# **CS340 Final Project Report**

Team members and contribution rate:

- Zhiyuan Zhong 12110517 55%
- Yuhang Dong 12011710 45%

# **Unintended Bias in Toxicity Classification**

The goal is to build a model to recognize toxicity while minimizing this type of unintended bias with respect to mentions of groups.

Let's begin with the project structure.

### **Note of Structure**

Due to the file size, some of the initial files are **omitted**.

- Data (missing): Due to data size, we didn't upload all the original data here. The complete data contains sample\_submission.csv , test\_private\_expanded.csv , test\_public\_expanded.csv , test\_csv , train.csv . We add the complement data test\_private\_expanded.csv to calculate the final score of the model.
- Embeddings (missing): The original embeddings used to train CNN.
- models: The trained benchmark CNN model and tokenizer.
- submissions: The submission files (to calculate the final score).
- bias: The bias analysis (bias and final score metrics) results.
- kaggle: The demonstration notebook for training (on kaggle) and inference (locally). Inside bert-inference is the BERT config and model files, checkout the kaggle kernel for details.
- benchmark.ipynb: A CNN model training using keras and tensorflow.
- cnn\_predict.ipynb : Prediction using CNN model.
- alignment.ipynb : Quick check of the CNN prediction score to align with human intent.
- detoxify\_predict.ipynb : Prediction using model from Detoxify.
- metrics.py: Functions to calculate final score.
- eval\_bias.ipynb : Bias evaluated by Demographic Parity and Equalized Opportunity for all models.
- ensemble.ipynb: Model ensembling.
- final\_score.ipynb : Calculate the final score for all models.
- tradeoff.ipynb: Calculate the accuracy of models.

#### Benchmark

We trained the benchmark CNN model from ground up, the saved model and tokenizer are in the folder /models . The final score for CNN is 0.8811.

#### Value Alginment

Checkout alignment.ipynb.

• Example comment 1:

[Integrity means that you pay your debts.] Does this apply to President Trump too?

score: 0.0163

It is a common text, without toxicity.

• Example comment 2:

Our oils read; President IS taking different tactics to deal with a corrupt malignant, hipoctitical, one way press! Idiots forget what witnessed them doing during the last election process.

score: 0.9308

It is toxic for the word idiots used, and the intense tone of speaking.

• Example comment 3:

'Work together'? Dream on. The liberals are already busy creating the next scandal/crime to accuse President Trump of since they lose the policy debate every time. They are sniveling statist traitors and they should be ground into the dirt as they will never ever let up in their qwest to destroy this country by any means available. Of that you can be sure.

score: 0.3644

It expressed an extreme viewpoint on politics, which is a bit aggressive, but not too toxic.

• Example comment 4:

Well here we go again. Let's continue to subsidize the cost of power, costs that are out of control due to decisions made by the Liberal government. How nice to know the government has such contempt for the citizens of Ontario that it thinks we won't understand we are being bribed with out own tax dollars - tax dollars we will have to pay back with interest - to make re-election of these corrupt fools possible. Not enough that the deficit remains large, we will now increase that deficit to buy votes. This stinks, just like the power plant cancellation last election. Ontario voters, wake up and throw these bums out!!

score: 0.59185725

It a strong negative opinion towards the Liberal government using words like corrupt fools, which might be considered toxic.

#### **Bias Assessment**

The sensitive groups includes genders, religions, races and illnesses. Since illnesses has only one subgroup, we omit it here.

```
identity_columns = [
    'male', 'female', 'homosexual_gay_or_lesbian', 'christian', 'jewish',
    'muslim', 'black', 'white', 'psychiatric_or_mental_illness']
```

We compute the *Demographic Parity* and *Equalized Opportunity* concerning the above subgroups. The **smaller** the relative ratio of two subgroups is, the **more biased** the model is towards these two subgroups (since there is a larger gap between two subgroups).

