CS 181 Spring 2018 Section 1 Notes
(Linear Regression)

Maximum Likelihood and Least Squares Regression

Linear Regression

The simplest model for regression involves a linear combination of the input variables:

$$h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + \ldots + w_m x_m = \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j x_j = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} \tag{1}$$

where $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ are the features, $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the weight parameter, with $w_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ being the bias parameter. (Recall the trick of letting $x_1 = 1$ to merge bias.)

Least squares Loss Function

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i \right)^2 \tag{2}$$

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{y} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, where each row is one data point (i.e. one feature vector) and each column represents values of a given feature across all the data points.

Exercise: derive $\mathbf{w}^*$ for linear regression using non-matrix form and matrix form differentiation.

Regularized Least Squares

To penalize complexity, we add a regularization term to the error function. The total error function becomes:

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i\right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\mathbf{w}^\top\mathbf{w} \tag{4}$$

This is known as *Ridge* regression.

$$\mathbf{w}^* = (\lambda\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^\top\mathbf{y} \tag{5}$$

Exercise: derive $\mathbf{w}^*$ for Lasso and Ridge regression using non-matrix form and matrix form differentiation.

Linear Basis Function Regression

We allow $h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w})$ to be a non-linear function of the input vector $\mathbf{x}$, while remaining linear in $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$h(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \phi(\mathbf{x}) \tag{6}$$

where $\phi_j(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the jth term of $\phi(\mathbf{x})$. To merge bias, we define $\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = 1$.

Practice Questions

1. **MLE Estimate of the Bias Term (Bishop (3.19))**

Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ be our design matrix, $\mathbf{y}$ our vector of n target values, $\mathbf{w}$ our vector of $m-1$ parameters, and w_0 our bias parameter. As Bishop notes in (3.18), the least squares error function of $\mathbf{w}$ and w_0 can be written as follows

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}, w_0) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i - w_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} w_j X_{ij} \right)^2 .$$

Show that the value of w_0 that minimizes $\mathcal{L}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} w_0^* &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} w_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ij} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathbf{y}^\top \mathbf{1} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i \right) \qquad \text{[compare Bishop (3.19)]} \end{aligned}$$

and justify the result intuitively.

2. **Maximum Likelihood for the Gaussian (Sequential Estimation of Parameters)**

(a) We are given a data set $(\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ where each observation is drawn independently from a multivariate Gaussian distribution:

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{|(2\pi)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})\right) \tag{7}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is a m-dimensional mean vector, $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is a m by m covariance matrix, and $|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|$ denotes the determinant of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$.

Find the maximum likelihood value of the mean, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{MLE}$.

(b) Let $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{MLE}^{(n)}$ denote the maximum likelihood estimator of the mean based on n observations. Show that

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{MLE}^{(n)} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_{MLE}^{(n-1)} + \frac{1}{n}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{MLE}^{(n-1)}) \tag{8}$$

3. **OLS on Augmented Data (HTF 3.12 & MIT 6.867 Fall '12 Recitation Problems)**

Let $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ be our design matrix and $\mathbf{y}$ be our vector of n target values. Assume $\mathbf{X}$ and y are both centered, that is assume the mean of each row is 0. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ be the $(n+m)$ by m matrix formed by vertically stacking $\mathbf{X}$ on top of $\sqrt{\lambda}\mathbf{I}$, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ be the $(n+m)$-length vector formed by vertically stacking $\mathbf{y}$ on top of a vector of m zeros.

That is, let $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{n1} & \cdots & X_{nm} \\ \sqrt{\lambda} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \sqrt{\lambda} \end{bmatrix}$ and $\tilde{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

(a) Show that the least squares error function induced by viewing $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ as our design matrix and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}$ as our target values can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_i - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}_i\right)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{w}$$

(b) Why is this cool?