

## DATA STRUCTURES HOMEWORK 1

Benjamin Mazel bm2745

### 1. WEISS 2.1

Functions separated by an "and" have the same rate

$37, \frac{2}{N}, \sqrt{N}, N, N\log(\log(N)), N\log(N)$  and  $N\log(N^2), N\log^2(N), N^{3/2}, N^2, N^2\log(N), N^3, 2^{N/2}, 2^N$   
 $N\log(N^2) = 2N\log(N) = O(N\log(N))$

### 2. WEISS 2.6

$$F(N) = \$2^N$$

if it takes  $d$  days to reach a fine of  $D$  dollars,  $D = 2^d$

$$d = \log(D) = O(\log(D)).$$

### 3. BIG-OH PROBLEMS

a)

The first loop (over  $i$ ) runs 23 times,  $T_1 = O(1)$ . The second loop (over  $j$ ) runs  $n$  times  $T_2 = O(n)$ . The contents of the inner loop have one operation  $T_3 = O(1)$ . The total run-time is a product of these three  $T = O(n)$ .

b)

We can rewrite the number of steps for the loops mathematically as  $\sum_{j=1}^n j$  because it is doing  $n - i$  operations for  $i$  from 0 to  $n$ , which is effectively this sum in reverse order. We know  $T = \sum_{j=1}^n j = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n^2+n}{2} = O(n^2)$ .

c)

We know that  $T(n) = 2 + T(n-1)$  as the method itself only runs one Boolean check and one action before returning or calling itself. We also know the method diminishes its input

by a factor of  $k$  each time, so the most it can run is  $\log_k(n)$ , which gives how many times  $n$  can be reduced by *a factor of*  $k$  before becoming 1. Thus  $T = \log_k(n) = \frac{\log(n)}{\log(k)} = O(\log(n))$

#### 4. WEISS 2.11

Let  $S$  be the time for a single step so  $S * O(n)$  gives the total time

$$a) 0.5 = S * 100$$

$$x = S * 500 = 5 * (S * 100) = 5 * (0.5) = 2.5ms$$

$$b) 0.5 = S * 100 * \log(100)$$

$$x = S * 500 * \log(500) = 5S * 100 * [\log(100) + \log(5)] = (S * 100 * \log(100)) * [5 * (1 + \frac{\log(5)}{\log(100)})]$$

$$x = 0.5 * (5 + 5 \frac{\log(5)}{\log(100)}) = 3.37371ms$$

$$c) 0.5 = S * 100^2$$

$$x = S * 500^2 = S * 100^2 * 25 = 12.5ms$$

$$d) 0.5 = S * 100^3$$

$$x = S * 500^3 = S * 100^3 * 125 = 62.5ms$$

#### 5. WEISS 2.15

First, one must note that this array contains only integers, and each entry is strictly greater than the last. Thus as a rule,  $A_{i+1} \geq A_i + 1$ . So as the index  $i$  increases by 1,  $A_i$  increases by *at least* 1. Given some  $j$ , if  $A_j > j$ , then this relationship will remain true for all  $i \geq j$  because  $A_i \geq A_j + (i - j) > j + (i - j) = i$  so if we reach a point where  $A_i > i$  then we dont even have to bother looking in the further half of the array. Likewise, if  $A_j < j$ , then this relationship will remain true for all  $i \leq j$  because  $A_i \leq A_j - (j - i) < j - (j - i) = i$  so if we reach a point where  $A_i < i$  then we dont even have to bother looking in the previous half of the array.

Our algorithm now develops as follows: We check the midpoint of the array to determine the relationship between  $A_i$  and  $i$ . If  $A_i > i$  then we look exclusively at the first half of the

array and if  $A_i < i$  we look exclusively at the second half of the array, again determining the relationship and making a choice as to which half of that array to look at. At each midpoint, if we find  $A_i = i$  we return yes and the location (if it is needed). If we reach a point where the section of the array we were looking at has length of 1 and  $A_i \neq i$  at its midpoint, we can safely return no.

Because each step of this algorithm reduces the length of the array  $N$  by a factor of 2, and the check itself is a single step  $O(1)$ , the maximum number of steps this algorithm takes is the number of times  $N$  can be divided by 2 (plus some constant number of steps) thus the runtime of this algorithm is  $O(\log(n))$ .