### **Demographic Parity**

Demographic Parity measures the equality of prediction outcomes across different sensitive groups. It is achieved when the probability of a certain prediction is not dependent on sensitive group membership.

```
genders
------

max difference: ('female', 'homosexual_gay_or_lesbian') | 0.0526

min difference: ('male', 'female') | 0.0172

smallest ratio: ('female', 'homosexual_gay_or_lesbian', 0.4947567804985168)

largest ratio: ('female', 'male', 0.7501073444830227)

religions
------

max difference: ('christian', 'muslim') | 0.0460

min difference: ('jewish', 'muslim') | 0.0110

smallest ratio: ('christian', 'muslim', 0.43583975652519097)

largest ratio: ('jewish', 'muslim', 0.8647654614383528)

races
-----

max difference: ('black', 'white') | 0.0023

min difference: ('black', 'white') | 0.0023

smallest ratio: ('white', 'black', 0.9838320275385117)

largest ratio: ('white', 'black', 0.9838320275385117)
```

We can observe a huge gap of ratios for around 0.3 between different subgroups. Observed from the smallest ratio, the model is biasd on homosexual\_gay\_or\_lesbian for genders, muslim for religions, which are both underrepresented groups in reality.

#### **Equalized Opportunity**

It means the protected and unprotected groups should have equal true positive rates.

```
genders
_____
max difference: ('male', 'homosexual_gay_or_lesbian') | 0.1165
min difference: ('male', 'female') | 0.0266
smallest ratio: ('homosexual_gay_or_lesbian', 'male', 0.6611570247933882)
largest ratio: ('female', 'male', 0.9225974025974025)

religions
_____
max difference: ('jewish', 'muslim') | 0.0384
min difference: ('christian', 'muslim') | 0.0106
smallest ratio: ('muslim', 'jewish', 0.8755364806866953)
largest ratio: ('muslim', 'christian', 0.9623917945733618)
```

```
max difference: ('black', 'white') | 0.0123
min difference: ('black', 'white') | 0.0123
smallest ratio: ('black', 'white', 0.96448087431694)
largest ratio: ('black', 'white', 0.96448087431694)
```

We can still observe a gap of ratios between different subgroups. The model is biasd on homosexual\_gay\_or\_lesbian for genders, jewish:) for religions.

# Bias Mitigated model -- BERT

#### Idea and Rationale

In our toxicity classification task, we have decided to replace the CNN model with a BERT (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) model. The intuition is based on the idea that BERT can provide more comprehensive and contextual embeddings of text, leading to improved performance in understanding and classifying toxic language.

Unlike CNN, which operates on fixed-sized windows of text, BERT considers the entire context of a sentence by leveraging the bidirectional transformer architecture. Another significant advantage of BERT is its transfer learning capabilities. As a pre-trained model, BERT has already learned general language representations from a large corpus of text. This pre-training enables BERT to transfer its knowledge to the downstream classification task easily.

#### **Model Details**

BERT is a transformers model pretrained on a large corpus of English data in a self-supervised fashion. This means it was pretrained on the raw texts only, with no humans labeling them in any way (which is why it can use lots of publicly available data) with an automatic process to generate inputs and labels from those texts. More precisely, it was pretrained with two objectives:

- Masked language modeling (MLM): taking a sentence, the model randomly masks 15% of the words in
  the input then run the entire masked sentence through the model and has to predict the masked words.
  This is different from traditional recurrent neural networks (RNNs) that usually see the words one after
  the other, or from autoregressive models like GPT which internally masks the future tokens. It allows the
  model to learn a bidirectional representation of the sentence.
- Next sentence prediction (NSP): the models concatenates two masked sentences as inputs during pretraining. Sometimes they correspond to sentences that were next to each other in the original text, sometimes not. The model then has to predict if the two sentences were following each other or not. This way, the model learns an inner representation of the English language that can then be used to extract features useful for downstream tasks: if you have a dataset of labeled sentences, for instance, you can train a standard classifier using the features produced by the BERT model as inputs.

