

# IDM UID 9YL8QC

VERSION CREATED ON / VERSION / STATUS

01 Feb 2013 / 2.1/ Signed

EXTERNAL REFERENCE

#### Report

# **CODAC Operator Interface - Test Plan**

Test of CODAC Operator Interface

	Approval Process				
	Name	Action	Affiliation		
Author	Utzel N.	01-Feb-2013:signed	IO/DG/DIP/CHD/CSD/CDC		
CoAuthor					
Reviewers					
Approver					
		Document Security: level 1 (	IO unclassified)		
RO: Di Maio Franck					
Read Access	LG: SOPRA extra, AD: ITER, AD: External Collaborators, AD: Division - Control System Division, AD:				
	Section - CODAC, project administrator, RO				


	Change Log				
Title (Uid)	Versio n	Latest Status	Issue Date	Description of Change	
CODAC Operator Interface - Test Plan (9YL8QC_v2_1)	v2.1	Signed	01 Feb 2013	SVN Demo Unit	
CODAC Operator Interface - Test Plan (9YL8QC_v2_0)	v2.0	Signed	22 Jan 2013	Additional tests:  - Symbol and Image widgets rotation  - Symbol and Image widgets flip/flop  - Symbol and Image file selection  - On/Off Symbol color  - Performance of Symbol widgets	
CODAC Operator Interface - Test Plan (9YL8QC_v1_3)	v1.3	Signed	10 Oct 2012	Test of civil engineering and I&C symbols as well as CODAC BOY Schema.	
CODAC Operator Interface - Test Plan (9YL8QC_v1_2)	v1.2	Signed	07 Jun 2012	Pagination problem due to the automatic cover page	
CODAC Operator Interface - Test Plan (9YL8QC_v1_1)	v1.1	Signed	07 Jun 2012	At CSS startup, the Welcome screen should be closed	
CODAC Operator Interface - Test Plan (9YL8QC_v1_0)	v1.0	Signed	06 Jun 2012		



# **CODAC Operator Interface**

# Software Test Plan (STP) Based on QA Template Version <1.0>

This document describes the tests that should be performed for CODAC Operator Interface in order to be installed as part of Core System release. Different test cases are described, as well as and test pass-fail criteria.





#### **Contents**

1	Inti	odu	ction	4
	1.1	Pur	pose	4
	1.2	Sco	ppe	4
	1.3	Sys	tem/Software overview and key features	4
	1.4	Ref	erences	4
2	Det	tails	of the Testing Process	5
	2.1	Def	finition of test levels	5
	2.2	Tes	t administration	5
	2.2	.1	Anomaly resolution and reporting	5
	2.2	.2	Test reporting requirements	5
	2.2	.3	Test deliverables	5
3	Co	mpoi	nent Test Plan	6
	3.1	Sco	ppe	6
	3.1	.1	Test items and their identifiers	6
	3.1	.2	Features to be tested	6
	3.1	.3	Features not to be tested	6
	3.2	App	proach	6
	3.2	.1	Testing Methods	6
	3.2	.2	Item pass/fail criteria	6
	3.3	Env	vironment / Infrastructure	6
	3.4	Cor	nponent Test Procedures	7
	3.4	.1	Operator Interface Edition	7
	3.4	.2	Operator Interface Runtime	.10
	3.4	.3	CODAC Standard Symbol Lib	11
	3.4	.4	Symbol and Image widgets rotation	15
	3.4	.5	Symbol and Image widgets flip/flop	17
	3.4	.6	Symbol and Image File Selection	19
	3.4	.7	On/Off Symbol Color	20
	3.4	.8	Performance of Symbol Widgets	21
	3.4	.9	Eclipse Search in OPI file	25
	3.5	Cor	nponent Test Log	27
	3.5	.1	Operator Interface Edition	27
	3.5	.2	Operator Interface Run	27
	3.5	.3	CODAC Standard Symbol Lib	27

