

Blockchain Security Assessment

Symphony

Verified on 07/10/2023



SUMMARY

Project		CHAIN			METHOD	OLOGY		
Symphony		Symphony			Manual & Automatic Analysis			
FILES Single		DELIVERY 07/10/2023			TYPE Blockchain Audit			
	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	Total Findings	Resolved	Critical	Major	Medium	Minor	Informational	
0 Critical						several ever	fect the contract hts that can risk and	
0 Major					when using	the contract in manipulati	fect the outcome that can serve as ng the contract in	
0 Medium					An opening that could affect the outcome in executing the contract in a specific situation			
0 Minor					An opening the function	but doesn't l ality of the c	nave an impact on contract	
4 Informational					An opening that consists information but will not risk or affect the contract			
STATUS	√ AUDI7	PASSED						



TABLE OF CONTENTS Symphony

Summary

Project Summary
Findings Summary
Disclaimer
Scope of Work
Auditing Approach

Project Information

Blockchain & Project Details

Findings

Fixes & Recommendation
Audit Comments



DISCLAIMER Symphony

<u>ContractWolf</u> audits and reports should not be considered as a form of project's "Advertisement" and does not cover any interaction and assessment from "Project Contract" to "External Contracts" such as PancakeSwap, UniSwap, SushiSwap or similar.

ContractWolf does not provide any <u>warranty</u> on its released report and should not be used as a <u>decision</u> to invest into audited projects.

ContractWolf provides a transparent report to all its "Clients" and to its "Clients Participants" and will not claim any guarantee of bug-free code within its Client Files.

ContractWolf's presence is to analyze, audit and assess the Client's Files to find any underlying risk and to eliminate any logic and flow errors within its code.

Each company or project should be liable to its security flaws and functionalities.



SCOPE OF WORK Symphony

Symphony team has agreed and provided us with the files that need to be tested (*Github, BSCscan, Etherscan, Local files etc*). The scope of audit is the main blockchain files.

The goal of this engagement is to identify if there is a possibility of security flaws in the implementation of its blockchain and its systems.

ContractWolf will be focusing on issues and functionalities along with the project claims from its blockchain files to their website, whitepaper, repository which has been provided by **Symphony**.



AUDITING APPROACH Symphony

Every line of code along with its functionalities will undergo manual review to check for security issues, quality of logic and contract scope of inheritance. The manual review will be done by our team that will document any issues that they discovered.

METHODOLOGY

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following:
- Review of the specifications, sources and instructions provided to ContractWolf to make sure we understand the size, scope and functionality of the Blockchain.
- Manual review of code. Our team will have a process of reading the code line-by-line with the intention of identifying potential vulnerabilities, underlying and hidden security flaws.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes:
- Testing the function with common test cases and scenarios to ensure that it returns the expected results.
- 3. Best practices and ethical review. The team will review the contract with the aim to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarifications, maintainability, security and control within the blockchain.
- 4. Recommendations to help the project take steps to eliminate or minimize threats and secure the blockchain.



TOKEN DETAILS Symphony



Symphony is a chain dedicated to fully decentralized real-world assets. Its dual-elasticity structure allows for automated rebalancing from any drop in value.

SOURCE

Source

https://github.com/Orchestra-Labs/symphony-osmosis



FINDINGS Symphony

Total Findings Resolved Critical Major Medium Minor	Informational

This report has been prepared to state the issues and vulnerabilities for Symphony through this audit. The goal of this report findings is to identify specifically and fix any underlying issues and errors

Title	File	Severity	Status
Error Handling	config.go app/params/config.go	Informational	Pending
Potential Injection	tx.go x/pool-incentives/client/cli/tx.go	Informational	Pending
Error Handling	genesis.go x/mint/keeper/genesis.go	Informational	 Pending
Potential nil Issue(s)	keeper.go x/mint/keeper/keeper.go	Informational	 Pending



FIXES & RECOMMENDATIONS

Security Analysis config.go

Security vulnerabilities:

The use of panic in RegisterDenoms for handling errors can cause the entire application to crash.

Potential improvements:

Error Handling

Consider returning an error(return err) instead and handle it appropriately.



Security Analysis tx.go

x/pool-incentives/client/cli/tx.go

Security vulnerabilities:

Malicious actors could potentially submit proposals with invalid gaugeIDs or weights that might cause unexpected behavior.

Potential improvements:

Input validation

Consider adding validation for gaugeIDs (eg. ensuring they exist within a defined set of valid gauges) and weights (eg. restricting them to a valid range)

Security Considerations:

There aren't any immediate security concerns in *tx.go*. However, it's generally good practice to validate user(s) input beyond basic format checks to prevent potential injection attacks.



Security Analysis tx.go

No issues found

Investigated the ff : Overall code performance, errors & input validations



Security Analysis genesis.go

x/mint/keeper/genesis.go

Security vulnerabilities:

The createDeveloperVestingModuleAccount function calls panic in case of an error. Consider returning an error instead to allow for proper handling during initialization.

Potential improvements:

Error Handling

Replace <u>panics</u> with proper error handling using <u>return err</u> to allow for better error propagation and handling during initialization.



Security Analysis keeper.go

x/mint/keeper/keeper.go

Security vulnerabilities:

Consider adding checks for empty developerRewardsReceivers before iterating to avoid potential nil pointer issues.

Potential improvements:

Unit tests for the distributeDeveloperRewards function would be beneficial to verify its behavior under various scenarios, including edge cases like empty developer reward receivers or invalid addresses.



Security Analysis | query.go

x/mint/client/cli/query.go

No issues found

Investigated the ff : Overall code performance, errors & input validations



AUDIT COMMENTS Symphony

- This **Blockchain Audit** is exclusively for the project **Symphony**.
- **Symphony** may or may not follow the recommendations with the findings.
- The files from /symphony-osmosis folder over the repository may be updated upon deployment and ContractWolf holds no warranty over the changes that will be made in the future.





CONTRACTWOLF

Blockchain Security - Smart Contract Audits