We use the bert-base-uncased model from huggingface as the base model in this project.

Model	#params	Language
bert-base-uncased	110M	English

The BERT model was pretrained on BookCorpus, a dataset consisting of 11,038 unpublished books and English Wikipedia (excluding lists, tables and headers).

#### **Model Structure**

```
BertForSequenceClassification(
  (bert): BertModel(
    (embeddings): BertEmbeddings(
      (word_embeddings): Embedding(30522, 768, padding_idx=0)
      (position embeddings): Embedding(512, 768)
      (token type embeddings): Embedding(2, 768)
      (LayerNorm): BertLayerNorm()
      (dropout): Dropout(p=0.1, inplace=False)
    (encoder): BertEncoder(
      (layer): ModuleList(
        (0-11): 12 x BertLayer(
          (attention): BertAttention(
            (self): BertSelfAttention(
              (query): Linear(in_features=768, out_features=768, bias=True)
              (key): Linear(in_features=768, out_features=768, bias=True)
              (value): Linear(in_features=768, out_features=768, bias=True)
              (dropout): Dropout(p=0.1, inplace=False)
            )
            (output): BertSelfOutput(
              (dense): Linear(in_features=768, out_features=768, bias=True)
              (LayerNorm): BertLayerNorm()
              (dropout): Dropout(p=0.1, inplace=False)
            )
          (intermediate): BertIntermediate(
            (dense): Linear(in_features=768, out_features=3072, bias=True)
          (output): BertOutput(
            (dense): Linear(in_features=3072, out_features=768, bias=True)
            (LayerNorm): BertLayerNorm()
            (dropout): Dropout(p=0.1, inplace=False)
        )
      )
    (pooler): BertPooler(
      (dense): Linear(in_features=768, out_features=768, bias=True)
      (activation): Tanh()
    )
  (dropout): Dropout(p=0.1, inplace=False)
  (classifier): Linear(in_features=768, out_features=1, bias=True)
```

- Embedding: it contains a word\_embedding and a position\_embedding, to obtain dense vector representations of words and their relative location in the sentence. The vocabulary size is 30522, the maximum sentence length is 512.
- Encoder: it contains 12 BertLayer, each of which has an attention layer, a intermediate linear layer, and a output linear layer. These encoder layers get thick and meaningful representation inside

the model.

- **Pooler**: it generates a fixed-length sentence representation by applying a linear and a non-linear transformation to the output of the last layer of the BERT model.
- Classifier: to map the output features to a single output value, used for binary toxicity classification. This output value can be interpreted as the probability of belonging to the positive class (i.e., the probability of a comment being toxic).

# Model configuration

```
{
  "architectures": [
   "BertForMaskedLM"
  "attention_probs_dropout_prob": 0.1,
  "gradient_checkpointing": false,
  "hidden_act": "gelu",
  "hidden_dropout_prob": 0.1,
  "hidden_size": 768,
  "initializer_range": 0.02,
  "intermediate_size": 3072,
  "layer_norm_eps": 1e-12,
  "max_position_embeddings": 512,
  "model_type": "bert",
  "num attention heads": 12,
  "num_hidden_layers": 12,
  "pad_token_id": 0,
  "position_embedding_type": "absolute",
  "transformers version": "4.6.0.dev0",
  "type_vocab_size": 2,
  "use_cache": true,
  "vocab_size": 30522
}
```

### **Model Fine-tuning**

We fine-tined the BERT model on the toxicity dataset, using 1200000 comments samepled from train.csv as training data, and 100000 comments as validation data. The text is first converted to the format [CLS] Sentence A [SEP] Sentence B [SEP].

Hyperparameters and settings:

```
• seed = 1234
```

- learning rate = 2e-5
- batch size = 32
- epochs = 1
- weight decay = 0 for ['bias', 'LayerNorm.bias', 'LayerNorm.weight']
- weight decay = 0.01 for the other layers
- optimizer = BertAdam
- loss function = F.binary\_cross\_entropy\_with\_logits()
- amp.initialize() is used for mixed precision training, reducing memory usage and computation time.