#### ITER\_D\_9YL8QC v2.1



usa		ITER_D_9YL8QC
3.5.4	Symbol and Image widgets rotation	27
3.5.5	Symbol and Image widgets flip/flop	27
3.5.6	Symbol and Image File Selection	28
3.5.7	On/Off Symbol Color	28
3.5.8	Performance of Symbol Widgets	28
3.5.9	Eclipse Search in OPI file	28
Softwar	e Test Plan Checklist	29

ITER D 9YL8QC

#### 1 Introduction

#### 1.1 Purpose

This document describes the tests that should be performed for CSS BOY - Best Operator Interface (OPI), Yet - in order to be installed as part of CODAC Core System. These tests will ultimately compare the capabilities of BOY against these described in CODAC System Requirement (SRD) Document [IDM 28C2HL].

The Operator Interface is the graphical user interface that displays live control system data to operators and allows them to input data to the control. Particular functions to be tested are Operator Interface (OPI) development and runtime features.

#### 1.2 Scope

The test items are:

- The operational version of BOY,
- The data, including all the configuration data needed to run the operator interface,
- The documentation, including the online help and the release notes.

The installation and uninstallation of the components are not part of this test plan.

#### 1.3 System/Software overview and key features

BOY is a development and runtime environment:

- Edition of Operator Interface screen using graphical widgets and CODAC electrical and fluid standardised symbols. BOY Editor has most of the modern editing features which facilitate the OPI editing greatly, such as copy, paste, undo, redo, arrange multiple widgets, snap to grid or other widgets (geometry), ruler, guide, zoom in/out, change order, create...
- Open and run the Operator Interface, draw widgets on the screen, connect to the control system, update for example text fields, meters, and bar graphs to reflect the current values of EPICS PVs, offer dials or text boxes to enter or adjust PV values, which are then written to the control system.

#### 1.4 References

CODAC Quality assurance plan - https://user.iter.org/?uid=6J7RW4



#### 2 DETAILS OF THE TESTING PROCESS

#### 2.1 Definition of test levels

The described component tests will focus on the desired features of CODAC Operator Interface.

Following test levels are defined in this test plan to organize the testing activity.

<b>Operator Interface Edition Component Test</b>	EDT
Test of the operator interface creation	
<b>Operator Interface Runtime Component Test</b>	RNT
Test of running the operator interface	
Symbol Library Component Test	SMB
Test of archived data plot in CSS and Web Data Browser	
<b>Operator Interface Performance Test</b>	PRF
Test of at least 1K widgets running on the operator interface	

#### 2.2 Test administration

#### 2.2.1 Anomaly resolution and reporting

Anomaly Reports shall be submitted in **Bugzilla**.

#### 2.2.2 Test reporting requirements

The test logs shall be generated to record the outcome of test procedures as described in section \*.4 and \*.5 of the level test plans.

#### 2.2.3 Test deliverables

The test deliverables include:

- Component Test Logs / Reports
- Anomaly Reports with Bugzilla bug references.

Test input data are registered in **SVN** code repository.

No other test tool is needed.

The test reports may be submitted on ITER IDM.



#### 3 COMPONENT TEST PLAN

#### 3.1 Scope

#### 3.1.1 Test items and their identifiers

CODAC Operator Interface is included the following unit:

- m-css in the product:
  - o org.csstudio.iter.css.product/

CODAC Operator Interface mainly depends on:

o org.csstudio.iter.opibuilder.feature

CODAC Operator Interface includes ITER specific development:

- o org.csstudio.opibuilder.widgets.symbol
- o org.csstudio.opibuilder.imagelib

#### 3.1.2 Features to be tested

The main CODAC Operator Interface features to be tested are:

- Operator Interface Edition and Run
- CODAC Standard Symbol Library

#### 3.1.3 Features not to be tested

Scripting using Python and Javascript will not be tested.

