C++ strings have similar user-friendliness of Java/Python strings

Spare us from details like null terminators

(We will still need C strings sometimes, e.g. char *argv[])

Use **#include <string>** to use C++ strings

Full name is std::string; or put using std::string; at the top of .cpp file

Some ways to initialize a new string variable:

```
string s1 = "world";  // initializes to "world"
string s2("hello");  // just like s2 = "hello"
string s3(3, 'a');  // s2 is "aaa"
string s4;  // empty string ""
string s5(s2);  // copies s2 into s5
```

strings can be arbitrarily long

The C++ library worries about the memory

- Dynamically allocated and adjusted as needed
- When string goes out of scope, memory is freed

Automatic handling of heap memory is a major advantage of C++

• We will leverage it for our own classes later

Assuming s, s1 and s2 are std::strings:

```
string s = "hello";
cout << s.length() << endl; // prints 5</pre>
// prints bytes of memory allocated
cout << s.capacity() << endl;</pre>
// s.substr(offset, howmany) gives substring of s
cout << s.substr(1, 3) << endl; // prints "ell"</pre>
// s.c_str() returns C-style "const char *" version
cout << strlen(s.c str()) << endl; // prints 5</pre>
```

- s [5] accesses 6th character in string
- s.at(5) does the same, additionally doing a "bounds check"
 - Like Java's ArrayIndexOutOfBounds or Python's IndexError

```
string_at.opp:
#include <iostream>
#include <string>
using std::cout;
using std::endl;
using std::string;
int main() {
    string s("Nobody's perfect");
    for(size t pos = 0; pos <= s.length(); pos++) { // too far
        cout << s.at(pos);
    }
    cout << endl;
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

\$ g++ -std=c++11 -Wall -Wextra -pedantic -c string_at.cpp

```
$ g++ string_at.o -o string_at
$
$ ./string_at
terminate called after throwing an instance of 'std::out_of_range'
what(): basic_string::at: _n (which is 16) >= this->size() (which is 16)
```

See C++ reference for more string functionality

www.cplusplus.com/reference/string/string/

Commonly used member functions:

- length return # of characters (ignoring terminator)
- empty return false when there is at least 1 character
- append like +=
- push_back like append for a single character
- clear set to empty string
- insert insert one string in middle of another
- erase remove stretch of characters from string
- replace replace a substring with a given string

quiz!

Which statement is NOT a valid difference between C-style strings and (C++) strings?

A. null terminator is only needed with C-style strings

B. relational operators cannot be used to compare two C-style strings

C. it is not possible to do very large C-style strings, but C++ strings can be arbitrarily large

D. bracket notations can only be used with C-style strings and not with C++ strings (e.g. s[2])

E. none of the above