

Cheatsheet Mechanical Theorem Proving

July 14, 2019

by Constantin Ruhdorfer

1 Mechanical Theorem Proving

This file contains a number of relevant formulas and theorems to remember when working in the field of automatic reasoning/mechanical theorem proving. This is updated while I introduce myself to the field.

2 Content

1. Section 3
2. Section 4

3 Propositional Logic

A proposition is a declarative sentence that can be either true T or false F . The symbols (G, H, P etc.) used to denote a proposition are called *atoms*. From propositions we can build computed propositions by using logical connectives. They are:

- \neg "Not"
- \wedge "And"
- \vee "Or"
- \implies "If .. then" or "implies"
- \iff "If and only if"

3.1 Well formatted formulas

Well formatted formulas are defined recursively:

1. An atom is a formula.
2. If G is a formula so is $(\neg G)$.
3. If G and H are formulas so are $(G \wedge H)$, $(G \vee H)$, $(G \implies H)$ and $(G \iff H)$.
4. All formulas are generated using above rules.

3.2 Omitting braces

Braces can be omitted by assigning decreasing ranks to the propositional connectives

$$\iff, \implies, \wedge, \vee, \neg$$

and requiring that the connective with greater rank always reaches further.

3.3 Interpretations

Given a propositional formula G and A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n the atoms occurring in the formula then the assignment of truth values (T or F) to A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n is called an *interpretation*. A formula G is said to be *true under an interpretation* if G is evaluated to T in the interpretation.

3.4 Validity and Consistency

A formula is said to be *valid* if and only if it is true under all its interpretations. Otherwise it is said to be *invalid*. A formula is said to be *inconsistent* (or *unsatisfiable*) if and only if it is false under all its interpretations. Otherwise it is said to be *consistent*.

3.5 Equality

Two formulas G and H , denoted as $G = H$, are considered equal if the truth values of G and H are the same under every interpretation of G and H .

3.6 Normal forms

A *literal* is an atom or the negation of an atom.

3.6.1 Conjunctive normal form

A formula F is said to be in *conjunctive normal form* if F has the form $F := F_1 \wedge \dots \wedge F_n, n \geq 1$ where each of F_1, \dots, F_n is a disjunction of literals.

3.6.2 Disjunctive normal form

A formula F is said to be in *disjunctive normal form* if F has the form $F := F_1 \vee \dots \vee F_n, n \geq 1$ where each of F_1, \dots, F_n is a conjunction of literals.

3.7 Logical Consequence

A formula G *logically follows from* F_1, \dots, F_n if and only if any interpretation I in which $F_1 \wedge \dots \wedge F_n$ is true G is also true. F_1, \dots, F_n are called *axioms* of G . Two practical ways of showing logical consequence:

Given F_1, \dots, F_n and a formula G , G is a logical consequence of F_1, \dots, F_n if the formula $(F_1, \dots, F_n) \implies G$ is valid. Given F_1, \dots, F_n and G , G is a logical consequence of F_1, \dots, F_n if and only if the formula $F_1 \wedge \dots \wedge F_n \wedge \neg G$ is inconsistent.

3.8 More

For a quick refresher on all of this consult your favorite resource or for instance [this](#).

4 First Order Logic

Sometimes also referred to as “predicate logic”.

4.1 Terms, Predicates and Atoms

Terms are defined recursively:

1. A constant is a term.
2. A variable is a term.
3. If f is an n -place function symbol, and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term.
4. All terms are generated by applying the above rules.

A *predicate* is a mapping from a list of terms to a truth value. If P is an n -place predicate symbol, and t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $P(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is an *atom*. Using the same five logical connectives to build formulas from atoms.

4.2 Quantifiers

First order logic deals with variables. In order to characterize those we use the symbols:

1. The *universal quantifier*: $(\forall x)$ “For all $x \dots$ ”
2. The *existential quantifier*: $(\exists x)$ “There exists an $x \dots$ ”

4.3 Variable Scope

The occurrence of a variable in a formula is called *bound* if the the occurrence is within the scope of a quantifier employing the variable or the occurrence is the quantifier. Otherwise it is considered *free*.

A variable in a formula is said to be *free* if at least one occurrence of it is free in the formula and is *bound* if at least one occurrence of it is bound. Therefore a variable can both be considered free and bound in a formula. For instance consider $(\forall x) P(x, y) \vee (\exists y) Q(y)$.

4.4 Well formatted formulas

Well formatted formulas are defined recursively:

1. An atom is a formula.
2. If G and H are formulas, then so are $(\neg G)$, $(G \vee H)$, $(G \wedge H)$, $(G \implies H)$ and $(G \iff H)$.
3. If G is a formula and x is a free variable in G , then $(\forall x) G$ and $(\exists x) G$ are formulas.
4. Formulas are generated only by a *finite number* of applications of the rules above.

4.5 Interpretations

Since first order logic uses variables assign truth values to atoms is not sufficient. The domain and an assignment to constants, predicate and function symbols needs to be specified first. Then we can define an interpretation as:

A *interpretation* of a first order logic formula F consists of a nonempty domain D , and an assignment of “values”:

1. Constants are assigned an element in D
2. n -place function symbols are assigned a mapping from $D^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1 \in D, \dots, x_n \in D\}$ to D .
3. n -place predicate symbols are assigned a mapping from D^n to $\{T, F\}$.

4.6 Evaluation

An evaluation for a formula G can be computed by using these rules:

1. $\iff, \implies, \wedge, \vee, \neg$ are evaluated as usual.
2. $(\forall x) G$ is T if G is T for every $d \in D$.
3. $(\exists x) H$ is T if H is T for at least one $d \in D$