
    } else {
        return NULL;
```

### Push example (previously called add\_front): New code

```
Almost all Node functions to be used by the ADT
will have to be tweaked in this way

if (new_node != NULL && value_copy != NULL) {
    new_node->value = value_copy
    new_node->next = *head;
    *head = new_node;
    return new_node;
} else {
    return NULL;
}
```