So firstly, what is hydroelasticity? By definition, hydroelasticity or flexible fluid-structure interaction (FFSI), specifically deals with the dynamic interaction between fluid forces and elastic structural responses. This involves studying how structures deform under fluid forces and how these deformations, in turn, affect the fluid flow around them. In marine background, it refers to the responses of ships or offshore structures to ocean waves. And the modelling work will be conducted mainly by CFD and FEA.

S4

There are several key applications In ship design, it's essential for ensuring that ships can withstand the complex loading from waves including the springing and whipping, which are high-frequency vibrations, and these two phenomena which will be our focus later. Also ,it it involves the study of fatigue life due to repeated wave impacts.

In offshore engineering, it facilitates the development of oil platforms and other marine structures that must withstand certain oceanic conditions, just as what we have seen in the course material.

For renewable energy, it is crucial for designing durable floating structures such as wind turbines and tidal (taidal) generators.

Then why we should study hydroelasticity? One prime example is the developing of those ultra large container ships. These ships have relatively small block coefficients and large bow flare that may be sensitive to wave induced loads. Two things I want to explain here. The block coefficient is the ratio of the actual volume of water that the ship will displace to the volume of a block defined by the ship's length, breadth, and draft. Smaller block coefficient means the hull is more like streamlines, which reduces the resistance, increase the speed and save the fuel. Thus, the hull of ultra large container ships are design to have smaller block coefficient, which as I said, being more sensitive to the wave induced load. We could see that in figure 2, the transversal body plan goes smoothly from the bow to the stern for a model of ship called S175, which is the standard model used for experiments as well as numerical simulations.

The Bow is the front part of the ship and the bow flare refers to the outward and upward curvature of the ship's bow above the waterline. It helps to deflect water away from the ship's deck as it cuts through waves. A well-designed bow flare could make the ship feels less slamming against waves, however, those ultra large container ships might have a larger bow flare than usual, which might cause some problems.

So what are wave induced load? This first category is "springing" which is defined as noticeable elastic hull distortions that resonate in- and out of-plane with encounter wave frequencies and it will be mainly induced by continuous wave loadings. There is another type of induced loads that could be excited by nonlinear impulsive wave actions associated with bow flare-, bottom- or stern-slamming, which is call whipping. The whipping phenomenon is evident on passenger ships, container ships, liquid natural gas carriers and war ships. The last one is the sloshing loads. Highly impulsive "sloshing loads" on the cargo containment systems of gas ships may also induce local structural damage to tank walls.

S7

Understanding induced wave loads phenomenons are essential to study "Hydroelasticity of Ships", and we need to know what is the consequences of those loads. Here are several findings published by the International Ships and Offshore Structure Congress (ISSC) and the International Towing Tank Conference (ITTC): (i) high-frequency components of the vertical bending moment due to whipping can be as large as the wave-frequency component; (ii) the total bending moment can exceed traditional rule design values for slender vessels; (iii) both springing and whipping loads are relevant for the assessment of fatigue limit states; (iv) ultimate limit states are primarily influenced by whipping responses; (v) sloshing loads may lead to local damages

of cargo containment systems.

S8

This is not straight forward enough, lets review some real sea accidents of ultra large container ships. The former was a United Kingdom-flagged ship that got a hull breach due to rough sea state and slamming. An investigation conducted by the UK Marine Accident Investigation Branch (MAIB) demonstrated that the ship broke due to inadequate frame strengthening in the engine room area. The investigation recognized that during the accident wave loads were amplified by 30% possibly due to whipping.

The other example is this MOL Comfort, who experienced a fracture of midship part. The ship was split into two halves and sank. The accident report suggested that ship structural strength could be exceeded because of wave loads. It was recommended that future rule requirements should account for the effects of lateral and whipping loads for the evaluation of ship structural strength.