We trained the model on kaggle kernel, which takes around 8h. Checkout the kaggle kernel to see the training process and the details.

#### **Final Score**

In total, we compared 5 models: cnn from benchmark; roberta-base-unbiased-small and roberta-base-unbiased from <a href="Detoxify">Detoxify</a>, kaggle\_bert from kaggle, and my\_kaggle\_bert (our final model). Our model achieved **0.9351** in the final score, which is at the same level of well-trained open source models.

Checkout final\_score.ipynb.

#### Final score:

cnn: 0.8811

roberta-base-unbiased-small: 0.9336

roberta-base-unbiased: 0.9374

kaggle\_bert: 0.9383my\_kaggle\_bert: 0.9351

Notice that **no one single model** can acheive score above 0.94, we can try model ensembling, check out ensemble.ipynb for results.

By ensembling our my\_kaggle\_bert model (60%) with roberta-base-unbiased (40%), we acheived a final score of **0.9403**!

#### Final Result:

• my\_ensemble: 0.9403

## **Bias Assessment**

We will do detailed comparison in later section, we first list the similar score below:

#### **Demographic Parity**

```
genders
-----
max difference: ('female', 'homosexual_gay_or_lesbian') | 0.0752
min difference: ('male', 'female') | 0.0222
smallest ratio: ('female', 'homosexual_gay_or_lesbian', 0.5068240190472617)
largest ratio: ('female', 'male', 0.7768968925002747)

religions
-----
max difference: ('christian', 'muslim') | 0.0693
min difference: ('jewish', 'muslim') | 0.0174
smallest ratio: ('christian', 'muslim', 0.4204354730670516)
largest ratio: ('jewish', 'muslim', 0.8548256285482564)

races
------
max difference: ('black', 'white') | 0.0041
min difference: ('black', 'white') | 0.0041
```

```
smallest ratio: ('black', 'white', 0.97605561777024)
largest ratio: ('black', 'white', 0.97605561777024)
```

#### **Equalized Opportunity**

```
genders
-----

max difference: ('male', 'homosexual_gay_or_lesbian') | 0.0904

min difference: ('male', 'female') | 0.0226

smallest ratio: ('homosexual_gay_or_lesbian', 'male', 0.848575508261372)

largest ratio: ('female', 'male', 0.9621540762902019)

religions
-----

max difference: ('christian', 'jewish') | 0.0333

min difference: ('christian', 'muslim') | 0.0140

smallest ratio: ('jewish', 'christian', 0.9375)

largest ratio: ('muslim', 'christian', 0.9737124463519312)

races
-----

max difference: ('black', 'white') | 0.0072

min difference: ('black', 'white') | 0.0072

smallest ratio: ('black', 'white', 0.9874225227400789)

largest ratio: ('black', 'white', 0.9874225227400789)
```

# Comparison with Baseline and other models

Checkout eval\_bias.ipynb

#### **Demographic Parity**

A larger value of Average ratio here means the model is **less biased** towards different subgroups. Compared with cnn, our model's score is higher in genders, lower in religions, and around the same in races. Also, our model has higher score than some of the other open-source models.

```
===== genders ======
                               smallest ratio | largest ratio | Average
                          : (0.4947567804985168, 0.7501073444830227, 0.6224320624907698)
cnn
roberta-base-unbiased
                         : (0.4729430058222235, 0.7583332431174286, 0.615638124469826)
roberta-base-unbiased-small: (0.36183704842428877, 0.6669919459947196, 0.5144144972095042)
                          : (0.5068240190472617, 0.7768968925002747, 0.6418604557737682)
kaggle_bert
my_kaggle_bert
                          : (0.4812610988894485, 0.8147007306361423, 0.6479809147627954)
====== religions ======
                               smallest ratio | largest ratio | Average
                          : (0.43583975652519097, 0.8647654614383528, 0.6503026089817718)
cnn
roberta-base-unbiased
                          : (0.5173602067597618, 0.8307460333778828, 0.6740531200688222)
roberta-base-unbiased-small: (0.36434329065908005, 0.645255474452555, 0.5047993825558176)
kaggle bert
                          : (0.4204354730670516, 0.8548256285482564, 0.637630550807654)
                          : (0.4486508039139616, 0.7285445697854457, 0.5885976868497036)
my_kaggle_bert
```

