

Security Assessment

Sonic Rush Astrospin

Verified on 2/3/25



SUMMARY

Project	CHAIN	METHODOLOGY
Sonic Rush Astrospii	n Sonic	Manual & Automatic Analysis
FILES Single	DELIVERY 2/3/25	TYPE Standard Audit
Olligie	5 0 0	0 0 5 2
To O Critical	otal Findings Critical Major	Medium Minor Informational Resolved An exposure that can affect the contract functions in several events that can risk and disrupt the contract
0 Major	O Major An opening & exposure to manipulat contract in an unwanted manner	
0 Medium		An opening that could affect the outcome in executing the contract in a specific situation
0 Minor		An opening but doesn't have an impact on the functionality of the contract
5 Informational		An opening that consists information but will not risk or affect the contract
2 Resolved	ContractWolf's findings has been acknowledged & resolved by the project	
STATUS	✓ AUDIT PASSED	



TABLE OF CONTENTS Sonic Rush Astrospin

Summary

Project Summary Findings Summary Disclaimer Scope of Work Auditing Approach

Project Information

Token/Project Details Inheritance Graph Call Graph

Findings

Issues
SWC Attacks
CW Assessment
Fixes & Recommendation
Audit Comments



DISCLAIMER Sonic Rush Astrospin

<u>ContractWolf</u> audits and reports should not be considered as a form of project's "Advertisement" and does not cover any interaction and assessment from "Project Contract" to "External Contracts" such as PancakeSwap, UniSwap, SushiSwap or similar.

ContractWolf does not provide any <u>warranty</u> on its released report and should not be used as a <u>decision</u> to invest into audited projects.

ContractWolf provides a transparent report to all its "Clients" and to its "Clients Participants" and will not claim any guarantee of bug-free code within its **SMART CONTRACT**.

ContractWolf's presence is to analyze, audit and assess the Client's Smart Contract to find any underlying risk and to eliminate any logic and flow errors within its code.

Each company or project should be liable to its security flaws and functionalities.



SCOPE OF WORK | Sonic Rush Astrospin

Sonic Rush Astrospin team has agreed and provided us with the files that need to be tested (*Github*, *BSCscan*, *Etherscan*, *Local files etc*). The scope of audit is the main contract.

The goal of this engagement is to identify if there is a possibility of security flaws in the implementation of smart contract and its systems.

ContractWolf will be focusing on contract issues and functionalities along with the project claims from smart contract to their website, whitepaper, repository which has been provided by **Sonic Rush Astrospin**.



AUDITING APPROACH Sonic Rush Astrospin

Every line of code along with its functionalities will undergo manual review to check for security issues, quality of logic and contract scope of inheritance. The manual review will be done by our team that will document any issues that they discovered.

METHODOLOGY

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following:
- Review of the specifications, sources and instructions provided to ContractWolf to make sure we understand the size, scope and functionality of the smart contract.
- Manual review of code. Our team will have a process of reading the code line-by-line with the intention of identifying potential vulnerabilities, underlying and hidden security flaws.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes:
- Testing the smart contract function with common test cases and scenarios to ensure that it returns the expected results.
- 3. Best practices and ethical review. The team will review the contract with the aim to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarifications, maintainability, security and control within the smart contract.
- Recommendations to help the project take steps to eliminate or minimize threats and secure the smart contract.



TOKEN DETAILS | Sonic Rush Astrospin



play2earn / DeFi dApp

Token Name	Symbol	Decimal	Total Supply	Chain
Sonic Rush	Rush	_	-	Sonic


SOURCE

Source Sent Via local-files



INHERITANCE GRAPH | Sonic Rush Astrospin


Inheritance Graph of Contract Functions





CALL GRAPH Sonic Rush Astrospin

Call Graph of Contract Functions





FINDINGS | Sonic Rush Astrospin



This report has been prepared to state the issues and vulnerabilities for Sonic Rush Astrospin through this audit. The goal of this report findings is to identify specifically and fix any underlying issues and errors

ID	Title	File & Line #	Severity	Status
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	L: 117	Informational	Pending
SWC-113	Denial of Service	L : 411	Informational	Pending
CW-008	Presence of Unused Contract	L: 8, 9	Informational	Resolved
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	L:2	Informational	Pending
CW-006	Function with Multiple Calls	Astrospin.sol L : 117	Informational	Resolved



