

Security Assessment

# GemPad Staking - Solana

Verified on 11/12/24



#### **SUMMARY**

Project	CHAI	N METHODOLOGY			Υ
GemPad Staking	Solar	na	Manual & Automatic Analysis		
FILES Single	DELI 11/12	VERY 2/24		TYPE Standard Audit	
1	0	0	0	0	1
Total Find	dings Critical	Major	Medium	Minor	Informational
0 Critical					can affect the contract al events that can risk and ct
0 Major				when using the co	can affect the outcome ontract that can serve as nipulating the contract in ner
0 Medium				An opening that c executing the con	ould affect the outcome in tract in a specific situation
0 Minor				An opening but do the functionality of	pesn't have an impact on f the contract
1 Informational				An opening that c	onsists information but will he contract
STATUS	AUDIT PASSE	D			



# TABLE OF CONTENTS GemPad Staking

#### Summary

Project Summary Findings Summary Disclaimer Scope of Work Auditing Approach

#### **Project Information**

Token/Project Details Inheritance Graph Call Graph

#### Findings

Issues
SWC Attacks
CW Assessment
Fixes & Recommendation
Audit Comments



### **DISCLAIMER** GemPad Staking

<u>ContractWolf</u> audits and reports should not be considered as a form of project's "Advertisement" and does not cover any interaction and assessment from "Project Contract" to "External Contracts" such as PancakeSwap, UniSwap, SushiSwap or similar.

**ContractWolf** does not provide any <u>warranty</u> on its released report and should not be used as a <u>decision</u> to invest into audited projects.

**ContractWolf** provides a transparent report to all its "Clients" and to its "Clients Participants" and will not claim any guarantee of bug-free code within its **SMART CONTRACT**.

**ContractWolf**'s presence is to analyze, audit and assess the Client's Smart Contract to find any underlying risk and to eliminate any logic and flow errors within its code.

Each company or project should be liable to its security flaws and functionalities.



## SCOPE OF WORK GemPad Staking

**GemPad** team has agreed and provided us with the files that need to be tested (*Github*, *BSCscan*, *Etherscan*, *Local files etc*). The scope of audit is the main contract.

The goal of this engagement is to identify if there is a possibility of security flaws in the implementation of smart contract and its systems.

ContractWolf will be focusing on contract issues and functionalities along with the project claims from smart contract to their website, whitepaper, repository which has been provided by **GemPad**.



### AUDITING APPROACH GemPad Staking

Every line of code along with its functionalities will undergo manual review to check for security issues, quality of logic and contract scope of inheritance. The manual review will be done by our team that will document any issues that they discovered.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following:
- Review of the specifications, sources and instructions provided to ContractWolf to make sure we understand the size, scope and functionality of the smart contract.
- Manual review of code. Our team will have a process of reading the code line-by-line with the intention of identifying potential vulnerabilities, underlying and hidden security flaws.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes:
- Testing the smart contract function with common test cases and scenarios to ensure that it returns the expected results.
- 3. Best practices and ethical review. The team will review the contract with the aim to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarifications, maintainability, security and control within the smart contract.
- 4. Recommendations to help the project take steps to eliminate or minimize threats and secure the smart contract.



# TOKEN DETAILS GemPad Staking



The #1 Launchpad - Redefining the Standards in DeFi

Token Name Symbol Decimal Total Supply Chain
- - - Solana

#### SOURCE

Source Sent Via local-files



# FINDINGS GemPad Staking

1	0	0	0	0	1
Total Findings	Critical	Major	Medium	Minor	Informational

This report has been prepared to state the issues and vulnerabilities for GemPad Staking through this audit. The goal of this report findings is to identify specifically and fix any underlying issues and errors

ID	Title	File & Line #	Severity	Status
RCW-003	Integer overflow & underflow	claim.rs, L98	informational	Pending



### CW RUST ASSESSMENT | Asset Avenue

ContractWolf Vulnerability for Rust and Security Test Cases Relevant & known up-to-date issues for rust language

ID	Name	Description	Status
RCW-001	Reentrancy	a malicious contract calls back into the calling contract before the first invocation of the function is finished.	<b>V</b>
RCW-002	Undefined behavior	The Rust reference contains a non-exhaustive list of behaviors considered undefined in Rust	<b>V</b>
RCW-003	Integer overflow & underflow	Overflow/Underflow of mathematical operations inside the rust smart contract	×
RCW-004	Out of bounds read/write	The contract or function reads data past the end or before beginning of the intended buffer	<b>✓</b>
RCW-005	Memory Corruption	Wrong usage of memory model throughout the contract or within its functions.	<b>V</b>
RCW-006	Typographical Error	Unintended error for contract, function names, code and arithmetic inputs	<b>V</b>
RCW-007	Hash Collisions With Multiple Arguments	If used incorrectly, triggers a hash collision while calling a function within a function.	<b>✓</b>
CVE-2021-39137	Erroneous Computation	Incorrect math calculation	V
CVE-2022-37450	Function Manipulation	Manipulation attack of time-difference values to increase rewards	V
CVE-2022-23328	Denial of Service	DDoS Attack using pending transactions	V
CVE-2022-29177	High verbosity logging	The product does not properly control the allocation and maintenance of a limited resource, thereby enabling an actor to influence the amount of resources consumed, eventually leading to the exhaustion of available resources.	<b>V</b>

ContractWolf follows the safety protocols from **NVD**(National Vulnerability Database) & **CVE Details** for **RUST Language** to assess and identify the security risk for rust smart contracts.



#### **FIXES & RECOMMENDATION**

**RCW-003** Integer overflow & underflow

```
let accumulative_reward =
        (staking_pool.magnified_dividend_per_share *
            (staker info.deposited amount as f64)) as u64
    ) + staker info.magnified correction;
let mut withdrawable_reward = accumulative_reward - staker_info.withdrawn_rewards;
```

Using (staker\_info.deposited\_amount as f64) can lead to precision loss especially with large or small values.

#### recommendation

Perform all calculations in integer format where possible and avoid converting to £64 for financial calculations. If floating-point precision is necessary, consider using a fixed-point decimal library or struct to handle it more reliably.

Decimal libraries for rust **Rust Decimal Big Decimal** 



# CONTRACTWOLF

**Blockchain Security - Smart Contract Audits**