After seeing those accidents and investigating a lot, some reasearchers suggests: (i) the excess of the vertical bending moment that is larger than the ultimate strength capacity can be redistributed to the inertia and hydrostatic restoring moments associated with plastic hull girder deformations; (ii) the plastic deformation develops to a much smaller degree due to whipping moment than due to normal wave-induced loads possibly because of the limited time during which the plastic deformations grow following whipping. In a follow-up study it is

shown that the plastic deformation can accumulate gradually under a series of extreme whipping moments that exceed the ultimate strength, and the rate of accumulation can grow after large accumulation of the plastic deformation. Thus, some advice in designing the ships are brought up, for example, by a leading-edge organization call DNV, they introduced partial safety factor of 0.9 reducing the effectiveness of whipping during collapse [29]

S10

So how should we approach hydroelasticity properly? From 1979, plenty of work has been done on both 2D and 3D hydroelasticity theory within the framework of potential flow theory, as some researchers pointed out: flow field during slamming and green water event is highly nonlinear and cannot be accurately represented using potential flow methods. Thus, we need CFD. But that is not enough, Although global motions and external loadings could be obtained by CFD simulation, the hull sectional loads, e.g. vertical bending moment and shear force, used for wave loads analysis cannot be directly obtained from the CFD simulation. Moreover, the majority of current CFD applications are limited to simulating fluid flow around a rigid body. So we need to couple CFD with FEA. More work has been done in fully coupled approach or some kind of special treatment. One important fact is that, All these works suggest that the high frequency hydroelastic vibrations cannot be directly simulated in the one-way coupling method since the structural deformation of hull is not considered in the CFD simulation. Thus, the two-way coupling is

more accurate to reproduce the hydroelastic effects of flexible ship in waves.

S11

For the scheme of one-way interaction problem, the hull is considered to be rigid in the fluid domain, which means The fluid apply wave loads and structural responses on the structure but the deformation of the structure is not considered in the fluid solver. This is ok when the influence of structural deformation on the hull loading and fluid flow is relatively small, and it could significantly reduce computational cost. Nevertheless, in this case the two-way coupling analysis can be also conducted to obtain the structural response besides rigid body motions, even though the influence of structural deformation on the fluid field is not considered. It is noted that the fluid added mass for structural vibrations is not considered in the one-way coupling. For the other scheme of two-way interaction problem, the fluid and the structure impose a significant response on each other, i.e. the structural deformation will be accounted for in the CFD solver in turn. The added mass and its real-time variation due to change of wetted surface during the wave encounter period can be explicitly considered by the two-way interaction approach.

Two-way coupling can be further classified into explicit and implicit couplings. In some weak FSI problems, e.g. static deformation of a flexible hydrofoil in uniform flow, after some transient exchanges have taken place the interaction between the fluid and the structure will approach a steady-state solution, where the structural velocities finally decrease to be very small or even zero. If this is the case, an explicit coupling is preferred to calculate the transient procedure prior to the steady state is reached and the exchange of information is performed once per time step. On the other hand, when the dependency on time is high between the fluid and structure solutions and a small change in one solver will have an immediate effect on the other, an implicit coupling scheme is preferred. Fig. 15 shows the framework of both explicit and implicit coupling between CFD and FEA solvers.

A partitioned algorithm is used to execute the two-way coupling and information is exchanged at the interface sequentially and solved iteratively between CFD and FEA solvers. In the implicit coupling, the fluid loads and structural deformation are exchanged three times at each time step, and the number of such exchanges per time step is critical for the convergence, accuracy and computation cost of the coupled simulations. The iteration number within each time step is 12. Both the global rigid body motion and the hull flexural motion are solved in ABAQUS.

S13&14

In a leading-edge paper, the STAR CCM++ and ABAQUS are used as for CFD and FEA, they investigated three aspects from the simulation under different wave length condition: Global motion & vertical acceleration Wave-induced vertical bending moments and Slamming and impact pressure. Then, the data is analyzed in both time domain and frequency domain as you could see the in the figure on the right. And the team compared the result data with experimental data as well as other simulation result from other's work, they conclude.

S15

Beyond that, there is a team applied a kind of Recurrent Neural Network call long short-term memory to study the plenty of simulation VBM data, and we could see here is the model is predicting the result general great. which provide a direction for the future work.