

davos: The Python package smuggler

Paxton C. Fitzpatrick, Jeremy R. Manning*

*Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences
Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755*

Abstract

davos is a Python package for sharing

Keywords: Python, Jupyter Notebook, Google Colaboratory,
Reproducibility, Package management, Pip install

*Corresponding author

Email address: `Jeremy.R.Manning@Dartmouth.edu` (Jeremy R. Manning)

Required Metadata

Current code version

Nr.	Code metadata description	Please fill in this column
C1	Current code version	v0.1.1
C2	Permanent link to code/repository used for this code version	https://github.com/ContextLab/davos/tree/v0.1.1
C3	Code Ocean compute capsule	
C4	Legal Code License	MIT
C5	Code versioning system used	git
C6	Software code languages, tools, and services used	Python, JavaScript, pip, IPython, Jupyter, Ipykernel, PyZMQ. Additional tools used for tests: pytest, Selenium, Requests, mypy, GitHub Actions
C7	Compilation requirements, operating environments & dependencies	Dependencies: Python \geq 3.6, packaging, setuptools. Supported OSes: MacOS, Linux, Unix-like. Supported IPython environments: Jupyter notebooks, JupyterLab, Google Colaboratory, Binder, IDE-based notebook editors.
C8	If available Link to developer documentation/manual	https://github.com/ContextLab/davos#readme
C9	Support email for questions	contextualdynamics@gmail.com

Table 1: Code metadata

1. Motivation and significance

Modern open science practices encourage sharing code and data to enable others to explore, reproduce, learn from, and build upon existing work. Scientists, researchers, and educators produce many different forms of research-related code (e.g., experiments, data analyses, tutorials, demos) that they may seek to share with a wide range of audiences, including collaborators, students, the broader scientific community, and the general public. Python has become one of the most widely used and fastest-growing scientific programming languages by offering both powerful research functionality and high-level accessibility [1]. In addition to the language’s intuitive, readable

11 grammar and large standard library, the Python ecosystem provides an ex-
12 tensive data science toolkit designed to facilitate rapid development and col-
13 laboration, including platforms for interactive programming (e.g., Project
14 Jupyter, [2]; Google Colaboratory), community-maintained libraries for data
15 manipulation (e.g., NumPy [3], SciPy [4], Pandas [5]) and visualization (e.g.,
16 Matplotlib [6], seaborn [7]), and myriad other tools.

17 However, this also presents a challenge to sharing reproducible scientific
18 Python code: different versions of a given package or library can behave
19 differently, use different syntax, add or drop support for specific functions or
20 other libraries, address (or introduce) bugs, and so on. While these issues are
21 present to some extent in any language or ecosystem, they have a particular
22 impact on the Python community due to its unusually rapid growth relative
23 to other languages. Ensuring stable and reproducible results over time and
24 across users therefore typically requires ensuring that shared code is always
25 run with a specific set of versions for each package used.

26 One common approach to solving this problem involves creating con-
27 tainerized or virtualized Python environments (e.g., using Docker, Singu-
28 larity, or conda) tailored to individual applications. Authors may then share
29 these environments alongside their code as configuration files from which
30 users may build identical copies themselves. While effective, a significant
31 drawback to this approach is that it introduces additional prerequisite knowl-
32 edge

33 a significant drawback to this approach is that it adds to the requisite
34 knowledge

35 in many cases, it introduces a level of complexity beyond . For example,
36 distributing research code that relies on a particular Docker image to run
37 properly not only necessitates extra configuration files and setup steps, but
38 requires that both the author and end user install and navigate additional
39 software that is often more complicated and resource-intensive than the ac-
40 tual code being shared. These added prerequisites clash with the simplicity
41 and accessibility that have helped popularize Python among researchers, and
42 can create barriers to both contributing to and taking advantage of open sci-
43 ence. `davos` was developed with the goal of addressing

44 **2. Software description**

45 *2.1. Software Architecture*

46 *2.2. Software Functionalities*

47 *2.3. Sample code snippets analysis (optional)*

48 **3. Illustrative Examples**

49 **4. Impact**

50 **5. Conclusions**

51 **Funding**

52 Our work was supported in part by NSF grant number 2145172 to J.R.M.
53 The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily
54 represent the official views of our supporting organizations.