SWC ATTACKS Sonic Rush Astrospin

Smart Contract Weakness Classification and Test Cases

ID	Description	Status
SWC-100	Function Default Visibility	Informational
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	 Passed
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	 Passed
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	 Informational
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	 Passed
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	 Passed
SWC-106	Unprotected SELF DESTRUCT Instruction	 Passed
SWC-107	Reentrancy	 Passed
SWC-108	State Variable Default Visibility	 Passed
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	 Passed
SWC-110	Assert Violation	 Passed
SWC-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	 Passed
SWC-112	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	 Passed
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	 Informational
SWC-114	Transaction Order Dependence	 Passed
SWC-115	Authorization through tx.origin	 Passed
SWC-116	Block values as a proxy for time	 Passed
SWC-117	Signature Malleability	 Passed
SWC-118	Incorrect Constructor Name	 Passed
SWC-119	Shadowing State Variables	 Passed
SWC-120	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	 Passed
SWC-121	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	 Passed



SWC-122 Lack of Proper Signature Verification

Passed

ID	Description	Status
SWC-123	Requirement Violation	 Passed
SWC-124	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	 Passed
SWC-125	Incorrect Inheritance Order	 Passed
SWC-126	Insufficient Gas Griefing	 Passed
SWC-127	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	 Passed
SWC-128	DoS With Block Gas Limit	 Passed
SWC-129	Typographical Error	 Passed
SWC-130	Right-To-Left-Override control character(U+202E)	Passed
SWC-131	Presence of unused variables	 Passed
SWC-132	Unexpected Ether balance	 Passed
SWC-133	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Arguments	 Passed
SWC-134	Message call with hardcoded gas amount	 Passed
SWC-135	Code With No Effects	 Passed
SWC-136	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	 Passed



CW ASSESSMENT Sonic Rush Astrospin

ContractWolf Vulnerability and Security Tests

ID	Name	Description	Status
CW-001	Multiple Version	Presence of multiple compiler version across all contracts	V
CW-002	Incorrect Access Control	Additional checks for critical logic and flow	V
CW-003	Payable Contract	A function to withdraw ether should exist otherwise the ether will be trapped	V
CW-004	Custom Modifier	major recheck for custom modifier logic	V
CW-005	Divide Before Multiply	Performing multiplication before division is generally better to avoid loss of precision	V
CW-006	Multiple Calls	Functions with multiple internal calls	V
CW-007	Deprecated Keywords	Use of deprecated functions/operators such as block.blockhash() for blockhash(), msg.gas for gasleft(), throw for revert(), sha3() for keccak256(), callcode() for delegatecall(), suicide() for selfdestruct(), constant for view or var for actual type name should be avoided to prevent unintended errors with newer compiler versions	V
CW-008	Unused Contract	Presence of an unused, unimported or uncalled contract	×
CW-009	Assembly Usage	Use of EVM assembly is error-prone and should be avoided or double-checked for correctness	V
CW-010	Similar Variable Names	Variables with similar names could be confused for each other and therefore should be avoided	V
CW-011	Commented Code	Removal of commented/unused code lines	V
CW-012	SafeMath Override	SafeMath is no longer needed starting with Solidity v0.8+. The compiler now has built-in overflow checking.	V



FIXES & RECOMMENDATION

SWC-101 Integer Overflow/Underflow

While Solidity 0.8.x has built-in overflow/underflow protection, some calculations could still lead to unexpected behavior if not handled carefully.

- specialWalletPool += flipFee: If specialWalletPool is close to uint256 limits, this could overflow.
- contributions[msg.sender] += msg.value flipFee: If msg.value < flipFee, this could underflow.

Recommendation

Use SafeMath for critical arithmetic operations, even though Solidity 0.8.x has built-in checks.

Add explicit checks to ensure values are within expected ranges.



The **refundAllParticipants** function iterates over all players and sends Ether in a loop. If the number of players is large, this <u>could exceed the gas limit</u>, causing the transaction to fail.

Recommendation

Implement a paginated refund mechanism where players can claim their refunds individually or use a pull-based payment system instead of pushing funds to players.





code.

Recommendation

Remove unused imports to reduce contract size and deployment costs.

This issue has been acknowledged and mitigated





Code

pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

The compiler version should be a fixed one to avoid undiscovered compiler bugs. Fixed version sample below

pragma solidity 0.8.16;





during the round in read function getAllPlayers

The issue has been acknowledged and mitigated by truncating the enterAstroSpin function and removing unnecessary variables.



AUDIT COMMENTS | Sonic Rush Astrospin



CONTRACTWOLF

Blockchain Security - Smart Contract Audits