# CS 181 Spring 2017 Section 1 Notes (Linear Regression)

## 1 Maximum Likelihood and Least Squares Regression

#### 1.1 Linear Regression

The simplest model for regression involves a linear combination of the input variables:

$$h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + \ldots + w_m x_m = \sum_{j=1}^m w_j x_j = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$
 (1)

where  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $j \in \{1, ..., m\}$  are the features,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the weight parameter, with  $w_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  being the bias parameter. (Recall the trick of letting  $x_1 = 1$  to merge bias.)

### 1.2 Least squares Loss Function

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i \right)^2$$
 (2)

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})$$
(3)

where  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ , where each row is one data point (i.e. one feature vector) and each column represents values of a given feature across all the data points.

Exercise: derive  $\mathbf{w}^*$  for linear regression using non-matrix form and matrix form differentiation.

#### 1.3 Regularized Least Squares

To penalize complexity, we add a regularization term to the error function. The total error function becomes:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w}$$
 (4)

This is known as *Ridge* regression.

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\lambda \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y}$$
 (5)

Exercise: derive  $\mathbf{w}^*$  for Lasso and Ridge regression using non-matrix form and matrix form differentiation.

#### 1.4 Linear Basis Function Regression

We allow  $h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$  to be a non-linear function of the input vector  $\mathbf{x}$ , while remaining linear in  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ :

$$h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\top} \phi(\mathbf{x})$$
 (6)

where  $\phi_j(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d$  denotes the jth term of  $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ . To merge bias, we define  $\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ .

## 2 Practice Questions

#### 1. MLE Estimate of the Bias Term (Bishop (3.19))

Let  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  be our design matrix,  $\mathbf{y}$  our vector of n target values,  $\mathbf{w}$  our vector of m-1 parameters, and  $w_0$  our bias parameter. As Bishop notes in (3.18), the least squares error function of  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $w_0$  can be written as follows

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, w_0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_i - w_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} w_j X_{ij} \right)^2.$$

Show that the value of  $w_0$  that minimizes  $\mathcal{L}$  is

$$w_0^* = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} w_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( \mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{1} - \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i \right)$$
 [compare Bishop (3.19)]

and justify the result intuitively.

#### 2. Maximum Likelihood for the Gaussian (Sequential Estimation of Parameters)

(a) We are given a data set  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$  where each observation is drawn independently from a multivariate Gaussian distribution:

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{|(2\pi)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$
(7)

where  $\mu$  is a m-dimensional mean vector,  $\Sigma$  is a m by m covariance matrix, and  $|\Sigma|$  denotes the determinant of  $\Sigma$ .

Find the maximum likelihood value of the mean,  $\mu_{MLE}$ .

(b) Let  $\mu_{MLE}^{(n)}$  denote the maximum likelihood estimator of the mean based on n observations. Show that

$$\mu_{MLE}^{(n)} = \mu_{MLE}^{(n-1)} + \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_{MLE}^{(n-1)})$$
(8)

#### 3. OLS on Augmented Data (HTF 3.12 & MIT 6.867 Fall '12 Recitation Problems)

Let  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  be our design matrix and  $\mathbf{y}$  be our vector of n target values. Assume  $\mathbf{X}$  and y are both centered, that is assume the mean of each row is 0. Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  be the (n+m) by m matrix formed by vertically stacking  $\mathbf{X}$  on top of  $\sqrt{\lambda}\mathbf{I}$ , and let  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  be the (n+m)-length vector formed by vertically stacking  $\mathbf{y}$  on top of a vector of m zeros.

That is, let 
$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{n1} & \cdots & X_{nm} \\ \sqrt{\lambda} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $\tilde{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(a) Show that the least squares error function induced by viewing  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  as our design matrix and  $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$  as our target values can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_i - \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_i \right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{w}$$

(b) Why is this cool?