#### 3.2 Approach

#### 3.2.1 Testing Methods


The overall approach for the level of testing is the Black box method to test the functionality of CODAC Operator Interface.

#### 3.2.2 Item pass/fail criteria

Each major anomaly found determines whether each test item has passed or failed testing.

#### 3.3 Environment / Infrastructure

Core System in its development role version should be installed on a CODAC standard machine. Access to SVN is required.





# 3.4 Component Test Procedures

EDT-01	3.4.1 Operator Interface Edition
Prerequisite	In a Linux console, download and start a demo IOC:  1.\$ mkdir test  2.\$ cd test  3.\$ svn co https://svnpub.iter.org/codac/iter/codac/dev/units/m- css/trunk/products/TTER/products/org.csstudio.iter.css.product/demo/m-TEST-BOY  A m-TEST-BOY/project  A m-TEST-BOY/doc/STP-CODAC_Operator Interface.pdf  A m-TEST-BOY/src  A m-TEST-BOY/src  A m-TEST-BOY/src  A m-TEST-BOY/src  A m-TEST-BOY/src  A m-TEST-BOY/pom.xml  Checked out revision xxxx.  4.\$ cd m-TEST-BOY/pom.xml  Checked out revision xxxx.  4.\$ cd m-TEST-BOY/bom.xml  Checked out revision xxxx.  4.\$ cd m-TEST-BOY  5.\$ softloc -s -d src/main/epics/TEST-BOY0App/Db/PSH0-TEST-BOY0.db  Starting iocinit  ##################################
Test Cases	1. Positive confirmation of the operator interface edition
Procedure	In another Linux console, start the development environment to edit a new operator interface:



ITER D 9YL8QC

#### 1.\$ cd test/m-TEST-BOY

- 2.\$ css&
- 3. Browse to select the working directory m-TEST-BOY

Check the Welcome Pages and online Help:

- 4. From the "Welcome to CSS for ITER!" Page, click on "First Steps". A short description of CSS BOY should be given. Click then on the link "Boy" in this "First Steps" page, just before the short description. The Online Help is displayed.
- 5. Close the Online Help windows and Close the Welcome screen by clicking on Workbench icon:



#### Import the project

- 6. In the Navigator View, right-click and select the contextual menu Import... -> General -> Existing Projects into Workspace. Click on Next>. To specify the root directory, use the Browse button and select m-TEST-BOY and click on OK. Click on Finish
- 7. Open the Editor Perspective: menu Window -> Open Perspective -> Other... and select OPI Editor
- 8. In the Navigator View, browse m-TEST-BOY -> src -> main -> boy. Right-click on demo.opi -> Open With -> OPI Editor
- 9. From the Palette -> Monitors, use the little arrows to browse the widgets, drag and drop the widget "Text Update" on the grid for instance below the Gauge displaying a random value at 10Hz
- 10. Select the new widget on the grid, and in the Properties View, modify the PV Name to "TEST-BOY0:AI2". Click on Enter
- 11. Save the modified OPI file using the menu File -> Save (Ctrl+S).

#### Pass Criteria

4. Welcome First Steps for CSS BOY should appear:




BOY


The Best OPI, Yet allows you to create and run operator interfaces. Read the online manual to learn more.

After clicking on BOY link, the Online Help is opened on the BOY topic:






8. The demo.opi BOY screen should be displayed in CSS OPI Editor Perspective:



10. The Text Update widget should appear on the grid and its basic Properties should refer to the PV Name to be displayed:

######


Widget on the Grid





RNT-01	3.4.2 Operator Interface Runtime		
Prerequisite	In a Linux console, download and start a demo IOC:  1. Demo IOC running  2. CSS started  3.BOY Editor Perspective opened		
Test Cases	1. Positive confirmation of the operator interface running		
Procedure	1. Run the Operator Interface using the button from the tool bar Operator Interface the Title displayed using a Label widget, the AI random value displayed with a Gauge and its border alarm sensitive, the CALC record producing a ramp displayed using a "Progress Bar" widget and its alarm limits, the BI displayed with a LED widget, the BO represented by a Button, the MBBI value displayed with a "Text Update" widget, the MBBO presented as a Choice button, the Waveform of 50 elements displayed on a "XY Graph", the ITER logo displayed using an Image widget and the Date & Time String record.		
	Finally check the new widget displaying TEST-BOY0:AI2 at 10Hz.		
	21. Just close the Runtime environment by clicking on the cross close button of the window:		
	Close Window		
Pass Criteria	1. The Operator Interface to monitor the different types of records should be at Runtime:    Second   Comment   Comm		








Civil\_Engineering\_Diagram.opi file to display the related symbols defined in the library. As the BOY screen is small, there is no need to switch to OPI Runtime Perspective to have a better look

- 5. From the Navigator View, double-click on IandC\_Diagram.opi file to display the related symbols defined in the library
- 6. From the Navigator View, double-click on MV-2-Switchgear.opi file to display an electrical use case schema. Switch to OPI Runtime Perspective
- 7. Switch back to OPI Editor Perspective and from the Navigator View, double-click on PID\_Water\_Cooling.opi file to display a fluid use case schema. Switch to OPI Runtime Perspective
- 8. Switch back to OPI Editor Perspective and from the Navigator View, double-click on IOschema.opi file to display the default layout of all BOY widgets for CODAC. Switch to OPI Runtime Perspective
- 9. Switch back to OPI Editor Perspective and close all screens opened


#### Pass Criteria

2. After some seconds – the time to load the  $\sim$ 130 symbols, the fluid symbols should be animated on the screen at 1 second:




3. The output for the electrical symbols should be:






4. The output for the civil engineering symbols should be:




5. The output for the I&C symbols should be:






6. The output for the electrical use case should be:




7. The output for the PID use case should be:






8. The output for CODAC BOY Schema should be:




# SMB-02 3.4.4 Symbol and Image widgets rotation Prerequisite 1. Demo IOC running 2. Development environment started















- 2. From the Navigator View, double-click on flipflop.opi file. In order to have a better view, switch to OPI Runtime Perspective
- 3. Check that the Image widget PNG file flips horizontally and vertically. A screen shot of expected positions is given to help the verification. Then do the same verification for Boolean Symbol widgets Monitor and Control in SVG and PNG format and for the Multistate Symbol widgets
- 4. Switch back to OPI Editor Perspective and close the OPI screen

#### Pass Criteria

2. The rotation demo BOY screen looks like that:






SMB-04	3.4.6 Symbol and Image File Selection	
Prerequisite	Demo IOC running     Development environment started	
Test Cases	Positive confirmation of the dynamic change of the image file for the Boolean and Multistate Symbol and Image widgets	
Procedure	In CSS, run the Operator Interfaces demonstrating the dynamic change of the image:  1. In the Navigator View, browse m-TEST-BOY/src/main/boy    Navigator   Naviga	
Pass Criteria	2. The selection of an PNG and SVG Image file in demo BOY screen looks like that:	



ITER D 9YL8QC






2. From the Navigator View, double-click on onoffcolors.opi file. In order to have a better view, switch to OPI Runtime Perspective 3. Change the ON Color using the Combo Box and check that all symbol widgets displaying an ON value (or index > 0 for a multistate) are updated. Do the same with the Off Color 4. Switch back to OPI Editor Perspective and close the OPI screen 2. The selection of and On and Off color in demo BOY screen looks like that: Pass Criteria ON Color OFF Color Yellow ▼ Brown PRF-01 3.4.8 Performance of Symbol Widgets 1. Performance IOCs downloaded from SVN Prerequisite 2. You can close the previous EPICS database epics> exit 3. From a new Linux console, start the EPICS IOC Performance Database: \$ softIoc src/main/epics/SharedTemplateApp/Db/rndmIOC-A-start.cmd **Test Cases** 1. Positive confirmation of the loading of more than 250 Boolean and Multistate Symbol widgets Procedure In CSS, run the Operator Interfaces demonstrating the performance of the symbol widgets: 1. In the Navigator View, browse m-TEST-BOY/src/main/boy/Performance



