		Participant 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
Demograp	hic	Education Domain (Art, Humanities, Science) H A H S A A A H S H A A
Informatio	on	Gender (Male: , Female:)
	-	Age (Min: 22, Average: 28, Max:43)
		Highter Education (1year:, 8years:)
	Self rated on 5-point likert scale	Proficiency programming (1:,5:)
		Proficiency drawing (1:,5:)
		Proficiency create infovis (1:,5:)
		Proficiency read infovis (1:,5:)
	ļ	Frequently work with data (1:,5:)
	over a	Frequency of reading infovis. (5:,>3500:)
year		Frequency of creating infovis. (5:,>3500:)
Time Spent		Task A (Min: 5, Average: 10, Max:28)
_	Minutes	Task B (Min: 2, Average: 5, Max:14)
	l	Task C (Min: 3, Average: 7, Max:17)
Interview		Considered updating (easy:, or complex:)
Internalization		Did you manipulate object (yes:, no:, null: \overline{\text{\tiny{\text{\tinit}\\ \text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi}\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi{\texi}\texi{\t
of token mapping		Did you manipulate data (yes: , no: , null:)
Questionnaire answers		Enjoyed building a infovis (Strongly Agree:, S. Disagree:)
		Would do it again (Strongly Agree: , S. Disagree:)
		Would do it with others data (S. Agree: , S. Disagree:)
	Self rated on 5-point likert scale	Difficulty of infovis creation (Easy: , Hard: , Neutral:)
		Customisability of infovis (Easy: , Hard: , Neutral:)
l ,		Modifiabilty of the results (Easy: , Hard: , Neutral:)
Compared		Expressive (More: , Less: , Neutral:)
with other techniques		Easy to manipulate (More: , Less: , Neutral:)
this was		Easy to update (More: , Less: , Neutral:)
	l	Effective to explore data (More: , Less: , Neutral: -)