

# COMSW3134

## Homework 2

Jennifer Bi (jb3495)

October 7, 2016

### 1. Problem 1

```
public <T> printLots(List<T> L, List<Integer> P) {  
  
    Iterator<T> itL = new L.iterator();  
    Iterator<Integer> itP = new P.iterator();  
    int count;  
    int nextItem;  
  
    while (itP.hasNext() && itL.hasNext()){  
        nextItem = itP.next();  
        count = 0;  
        while (count < nextItem){  
            itL.next();  
            count++;  
        }  
        System.out.println(itL.next());  
    }  
}
```

### 2. Weiss 3.4

Given two sorted lists,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , write a procedure to compute  $L_1 \cap L_2$  using only the basic list operations.

```
public intersect(L1, L2){  
    Iterator iteratorL_1 = new iterator  
    Iterator iteratorL_2 = new iterator  
  
    while (count < (L1.size, L2.size)) and  
        (iteratorL2.hasNext and iteratorL1.hasNext) {  
        count++  
    }
```

```

        elt = L1.next
        if elt = L2.next
            print elt
    }
}

```

### 3. Weiss 3.24

Write routines to implement two stacks using only one array. Your stack routines should not declare an overflow unless every slot in the array is used.

```

public class TwoArrayStack

    Object[] array

    public MyStack(){
        array = new Object[n];
        size1 = 0;
        size2 = 0;
    }

    public void push1(element){
        if (size1 < n-size2-1)
            Object[size1] = element
            size1++;

        else declare overflow
    }

    public void push2(element){
        if (size1 < n-size2-1)
            Object[n-size2] = element
            size2++;

        else declare overflow
    }

    public T pop1(){
        --size1;
        return Object[size1+1];
        // add back 1 since we subtracted from size1 before returning
    }
}

```

```

public T pop2(){
    --size2;
    return Object[n-size2-1];
    // subtract out 1 since we subtracted from size1 before returning
}

public int top1(){
    return size1;
}

public int top2(){
    return size2;
}

```

#### 4. Problem 4

- a) To reorganize the trains from positions  $[5, 9, 6, 7, 2, 8, 1, 3, 4]$  to  $[9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1]$ , we proceed in the following steps:
1. Car 4, input  $\rightarrow S_1$
  2. Car 3, input  $\rightarrow S_1$
  3. Car 1, input  $\rightarrow$  output
  4. Car 8, input  $\rightarrow S_2$
  5. Car 2, input  $\rightarrow$  output
  6. Car 7, input  $\rightarrow S_2$
  7. Car 6, input  $\rightarrow S_2$
  8. Car 9, input  $\rightarrow S_3$
  9. Car 5, input  $\rightarrow S_2$ , thus no cars remain in the input
  10. Car 3,  $S_1 \rightarrow$  output
  11. Car 5, 6, 7, 8,  $S_2 \rightarrow$  output
  12. Car 9,  $S_3 \rightarrow$  output, thus all cars are in output in the desired order.
- b) Consider the train with cars ordered  $[7, 5, 3, 1, 8, 6, 4, 2, 9]$ . We will show that there is no possible sequence of steps to rearrange the cars in increasing order. If such an arrangement is possible, it will be accomplished through two instructions: For car  $i$  in the input track, 1) if  $i < x$  for all cars  $x$  in the output, move car  $i$  to output and 2) If not, move car  $i$  to a holding cell such that  $i < x$  for all cars  $x$  in the holding track. (Rule 2) is in consideration of the first-in first-out fashion of the holding tracks, so that we may eventually move cars from holding tracks to output and guarantee increasing order.) Thus, we move from the input car  $9 \rightarrow S_1$ , car  $2 \rightarrow S_2$ , car  $4 \rightarrow S_1$ , car  $6 \rightarrow S_1$  since  $6 > 1$  and  $6 > 2$  but  $6 < 9$ . We

find that following 1) and 2) does not allow for car 8 to be placed in any track.  
Thus, the arrangement is not possible.