#### **Equalized Opportunity**

Again, A larger value of Average ratio means the model is **less biased** towards different subgroups. Compared with cnn, our model's score is higher in **all** genders, religions, races. Also, our model has almost the **highest** ratio among other open-source models.

```
====== genders ======
                              smallest ratio | largest ratio | Average
                          : (0.6611570247933882, 0.9225974025974025, 0.7918772136953953)
cnn
roberta-base-unbiased
                         : (0.8102589234664707, 0.9315363881401616, 0.8708976558033161)
roberta-base-unbiased-small: (0.8661654135338344, 0.959975696817802, 0.9130705551758183)
kaggle_bert
                         : (0.8363963080944213, 0.9717879604672057, 0.9040921342808135)
my_kaggle_bert
                          : (0.848575508261372, 0.9621540762902019, 0.9053647922757869)
====== religions ======
                              smallest ratio | largest ratio | Average
                          : (0.8755364806866953, 0.9623917945733618, 0.9189641376300286)
cnn
roberta-base-unbiased
                          : (0.875036459852494, 0.9894346087949745, 0.9322355343237343)
roberta-base-unbiased-small: (0.8248910675381262, 0.9124331550802138, 0.86866211130917)
                         : (0.9047210300429184, 0.9861146175208281, 0.9454178237818732)
kaggle bert
my_kaggle_bert
                          : (0.9375, 0.9737124463519312, 0.9556062231759657)
====== races ======
                              smallest ratio | largest ratio |
                                                                     Average
                          : (0.96448087431694, 0.96448087431694, 0.96448087431694)
cnn
roberta-base-unbiased : (0.9754498949483876, 0.9754498949483876)
roberta-base-unbiased-small: (0.9539218403547671, 0.9539218403547671, 0.9539218403547671)
                         : (0.9685975609756098, 0.9685975609756098, 0.9685975609756098)
kaggle_bert
my_kaggle_bert
                          : (0.9874225227400789, 0.9874225227400789, 0.9874225227400789)
```

# Tradeoff between Accuracy and Fairness

Concerning accuracy: (checkout tradeoff.ipynb)

cnn\_auc : 0.8479

• my\_bert\_auc: **0.90998** 

Our model achieved both better final fairness score AND accuracy!

# Reflection

- Advantage: BERT is a very powerful "Encoder" that extract meaningful embeddings from plain text and get contextual understanding of a sentence. That's why it performs better than the baseline CNN.
- Disadvantage: BERT is a complex model that requires significant computational resources for training and inference. It has a large number of parameters, which can make it slower and more resource-intensive compared to simpler models like CNN.

## Conclusion

We finetune a BERT model on the comment dataset labeled with toxicity, and acheived:

- 1. A better final score (0.935), and by ensembling, acheived 0.9403,
- 2. More "fair" prediction in terms of Equalized Opportunity,
- 3. A higher accuracy (0.90998) than the benchmark model.

Our detailed implementation, experiment and result analysis demonstrated our efforts, and the comparison with 5 models in total showcased the capability of our model.

# Reference

- https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.04805
- https://huggingface.co/google-bert/bert-base-uncased
- https://github.com/unitaryai/detoxify
- https://www.kaggle.com/code/yuval6967/toxic-bert-plain-vanila/notebook
- https://www.kaggle.com/code/abhishek/pytorch-bert-inference/notebook
- https://www.kaggle.com/code/christofhenkel/loading-bert-using-pytorch-with-tokenizer-apex