- new and delete are essentially the C++ versions of malloc and free
- Big difference: new not only allocates the memory, it also calls the appropriate constructor if needed

```
// destructors1.cpp
    #include <iostream>
    class DefaultSeven {
3
    public:
        DefaultSeven() : i(7) { }
5
        int get_i() { return i; }
    private:
        int i:
8
    }:
10
    int main() {
11
12
        DefaultSeven s:
        DefaultSeven *sptr = new DefaultSeven(); // using new
13
        std::cout << "s.get_i() = " << s.get_i() << std::endl;
14
         std::cout << "sptr->get_i() = " << sptr->get_i() << std::endl;
15
        delete sptr; // free the memory before exiting
16
17
        return 0:
18
```

```
$ g++ -o destructors1 destructors1.cpp -std=c++11 -pedantic -Wall -Wextra
$ ./destructors1
s.get_i() = 7
sptr->get_i() = 7
```

- new called the default constructor for us in both cases
- delete releases the memory, but we should also set sptr to NULL

- T\* fresh = new T[n] allocates an array of n elements of type T
- Use delete[] fresh to deallocate always use delete[] (not delete) to deallocate a pointer returned by new T[n]
- If T is a class type, then T's default constructor is called for each element allocated
- If T is a "built-in" type (int, float, char, etc.), then the values are **not initialized**, like with malloc

```
// destructors2.cpp
    #include <iostream>
3
    class DefaultSeven {
    public:
         DefaultSeven() : i(7) { }
5
         int get_i() { return i; }
    private:
         int i;
8
    }:
10
    int main() {
11
         DefaultSeven *s_array = new DefaultSeven[10];
12
         for(int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
13
             std::cout << s_array[i].get_i() << " ";
14
15
         std::cout << std::endl;
16
         delete[] s_array;
17
        return 0;
18
    }
19
```

```
$ g++ -o destructors2 destructors2.cpp -std=c++11 -pedantic -Wall -Wextra
$ ./destructors2
7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
```

 Confirming that default constructor was indeed called for all 10 elements

- A class constructor's job is to initialize the fields of the object
  - It's common for a constructor to obtain a resource (allocate memory, open a file, etc.) that should be released when the object is destroyed (deallocate memory, close a file, etc.)
- A class destructor is a method called by C++ when the object's lifetime ends or it is otherwise deallocated (ie, with delete)
- A destructor's name is the class name prepended with ~, e.g.
   ∼Rectangle()
- The destructor is always automatically called when object's lifetime ends, including when it is deallocated
  - It's a convenient place to clean up

```
// sequence.h
    #ifndef SEQUENCE_H
    #define SEQUENCE_H
    #include <cassert>
    class Sequence { // What does this class do? Anything wrong with it?
    public:
5
         Sequence() : array(NULL), size(0) { }
6
        // Note: constructor can have both an initializer
7
         // list and statements in its body
8
        Sequence(int sz) : array(new int[sz]), size(sz) {
9
             for(int i = 0; i < sz; i++) array[i] = i;
10
11
        int at(int i) {
12
             assert(i < size);
13
             return array[i];
14
15
16
    private:
17
        int *array;
18
        int size:
    }:
19
     #endif // SEQUENCE H
20
```

```
// destructors3.cpp
    #include <iostream>
    #include "sequence.h"
3
    int main() {
        Sequence seq(10);
        for(int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
             std::cout << seq.at(i) << ' ';
        }
8
        std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
        return 0;
10
11
    $ g++ -o destructors3 destructors3.cpp -std=c++11 -pedantic -Wall -Wextra
    $ ./destructors3
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
```

