```
// wirt dtor.h.
class Base {
   public:
        Base() : base_memory(new char[1000]) { }
        "Base() { delete[] base_memory; }
5
    private:
        char *base_memory;
    }:
9
    class Derived : public Base {
10
    public:
11
        Derived() : Base(), derived_memory(new char[1000]) { }
12
         ~Derived() { delete[] derived_memory; }
13
14
15
    private:
16
        char *derived_memory;
    };
17
```

```
// virt_dtor.cpp

#include "virt_dtor.h"

int main() {
    // Note use of base-class pointer
    Base *obj = new Derived();
    delete obj; // calls what destructor(s)?
    return 0;
}
```

new Derived() calls Derived default constructor, which in turn
calls Base default constructor; that's good (both memories are
allocated)

But which destructor is called?

- Destructor is not virtual
- Does that mean "Base is called but not "Derived?"

```
$ g++ -o virt dtor virt dtor.cpp -std=c++11 -pedantic -Wall -Wextra
$ valgrind --leak-check=full ./virt dtor
==6067== Memcheck, a memory error detector
==6067== Copyright (C) 2002-2015, and GNU GPLPd, by Julian Seward et al.
==6067== Using Valgrind-3.11.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info
==6067== Command: ./virt dtor
==6067==
==6067== error calling PR SET PTRACER, vgdb might block
==6067==
==6067== HEAP SUMMARY:
==6067== in use at exit: 73.704 bytes in 2 blocks
==6067== total heap usage: 4 allocs, 2 frees, 74,720 bytes allocated
==6067==
==6067== 1,000 bytes in 1 blocks are definitely lost in loss record 1 of 2
==6067==
           at 0x4C2E80F: operator new[](unsigned long) (in /usr/lib/valgrind/vgpreload_memcheck-amd64-li
==6067== by 0x400856: Derived::Derived() (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2020/2020-09-12(Fall) - Intermmedia
           by 0x4007A1: main (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2020/2020-09-12(Fall) - Intermmediate Programmin
==6067==
==6067==
==6067== I.EAK SIIMMARY:
==6067== definitely lost: 1,000 bytes in 1 blocks
==6067== indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==6067==
             possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==6067== still reachable: 72,704 bytes in 1 blocks
==6067==
                suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==6067== Reachable blocks (those to which a pointer was found) are not shown.
==6067== To see them, rerun with: --leak-check=full --show-leak-kinds=all
==6067==
==6067== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v
```

==6067== ERROR SUMMARY: 1 errors from 1 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)

```
// wirt. dt.or2.h.
class Base {
    public:
        Base() : base_memory(new char[1000]) { }
        virtual ~Base() { delete[] base_memory; }
5
    private:
6
        char *base_memory;
    }:
9
    class Derived : public Base {
10
11
    public:
        Derived() : Base(), derived_memory(new char[1000]) { }
12
        virtual ~Derived() { delete[] derived_memory; }
13
14
15
    private:
16
        char *derived_memory;
    };
17
```

```
// virt_dtor2.cpp

#include "virt_dtor2.h"

int main() {
    // Note use of base-class pointer
    Base *obj = new Derived();
    delete obj; // calls what destructor(s)?
    return 0;
}
```

This should fix the problem. Thanks to dynamic binding, delete obj calls "Derived, which in turn calls "Base

Recall: derived-class destructor always implicitly calls base=class destructor

```
$ g++ -o virt dtor2 virt dtor2.cpp -std=c++11 -pedantic -Wall -Wextra
$ valgrind --leak-check=full ./virt_dtor2
==6077== Memcheck, a memory error detector
==6077== Copyright (C) 2002-2015, and GNU GPL d, by Julian Seward et al.
==6077== Using Valgrind-3.11.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info
==6077== Command: ./virt dtor2
==6077==
==6077== error calling PR SET PTRACER, vgdb might block
==6077==
==6077== HEAP SUMMARY:
==6077== in use at exit: 72.704 bytes in 1 blocks
==6077== total heap usage: 4 allocs, 3 frees, 74,728 bytes allocated
==6077==
==6077== I.EAK SUMMARY:
==6077== definitely lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==6077== indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==6077==
             possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==6077== still reachable: 72,704 bytes in 1 blocks
==6077==
                suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==6077== Reachable blocks (those to which a pointer was found) are not shown.
==6077== To see them, rerun with: --leak-check=full --show-leak-kinds=all
==6077==
==6077== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v
==6077== ERROR SUMMARY: 0 errors from 0 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)
```

To avoid this in general: **Any** class with **virtual member functions** should also have a virtual destructor, even if the destructor does nothing

# Quiz - answers

Assume class C is derived from class A and class B and class D is derived from class B. If class A and class B both have virtual member functions, at the very least, the destructors of which classes must be virtual?

- A. C and D
- B. A and B
- C. A, B and C
- D. A, B, C and D
- E. D only