#### CHBE 552 Problem Set 3

Jincong Li 60539939

Feb 24th

#### **Question 1**

By implementing SA method with following parameters: bounds = (-1, 1), initial temperature = 10000, cooling rate = 0.95, stopping temperature = 0.001, and max iterations = 1000, three tests are conducted and the results are shown as following:

Test 1:

Best  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are [0.00016685, -0.00014399] and the best function value is 1.074285020  $\cdot$  10<sup>-6</sup>.

Test 2:

Best  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are [0.00019128, 0.00056343] and the best function value is 1.08674897771 · 10<sup>-5</sup>.

Test 3:

Best  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are [0.00023714, 0.00051509] and the best function value is 9.45038628186  $\cdot$  10<sup>-6</sup>.

Thus, one can conclude the best  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are [0,0] and the best function value is 0. The tiny error might comes from the numerical uncertainty of float computation in Python.

# **Question 2**

By implementing Luus-Jaakola method accoording to the reference with such parameters:

Initial guess of each  $x_j$ : $x_{bounds} = [(0, 1)]$ max iterations:N = 10000Initial search area: $V_{initial} = 0.1$ Search area reduction factor: $V_r = 0.999$  Solution for variables  $x_1$  to  $x_{10}$  are found to be

```
x_1 = 0.0406700206

x_2 = 0.147744627

x_3 = 0.783102950

x_4 = 0.00141387372

x_5 = 0.485248112

x_6 = 0.000691530012

x_7 = 0.0273983722

x_8 = 0.0179474168

x_9 = 0.0373039831

x_{10} = 0.0969432948
```

And the optimal objective function value is computed to be -47.7610, which generally agrees with the value provided in the reference paper.

Note that the number of iterations are setted to be very such that a global minimum could be found, and the search area reduction fractor are close to 1 such that the search area only reduces a little bit in each iteration, thus, the solution of  $x_i$  could have more digits.

#### **Question 3**

By implementing Luus-Jaakola method accoording to the reference with same parameters stated in question 2, except for initial guess of  $x_j$  since the bounds should follow the indicated constraint in the paper:

Best Solution for independent variable:

$$x_1 = 1727.77228$$
 $x_7 = 94.25960$ 
 $x_8 = 10.41761$ 
Dependent Variables:
 $x_2 = 16000$ 
 $x_3 = 99.4398201$ 
 $x_4 = 3054.94307$ 
 $x_5 = 1999.25826$ 

 $x_6 = 90.8309867$ 

 $x_9 = 2.56910919$ 

 $x_{10} = 149.778787$ 

Best Objective Function Value:1161.45677

The results generally agrees with the value provided in the reference paper.

## **Question 4&5**

By implementing Nelder-Mead algorithm in Python, for function in part 1: the minimum point is  $[0.99999871 \approx 1, 0.99999707 \approx 1]$ , and the minimum function value is  $1.3556651538450528e - 11 \approx 0$ .

For function in part 2: the minimum point is  $[6.50737582e - 04 \approx 0, -6.52767435e - 05 \approx 0, -6.74455549e - 04 \approx 0, -6.76241743e - 04 \approx 0]$ , and the minimum function value is  $5.379451007433241e - 11 \approx 0$ .

Again, The tiny error might comes from the numerical uncertainty of float computation in Python.

## **Question 6**

The work done by the first compressor C-1 is given by:

$$W_{C-1} = \frac{RT_1}{\gamma} \left( \left( \frac{P_2}{P_1} \right)^{\gamma} - 1 \right) \tag{1}$$

The work done by the second compressor C-2, compressing from  $P_2$  to  $P_4$ , is (since  $P_2=P_3$ ):

$$W_{C-2} = \frac{RT_1}{\gamma} \left( \left( \frac{P_4}{P_2} \right)^{\gamma} - 1 \right) \tag{2}$$

The total work  $W_{tot}$  is the sum of the work done by both compressors:

$$W_{tot} = W_{C-1} + W_{C-2} \tag{3}$$

$$W_{tot} = \frac{RT_1}{\gamma} \left( \left( \frac{P_2}{P_1} \right)^{\gamma} - 1 \right) + \frac{RT_1}{\gamma} \left( \left( \frac{P_4}{P_2} \right)^{\gamma} - 1 \right) \tag{4}$$

Differentiate  $W_{tot}$  with respect to  $P_2$  to find the minimum:

$$\frac{dW_{tot}}{dP_2} = \frac{RT_1\gamma}{\gamma} \left(\frac{P_2}{P_1}\right)^{\gamma-1} \frac{1}{P_1} - \frac{RT_1\gamma}{\gamma} \left(\frac{P_4}{P_2}\right)^{\gamma} \frac{1}{P_2^2}$$
 (5)

Set the derivative equal to zero and solve for  $P_2$ :

$$0 = \frac{RT_1\gamma}{\gamma} \left[ \left( \frac{P_2}{P_1} \right)^{\gamma - 1} \frac{1}{P_1} - \left( \frac{P_4}{P_2} \right)^{\gamma} \frac{1}{P_2^2} \right]$$
 (6)

Simplify and solve for  $P_2$ :

$$0 = P_2^{\gamma - 1} \frac{1}{P_1^{\gamma}} - P_4^{\gamma} \frac{1}{P_2^{\gamma + 1}}$$

$$P_4^{\gamma} = P_2^{2\gamma} \frac{1}{P_1^{\gamma}}$$

$$P_2^{2\gamma} = P_1^{\gamma} P_4^{\gamma}$$

$$P_2^2 = P_1 P_4$$

Take the square root of both sides to find  $P_2$ :

$$P_2 = \sqrt{P_1 P_4} \tag{7}$$

This result indicates that the optimal intermediate pressure  $P_2$  is the geometric mean of the inlet pressure  $P_1$  and the final pressure  $P_4$ .