```
Templates allow us to write function or class once:
    template < typename T >
    void fun(const T& input) { ... }
but get a whole family of overloaded specifications:
    void fun(const int& input) { ... }
    void fun(const float& input) { ... }
    void fun(const char& input) { ... }
    void fun(const MyClass& input) { ... }
    . . .
```

Recall: two functions are overloaded if they have same name & return type, but different parameter types

```
void print_array(const int* array, int count) {
    for(int i = 0; i < count; ++i) {
        std::cout << array[i] << " ";
void print_array(const double* array, int count) {
    for(int i = 0; i < count; ++i) {
        std::cout << array[i] << " ";
```

Q: When should you consider using function templates?

When you find yourself writing functions with essentially the same body, but different types.

This function sums even-indexed elements in a std::vector:

```
int sum_every_other(const std::vector<int>& ls) {
   int total = 0;
   for(std::vector<int>::const_iterator it = ls.cbegin();
     it != ls.cend(); ++it) {
      total += *it;
      ++it;
   }
   return total;
}
```

Works for const vector<int>&, but similar code could be used for other containers, e.g. a std::list.

```
int sum_every_other(const std::vector<int>& ls) {
    //
    int total = 0:
    for(std::vector<int>::const_iterator it = ls.cbegin();
      it != ls.cend(); ++it) { total += *it; ++it; }
   return total:
int sum_every_other(const std::list<int>& ls) {
    //
    int total = 0;
    for(std::list<int>::const_iterator it = ls.cbegin();
        ~~~~~~~
      it != ls.cend(); ++it) { total += *it; ++it; }
   return total;
```

```
// template1.cpp
    #include "sum every other.h"
1
    int main() {
3
4
         std::vector<int> vec = {10, 7, 10, 7, 10, 7};
        int sum = sum_every_other(vec);
5
         std::cout << "sum of every-other (vector): " << sum << std::endl;</pre>
6
7
8
        std::list<int> lis:
        lis.assign(vec.begin(), vec.end());
9
        sum = sum_every_other(lis);
10
        std::cout << "sum of every-other (list): " << sum << std::endl;</pre>
11
12
        return 0;
13
    $ g++ -o template1 template1.cpp -std=c++11 -pedantic -Wall -Wextra
    $ ./template1
    sum of every-other (vector): 30
    sum of every-other (list): 30
```

Repetitive code is a sign of bad design

e.g. a correction or modification for one of them also has to be made for any others we've made

In fact, we do have an error in our sum_every_other function.

Have you spotted it?

Extra ++it skips over ls.cend() when the container has odd number of elements. We need another check:

```
int sum_every_other(const std::vector<int>& ls) {
   int total = 0;
   for(std::vector<int>::const_iterator it = ls.cbegin();
    it != ls.cend(); ++it) {
      total += *it;
      ++it;
      // now we can't skip over ls.cend()
      if (++it == ls.cend()) break; // that's better
   }
   return total;
}
```

```
template < typename T >
int sum_every_other(const T& ls) {
    int total = 0:
    for(typename T::const_iterator it = ls.cbegin();
      it != ls.cend(); ++it) {
        total += *it;
        if(++it == ls.cend()) break:
    return total;
If we pass std::vector<int>, compiler instantiates an
appropriate function overload
  • Same if we pass std::list<int>, std::vector<double>,
```

```
// template2.cpp
    #include "sum_every_other_template.h"
    #include <iostream>
    #include <vector>
3
4
    #include <list>
5
    int main() {
6
         std::vector<int> vec = {10, 7, 10, 7, 10, 7};
        int sum = sum_every_other(vec);
8
9
         std::cout << "sum of every-other (vector): " << sum << std::endl;</pre>
10
11
        std::list<int> lis:
        lis.assign(vec.begin(), vec.end());
12
        sum = sum_every_other(lis);
13
        std::cout << "sum of every-other (list): " << sum << std::endl;</pre>
14
        return 0:
15
16
    $ g++ -o template2 template2.cpp -std=c++11 -pedantic -Wall -Wextra
    $ ./template2
    sum of every-other (vector): 30
    sum of every-other (list): 30
```

Quiz - answers

Which definition of the print function is correct?

```
A.
```

```
template < class T > void print (const T &a) {
    for (typename T::const_iterator it = a.cbegin(); it != a.cend(); it++) { cout << *it << endl; }
B.
template < class T > void print (const T &a) {
    for (T::const_iterator it = a.cbegin(); it != a.cend(); it++) { cout << *it << endl; }
template<typename T> void print (const T &a) {
    for (T::const_iterator it = a.cbegin(); it != a.cend(); it++) { cout << *it << endl; }
}
D.
template < typename T > void print (const typename T &a) {
    for (T::const_iterator it = a.cbegin(); it != a.cend(); it++) { cout << *it << endl; }
```

F. None of the above