\$ valgrind --leak-check=full ./destructors3 ==4559== Memcheck, a memory error detector ==4559== Copyright (C) 2002-2015, and GNU GPL d, by Julian Seward et al. ==4559== Using Valgrind-3.11.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info ==4559== Command: ./destructors3 ==4559== ==4559== error calling PR\_SET\_PTRACER, vgdb might block ==4559== ==4559== HEAP SUMMARY: ==4559== in use at exit: 72.744 bytes in 2 blocks ==4559== total heap usage: 3 allocs, 1 frees, 76,840 bytes allocated ==4559== ==4559==40 bytes in 1 blocks are definitely lost in loss record 1 of 2 ==4559== at 0x4C2E80F: operator new[](unsigned long) (in /usr/lib/valgrind/vgpreload\_memcheck-amd64-li ==4559== by 0x400AD2: Sequence::Sequence(int) (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2020/2020-09-12(Fall) - Inter-==4559== by 0x4009E9; main (in /d/Study/PhDCS(JHU)/JHU2020/2020-09-12(Fall) - Intermmediate Programmin ==4559== ==4559== LEAK SUMMARY: ==4559== definitely lost: 40 bytes in 1 blocks ==4559== indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks ==4559== possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks ==4559== still reachable: 72,704 bytes in 1 blocks ==4559== suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks ==4559== Reachable blocks (those to which a pointer was found) are not shown. ==4559== To see them, rerun with: --leak-check=full --show-leak-kinds=all ==4559== ==4559== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v ==4559== ERROR SUMMARY: 1 errors from 1 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)

- Allocates new int[sz] in constructor, but never delete[]s
   it
- It's common for a constructor to obtain a resource (allocate memory, open a file, etc) that should be released when the object is destroyed
- Destructor is a function called by C++ when the object's lifetime ends, or is otherwise deallocated (i.e. with delete)
- It's common for a destructor to release the resource (deallocate memory, close a file, etc)

```
// sequence.h
    #ifndef SEQUENCE_H
    #define SEQUENCE_H
    #include <cassert>
    class Sequence { // What does this class do? Anything wrong with it?
    public:
5
6
        Sequence() : array(NULL), size(0) { }
        Sequence(int sz) : array(new int[sz]), size(sz) {
8
            for(int i = 0; i < sz; i++) array[i] = i;
9
10
        "Sequence() { delete[] array; }
        int at(int i) {
11
            assert(i < size);
12
            return array[i];
13
14
    private:
15
16
        int *array;
        int size;
17
18
    }:
    #endif // SEQUENCE_H
19
```

```
// destructors3.cpp
    #include <iostream>
    #include "sequence.h"
3
    int main() {
        Sequence seq(10);
        for(int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
             std::cout << seq.at(i) << ' ';
        }
8
        std::cout << std::endl;</pre>
        return 0;
10
11
    $ g++ -o destructors3 destructors3.cpp -std=c++11 -pedantic -Wall -Wextra
    $ ./destructors3
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
```

```
$ valgrind --leak-check=full ./destructors3
==4571== Memcheck, a memory error detector
==4571== Copyright (C) 2002-2015, and GNU GPLPd, by Julian Seward et al.
==4571== Using Valgrind-3.11.0 and LibVEX: rerun with -h for copyright info
==4571== Command: ./destructors3
==4571==
==4571== error calling PR SET PTRACER, vgdb might block
==4571==
==4571== HEAP SUMMARY:
==4571== in use at exit: 72.704 bytes in 1 blocks
==4571== total heap usage: 3 allocs, 2 frees, 76,840 bytes allocated
==4571==
==4571== I.EAK SUMMARY:
==4571== definitely lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==4571== indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==4571==
             possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==4571== still reachable: 72,704 bytes in 1 blocks
==4571==
                suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==4571== Reachable blocks (those to which a pointer was found) are not shown.
==4571== To see them, rerun with: --leak-check=full --show-leak-kinds=all
==4571==
==4571== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v
==4571== ERROR SUMMARY: 0 errors from 0 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)
```

Destructors are nearly always a better option than creating a special member function for releasing resources; e.g.:

```
User forgets to call clean_up:
    Sequence s(40);
    // ... (no call to s.clean_up())
} // s lifetime ends and memory is leaked
More subtly
    Sequence s(40);
    if (some_condition) {
        return 0; // memory leaked!
    }
    s.clean_up();
```

Destructor is **always automatically** called when object's **lifetime** ends or it is deallocated

You don't have to go hunting for all the places to put object.clean\_up()

### Quiz- answers

The destructor of an object is NOT necessarily called if ...

- A an object's lifetime is over
- B an object is deallocated
- C there are no references or pointers to an object
- D None of the above