55 **Declaration of Competing Interest**

56 We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated
57 with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for
58 this work that could have influenced its outcome.

59 **Acknowledgements**

60 **References**

- 61 [1] E. Muller, J. A. Bednar, M. Diesmann, M.-O. Gewaltig, M. Hines, A. P.
62 Davison, Python in neuroscience, *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics* 9 (2015)
63 11. [doi:10.3389/fninf.2015.00011](https://doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2015.00011).
- 64 [2] T. Kluyver, B. Ragan-Kelley, F. Pérez, B. Granger, M. Bussonnier,
65 J. Frederic, K. Kelley, J. Hamrick, J. Grout, S. Corlay, P. Ivanov,
66 D. Avila, S. Abdalla, C. Willing, Jupyter notebooks – a publishing for-
67 mat for reproducible computational workflows., in: F. Loizides, B. Schmidt
68 (Eds.), *Positioning and Power in Academic Publishing: Players, Agents
69 and Agendas*, IOS Press, Netherlands, 2016, pp. 97–90. [doi:10.3233/
70 978-1-61499-649-1-87](https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-649-1-87).
- 71 [3] C. R. Harris, K. J. Millman, S. J. van der Walt, R. Gommers, P. Vir-
72 tanen, D. Cournapeau, E. Wieser, J. Taylor, S. Berg, N. J. Smith,
73 R. Kern, M. Picus, S. Hoyer, M. H. van Kerkwijk, M. Brett, A. Haldane,
74 J. F. del Río, M. Wiebe, P. Peterson, P. Gérard-Marchant, K. Shep-
75 pard, T. Reddy, W. Weckesser, H. Abbasi, C. Gohlke, T. E. Oliphant,

- 76 Array programming with NumPy, *Nature* 585 (7825) (2020) 357–362.
77 [doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2).
- 78 [4] P. Virtanen, R. Gommers, T. E. Oliphant, M. Haberland, T. Reddy,
79 D. Cournapeau, E. Burovski, P. Peterson, W. Weckesser, J. Bright, S. J.
80 van der Walt, M. Brett, J. Wilson, K. J. Millman, N. Mayorov, A. R. J.
81 Nelson, E. Jones, R. Kern, E. Larson, C. J. Carey, Í. Polat, Y. Feng, E. W.
82 Moore, J. VanderPlas, D. Laxalde, J. Perktold, R. Cimrman, I. Henriksen,
83 E. A. Quintero, C. R. Harris, A. M. Archibald, A. H. Ribeiro, F. Pedregosa,
84 P. van Mulbregt, SciPy 1.0 Contributors, SciPy 1.0: Fundamental Algorithms for Scientific Computing in Python, *Nature Methods*
85 17 (3) (2020) 261–272. [doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-019-0686-2).
- 87 [5] W. McKinney, Data structures for statistical computing in Python, in:
88 Proceedings of the Python in Science Conference, 2010, pp. 51–56. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.25080/Majora-92bf1922-00a)
89 [10.25080/Majora-92bf1922-00a](https://doi.org/10.25080/Majora-92bf1922-00a).
- 90 [6] J. D. Hunter, Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment, *Computing in*
91 *Science and Engineering* 9 (3) (2007) 90–95. [doi:10.1109/MCSE.2007.](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55)
92 [55](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55).
- 93 [7] M. L. Waskom, seaborn: statistical data visualization, *Journal of Open*
94 *Source Software* 6 (60) (2021) 3021. [doi:10.21105/joss.03021](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03021).