

MATH 521 Project Report

Review of Weak Galerkin Finite Element Method

Jincong Li
60539939

Mar 28th

Using arbitrary shapes of polygons or polyhedra for meshes in Finite Element Analysis (FEA) is particularly beneficial in situations requiring complex geometrical representations or when dealing with highly irregular domains. This flexibility allows for a more accurate approximation of curved or complex boundaries, improving the quality of the simulation without significantly increasing the computational cost. It's especially useful in adaptive mesh refinement processes where the mesh needs to evolve based on solution characteristics, allowing for efficient targeting of areas with high error or where finer resolution is needed to capture critical phenomena accurately.

Abstract

Introduction

This category includes numerical methods that focus on approximating the solution u of the PDE directly. These methods construct a numerical scheme by working primarily with the variable u , which represents the quantity of interest in the PDE. Examples include: Standard Galerkin Finite Element Methods: These methods use variational principles to approximate the solution u by minimizing an energy functional. They typically require the solution space to be a subset of the Sobolev space H^1 , implying that the solution is sought in a space of functions that are square-integrable along with their first derivatives. References [1–3] in the document likely refer to foundational texts and research papers that establish the theory and application of Galerkin methods in solving elliptic PDEs.

Interior Penalty Type Discontinuous Galerkin Methods: These are a class of Galerkin methods that allow for discontinuities in the solution across the boundaries of the elements in the discretized domain. They are particularly useful for dealing with high-contrast media or when higher-order polynomials are used for the approximation. The method involves adding penalty terms to the formulation to enforce continuity constraints weakly. References [4–8] would detail the development and analysis of these methods.

These methods involve formulating the PDE problem by introducing auxiliary variables, typically representing physical quantities like flux, and then seeking solutions for both the primary variable u and the auxiliary variable(s). This approach can lead to systems of equations that capture more physical properties directly, such as conservation laws. Examples include:

Standard Mixed Finite Elements: In mixed finite element methods, the solution involves not only the primary variable u but also other variables such as the flux. This approach is beneficial for problems

where maintaining local conservation laws is important. The method allows for the direct approximation of quantities that would otherwise be derived quantities in standard methods. References [9–16] in the document likely cover seminal and contemporary research on mixed methods.

Various Discontinuous Galerkin Methods Based on Both Variables: These methods extend the discontinuous Galerkin framework to handle both the primary variable and auxiliary variables like flux. This approach combines the advantages of discontinuous Galerkin methods (such as flexibility in handling complex geometries and material discontinuities) with the ability to approximate additional physical quantities directly. References [17–20] would explore variations and applications of these methods.

In the standard Galerkin method, the trial space $H^1(\Omega)$ and the test space $H_0^1(\Omega)$ in (1.4) are each replaced by properly defined subspaces of finite dimensions.

Preliminaries and notations

Weak Gradient Operator

A weak Galerkin finite element method

Existence and uniqueness for WG approximations

Error analysis