

Security Assessment

# **FUST Staking Dapp**

Verified on 06/30/2025



### **SUMMARY**

Project		CHAIN		METHODOLOGY	
FUST		Ethereum	Manual & Automatic Analysis		
FILES Single		DELIVERY 1/11/2024		TYPE Dapp Audit	
	2	0 0	1	0 1	0
0 Critical	Total Findings	Critical Major	Medium Mi	An exposure that can aff functions in several ever disrupt the code	Resolved  ect the dapp's ats that can risk and
0 Major				An opening & exposure to code in an unwanted ma	
1 Medium				An opening that could affect the outcome in executing the code in a specific situation	
0 Minor				An opening but doesn't he the functionality of the c	nave an impact on ode
1 Informational				An opening that consists information but will not risk or affect the code	
0 Resolved				ContractWolf's findings has been acknowledged & resolved by the project	
STATUS	<b>√</b> AU	IDIT PASSED			



# TABLE OF CONTENTS Staking Dapp

### Summary

Project Summary Findings Summary Disclaimer Scope of Work Auditing Approach

### **Project Information**

Token/Project Details

### Findings

Issues
DCW Assessment
Fixes & Recommendation
Audit Comments



### **DISCLAIMER** Staking Dapp

<u>ContractWolf</u> audits and reports should not be considered as a form of project's "Advertisement" and does not cover any interaction and assessment from "Project Code" to "External Code"

**ContractWolf** does not provide any <u>warranty</u> on its released report and should not be used as a <u>decision</u> to invest into audited projects.

**ContractWolf** provides a transparent report to all its "Clients" and to its "Clients Participants" and will not claim any guarantee of bug-free code within its **DAPP**.

**ContractWolf**'s presence is to analyze, audit and assess the Client's Dapp to find any underlying risk and to eliminate any logic and flow errors within its code.

Each company or project should be liable to its security flaws and functionalities.



# SCOPE OF WORK Staking Dapp

**FUST's** team has agreed and provided us with the files that need to be tested. The scope of audit is the main dapp.

The goal of this engagement is to identify if there is a possibility of security flaws in the implementation of dapp and its systems.

ContractWolf will be focusing on dapp issues and functionalities along with the project claims from smart contract to their website, whitepaper, repository which has been provided by **FUST**.



### AUDITING APPROACH Staking Dapp

Every line of code along with its functionalities will undergo manual review to check for security issues, quality of logic and dapp scope of inheritance. The manual review will be done by our team that will document any issues that they discovered.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The auditing process follows a routine series of steps:

- 1. Code review that includes the following:
- Review of the specifications, sources and instructions provided to ContractWolf to make sure we understand the size, scope and functionality of the DAPP.
- Manual review of code. Our team will have a process of reading the code line-by-line with the intention of identifying potential vulnerabilities, underlying and hidden security flaws.
- 2. Testing and automated analysis that includes :
- Testing the DAPP's function with common test cases and scenarios to ensure that it returns the expected results.
- 3. Best practices and ethical review. The team will review the dapp with the aim to improve efficiency, effectiveness, clarifications, maintainability, security and control within the dapp.
- 4. Recommendations to help the project take steps to eliminate or minimize threats and secure the dapp.



# DAPP DETAILS Staking Dapp



FUST is a utility token with standard tokenomics which is part of the FUSD ecosystem. FUSD is an appreciating stable token due to launch in the next few weeks and FUST will be used to mine free FUSD using a staking protocol we are calling the Fusion Miner.

### SOURCE

Source

Sent Via local-files



# FINDINGS Staking Dapp



This report has been prepared to state the issues and vulnerabilities for FUST Dapp through this audit. The goal of this report findings is to identify specifically and fix any underlying issues and errors

### Frontend

ID	Title	File & Line #	Severity	Status
DCW-008	Gas Griefing	StakingManager.js L: 76	Informational	<ul><li>Pending</li></ul>
DCW-019	Incorrect Unstaking Logic	-	Medium	<ul><li>Pending</li></ul>



# PENETRATION ATTACKS Staking Dapp

Dapp Weakness Classification and Test Cases

ID	Description	Status
DCW-001	Malware Scan	<ul><li>Passed</li></ul>
DCW-002	Phishing	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-003	Missing HTTP Headers	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-004	Valid SSL Certificate	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-005	Firewalls(Drop & Deny)	<ul><li>Passed</li></ul>
DCW-006	Potential SQL Injection	<ul><li>Passed</li></ul>
DCW-007	Framework Version	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-008	Gas Griefing	<ul> <li>Informational</li> </ul>
DCW-009	Address Approval	<ul><li>Passed</li></ul>
DCW-010	Address Draining	<ul><li>Passed</li></ul>
DCW-011	Insecure API Usage	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-012	Error Handling	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-013	Memory Leak	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-014	Lack of Input Validation	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-015	Potential Backdoor	<ul><li>Passed</li></ul>
DCW-016	Sensitive Data Exposure	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-017	Request Limit	<ul> <li>Passed</li> </ul>
DCW-018	Overflow or Precision Loss	<ul><li>Passed</li></ul>
DCW-019	Unintended Behavior	<ul><li>Medium</li></ul>



### **FIXES & RECOMMENDATION**

### **DCW-008** Gas Griefing (StakingManager.js)

Hard-coding 5M gas may lead to overspending or out-of-gas if network conditions change.

```
const tx = await this.stakingContract.stake(wei, {
   gasLimit: 5000000,
});
```

#### **Recommendation:**

Remove the explicit gasLimit and let Ethers/BNB(or the network) estimate for you or use a small margin above the estimate :

```
async stake(amount) {
 try {
   const wei = ethers.utils.parseEther(amount.toString());
   // Step 1: ensure allowance
   const approveTx = await this.fustToken.approve(this.stakingAddress, wei);
   await approveTx.wait();
   this.toast?.success("Approval successful");
   // Step 2: estimate gas for staking
   const estimated = await this.stakingContract.estimateGas.stake(wei);
   // add a 20% buffer
   const gasLimit = estimated.mul(120).div(100);
   // Step 3: execute stake with dynamic gasLimit
   const tx = await this.stakingContract.stake(wei, { gasLimit });
   await tx.wait();
   this.toast?.success("Staked successfully");
 } catch (err) {
   console.error("Staking failed", err);
   this.toast?.error("Staking failed");
   throw err;
 }
```



## **DCW-019** Incorrect Unstaking Logic

unstake() is called without an amount parameter. Smart contract will unstake entire balance regardless of input.

### **Recommendation:**

Modify manager & Smart Contract to support partial unstaking or remove the amount and just leave the button altogether.





### AUDIT COMMENTS Staking Dapp

Dapp audit comment for a non-technical perspective

- Project has been marked as SAFE to be interacted with by any EVM wallets (06-30-2025)
- DAPP has no backdoors
- DAPP cannot drain wallets via approval



# CONTRACTWOLF

**Blockchain Security - Smart Contract Audits**