ITER D 9YL8QC



2. From the Navigator View, right-click first on text\_update.opi file and select Open With -> OPI Editor. State how fast the Editor is able to load more than 500 Text Update

widgets. Then run the OPI using the button from the tool bar



Close CSS runtime instance and go back to OPI Editor to close text\_update.opi

3. From the Navigator View, right-click first on gauge.opi file and select Open With -> OPI Editor. State how fast the Editor is able to load more than 250 graphical widgets.

Then run the OPI using the button from the tool bar



Close CSS runtime instance and go back to OPI Editor to close gauge.opi. If you fail to close the Operator Interface which is too busy, stop the IOC:

epics> exit

4. From the Navigator View, right-click first on boolean\_symbol.opi file and select Open With -> OPI Editor. State how fast the Editor is able to load more than 250 Boolean

Symbol Image widgets. Then run the OPI using the button from the tool bar . If you have stopped the IOC in the previous step, you need to restart it:

\$ softIoc src/main/epics/SharedTemplateApp/Db/rndmIOC-A-start.cmd

Close CSS runtime instance and go back to OPI Editor to close boolean\_symbol.opi. If you fail to close the Operator Interface which is too busy, stop the IOC:

epics> exit

5. From the Navigator View, right-click first on multistate symbol.opi file and select Open With -> OPI Editor. State how fast the Editor is able to load more than 250

#### ITER\_D\_9YL8QC v2.1



ITER\_D\_9YL8QC

graphical widgets. Then run the OPI using the button from the tool bar . If you have stopped the IOC in the previous step, you need to restart it:

\$ softIoc src/main/epics/SharedTemplateApp/Db/rndmIOC-A-start.cmd


Close CSS runtime instance and go back to OPI Editor to close multistate\_symbol.opi.

6. Stop the IOC:

epics> exit


#### Pass Criteria

2. Text Update widgets used to displayed PV values at 10Hz:



3. The time to load, connect to EPICS PV and animate the graphical widget is definitively longer:






3. The label should be displayed first then the symbol image after some seconds:



4. Finally the Multistate symbol widgets should be animated at 10Hz from Off state, NotReady state, Initialising state and Ready state:




ITER D 9YL8QC





ITER D 9YL8QC





To terminate the tests, stop the slow IOC and close css:

- 1. \$ epics> exit
- 2. Close CSS using the menu File -> Exit

## 3.5 Component Test Log

EDT-01	3.5.1 Operator Interface Edition	[PASS / FAIL]
[Bug ID]	[Bug title to briefly describe the anomaly]	
Remarks		
RNT-01	3.5.2 Operator Interface Run	[PASS / FAIL]
[Bug ID]	[Bug title to briefly describe the anomaly]	
Remarks		
SMB-01	3.5.3 CODAC Standard Symbol	Lib [PASS / FAIL]
[Bug ID]	[Bug title to briefly describe the anomaly]	
Remarks		
<b>SMB-02</b>	3.5.4 Symbol and Image widgets	rotation [PASS / FAIL]
[Bug ID]	[Bug title to briefly describe the anomaly]	
Remarks		
SMB-03	3.5.5 Symbol and Image widgets	flip/flop [PASS / FAIL]
[Bug ID]	[Bug title to briefly describe the anomaly]	
Remarks		
	·	<u>'</u>

