

Security Assessment

Junkyard Dogs

Verified on 03/25/2024



SUMMARY

| Project | | CHAIN | | METHODOLOG | METHODOLOGY | | |
|-----------------|----------------|------------------------|--------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| Junkyard Dogs | | Sola | Solana | | Manual & Autom | Manual & Automatic Analysis | |
| FILES Single | | DELIVERY 03/25/2024 | | TYPE Standard Audit | | | |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Total Findings | Critical | Major | Medium | Minor An exposure that | Informational can affect the contract | |
| 0 Critical | | | | | | al events that can risk and | |
| 0 Major | | | | | when using the co | can affect the outcome ontract that can serve as nipulating the contract in ner | |
| 0 Medium | | | | | An opening that c executing the con situation | ould affect the outcome in tract in a specific | |
| 0 Minor | | | | | An opening but do the functionality of | pesn't have an impact on of the contract | |
| 0 Informational | | | | | An opening that consists information but will not risk or affect the contract | | |
| STATUS | √ AU[| DIT PASSI | ED | | | | |



TABLE OF CONTENTS Junkyard Dogs

Summary

Project Summary Findings Summary Disclaimer Scope of Work Auditing Approach

Project Information

Token/Project Details Inheritance Graph Call Graph

Findings

Issues
SWC Attacks
CW Assessment
Fixes & Recommendation
Audit Comments



DISCLAIMER Junkyard Dogs

<u>ContractWolf</u> audits and reports should not be considered as a form of project's "Advertisement" and does not cover any interaction and assessment from "Project Contract" to "External Contracts" such as PancakeSwap, UniSwap, SushiSwap or similar.

ContractWolf does not provide any <u>warranty</u> on its released report and should not be used as a <u>decision</u> to invest into audited projects.

ContractWolf provides a transparent report to all its "Clients" and to its "Clients Participants" and will not claim any guarantee of bug-free code within its **SMART CONTRACT**.

ContractWolf's presence is to analyze, audit and assess the Client's Smart Contract to find any underlying risk and to eliminate any logic and flow errors within its code.

Each company or project should be liable to its security flaws and functionalities.



SCOPE OF WORK Junkyard Dogs

Junkyard Dogs team has agreed and provided us with the files that need to be tested (*Github, BSCscan, Etherscan, Local files etc*). The scope of audit is the main contract.

The goal of this engagement is to identify if there is a possibility of security flaws in the implementation of smart contract and its systems.

ContractWolf will be focusing on contract issues and functionalities along with the project claims from smart contract to their website, whitepaper, repository which has been provided by **Junkyard Dogs**.



AUDITING APPROACH Junkyard Dogs

Every line of code along with its functionalities will undergo manual review to check for security issues, quality of logic and contract scope of inheritance. The manual review will be done by our team that will document any issues that they discovered.

METHODOLOGY

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following:
- Review of the specifications, sources and instructions provided to ContractWolf to make sure we understand the size, scope and functionality of the smart contract.
- Manual review of code. Our team will have a process of reading the code line-by-line with the intention of identifying potential vulnerabilities, underlying and hidden security flaws.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes:
- Testing the smart contract function with common test cases and scenarios to ensure that it returns the expected results.
- 3. Best practices and ethical review. The team will review the contract with the aim to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarifications, maintainability, security and control within the smart contract.
- 4. Recommendations to help the project take steps to eliminate or minimize threats and secure the smart contract.



TOKEN DETAILS | Junkyard Dogs



The Guardians of SOL. A next GEN Solana meme.

| Token Name | Symbol | Decimal | Total Supply | Chain |
|---------------|--------|---------|---------------|--------|
| Junkyard Dogs | JYDS | 9 | 1,000,000,000 | Solana |

SOURCE

Source

https://solscan.io/token/Bgeiac4xCN3tA5pq94YUnQXcNC8MiipAMxrNy7zrmp2r



FINDINGS Junkyard Dogs

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|----------------|----------|-------|--------|-------|--------------|
| | | | | | |
| Total Findings | Critical | Major | Medium | Minor | Informationa |

This report has been prepared to state the issues and vulnerabilities for Junkyard Dogs through this audit. The goal of this report findings is to identify specifically and fix any underlying issues and errors

| ID | Title | File & Line # | Severity | Status |
|-----|-----------------|---------------|----------|--------|
| N/A | No issues found | - | - | - |



CW RUST ASSESSMENT Junkyard Dogs

ContractWolf Vulnerability for Rust and Security Test Cases Relevant & known up-to-date issues for rust language

| ID | Name | Description | Status |
|----------------|--|---|----------|
| RCW-001 | Reentrancy | a malicious contract calls back into the calling contract before the first invocation of the function is finished. | V |
| RCW-002 | Undefined behavior | The Rust reference contains a non-exhaustive list of behaviors considered undefined in Rust | V |
| RCW-003 | Integer overflow & underflow | Overflow/Underflow of mathematical operations inside the rust smart contract | V |
| RCW-004 | Out of bounds read/write | The contract or function reads data past the end or before beginning of the intended buffer | V |
| RCW-005 | Memory Corruption | Wrong usage of memory model throughout the contract or within its functions. | V |
| RCW-006 | Typographical Error | Unintended error for contract, function names, code and arithmetic inputs | V |
| RCW-007 | Hash Collisions With Multiple Arguments | If used incorrectly, triggers a hash collision while calling a function within a function. | ✓ |
| CVE-2021-39137 | Erroneous Computation | Incorrect math calculation | V |
| CVE-2022-37450 | Function Manipulation | Manipulation attack of time-difference values to increase rewards | V |
| CVE-2022-23328 | Denial of Service | DDoS Attack using pending transactions | V |
| CVE-2022-29177 | High verbosity logging | The product does not properly control the allocation and maintenance of a limited resource, thereby enabling an actor to influence the amount of resources consumed, eventually leading to the exhaustion of available resources. | V |

ContractWolf follows the safety protocols from **NVD**(National Vulnerability Database) & **CVE Details** for **RUST Language** to assess and identify the security risk for rust smart contracts.



FIXES & RECOMMENDATION

NO ISSUES FOUND | Contract is clean

ContractWolf marks this project as clean and safe to interact with





AUDIT COMMENTS Junkyard Dogs

Smart Contract audit comment for a non-technical perspective

- Owner can alter the contract settings after deployment
- Owner cannot freeze tokens





CONTRACTWOLF

Blockchain Security - Smart Contract Audits