#### ITER\_D\_9YL8QC v2.1



SMB-04	3.5.6 Symbol and Image File Selection	[PASS / FAIL]
[Bug ID]	[Bug title to briefly describe the anomaly]	
Remarks		
<b>SMB-05</b>	3.5.7 On/Off Symbol Color	[PASS / FAIL]
[Bug ID]	[Bug title to briefly describe the anomaly]	
Remarks		
Kemarks		
Kemarks		
PRF-01	3.5.8 Performance of Symbol Widgets	[PASS / FAIL]
	3.5.8 Performance of Symbol Widgets  [Bug title to briefly describe the anomaly]	[PASS / FAIL]
PRF-01	•	[PASS / FAIL]
PRF-01 [Bug ID]	•	[PASS / FAIL]
PRF-01 [Bug ID]	•	[PASS / FAIL]
PRF-01 [Bug ID] Remarks	[Bug title to briefly describe the anomaly]	



### **Software Test Plan Checklist**

For Assessment of:		
Agency Name		
Project Name		
Document Name		
Date		
Criteria		Yes / No / NA
DOCUMENT STANDA	RDS COMPLIANCE	

Criteria	Yes / No / NA
DOCUMENT STANDARDS COMPLIANCE	
1 Have standards/guidelines been identified to define the work product?	
2 Does the work product format conform to the specified standard/guideline (Template)?	
3 Has the project submitted any request for deviations or waivers to the defined work product?	
4 Have the following areas been addressed completely:	
4a Approval authority?	
4b Revision approval?	
4c Revision control?	
TECHNICAL REFERENCE	
5 Is there evidence that the work product was reviewed by all stakeholders?	
6 Have acceptance criteria been established for the work product?	
7 Does the work product have a clearly defined purpose and scope?	
8 Are references to policies, directives, procedures, standards, and terminology provided?	
9 Does the work product identify any and all constraints/limitations?	
S/W TEST PLAN CONTENTS	
10 Does the S/W Test Plan address the following required information:	
10a Test levels?	
10b Test types (e.g., unit testing, software integration testing, systems integration testing, end-to-end testing, acceptance testing, regression testing)?	
10c Test classes?	
10d General test conditions?	
10e Test progression?	
10f Data recording, reduction, and analysis?	
10g Test coverage (breadth and depth) or other methods for ensuring sufficiency of testing?	
10h Planned tests, including items and their identifiers?	
10i Test schedules, Requirements traceability (or verification matrix)?	

#### ITER\_D\_9YL8QC v2.1



Criteria	Yes / No / NA
10j Qualification testing environment, site, personnel, and participating organizations?	
11 Does the S/W Test Plan identify the environmental exposure as well as requirements for comprehensive, functional, aliveness, end-to-end, and mission simulation testing?	
12 Does the S/W Test Plan provide a System Overview that describes the unique complexities of the system?	
13 Does the S/W Test Plan address user guide, operations / maintenance validation?	
16 Does the S/W Test Plan identify any elements that will not be tested according to the test plan (e.g., externally developed software)?	
17 Does the S/W Test Plan address software architecture in terms of which software components will be based on heritage and which will be mostly or entirely new developments?	
18 Does the S/W Test Plan identify any software reuse? If so, is the extent of reuse or the anticipated modification described?	
S/W TEST ENVIRONMENT	
19 Does the S/W Test Plan include a figure of each system test environment? If so, does it reflect the system hardware approach, simulators, and special development?	
20 Does the S/W Test Plan identify specific test hardware and simulators for each external interface?	
TEST TOOLS	
21 Does the S/W Test Plan address test execution tools?	
TEST PROBLEM REPORTING & CORRECTIVE ACTION	
22 Does the S/W Test Plan provide a description of the problem reporting system to be used by the test team to report problems and/or recommended changes cited during the test activities?	
TEST PROGRESS PLANNING & TRACKING	
23 Does the S/W Test Plan describe the routine test progress reporting approach?	
24 Does the S/W Test Plan describe the Build Test verification methodology? If so, does the description address build verification test level objectives, environment, roles & responsibilities, entry/exit criteria, general guidelines, build test planning, build test scenario development, build test procedure preparation & dry run, build test execution, reporting, and archiving?	