Steps

$$1 - \begin{cases} N_{t+h,i,c} &= \frac{e^{r_i(T)}N_{t,i,c}}{1 + \sum_{j} \alpha_{ij}N_{t,j,c}} - lN_{t,i,c} \\ N_{t+h,i,o} &= \frac{e^{r_i(T)}N_{t,i,o}}{1 + kc_{2o}\sum_{j} \alpha_{ij}N_{t,j,o}} - lN_{t,i,o} \\ N_{t+h,i,b} &= N_{t,i,b}(1 - m - \zeta) \end{cases}$$

$$2 - \begin{cases} N_{t+1,i,c} &= N_{t+h,i,c}(1 - s_i - e) + \gamma N_{t+h,i,b} + eN_{t+h,i,o} \\ N_{t+1,i,o} &= N_{t+h,i,o}(1 - s_i - e) + eN_{t+h,i,c} \\ N_{t+1,i,b} &= N_{t+h,i,b}(1 - \gamma) + s_iN_{t+h,i,c} \end{cases}$$

Param	Name	Value (unit)
$N_{t,i,c/o/b}$	Abundances of species i at time t (coast, ocean, benthos)	NA (Number of cells)
T	temperature	NA (K)
$r_i(T)$	maximum growth rate of species i	NA
$\alpha_{ij,c/o}$	interaction strength of species j on i	Adapted from Picoche&Barraquand 2020
k_{c2o}	conversion coefficient from coastal to oceanic interactions	1.5 [arbitrary]
s_i	sinking rate of species i	$\{0.1; 0.3; 0.5\}\beta(0.55, 1.25)$
e	exchange rate between ocean and coast	$\{0.4 ; 0.6 ; 1\}$
l	loss of vegetative phytoplankton (predation, mortality)	0.04
\overline{m}	cyst mortality	$\approx 10^{-4}/10^{-5}$
ζ	cyst burial	$\{0.01 ; 0.1 ; 0.3\}$
γ	germination \times resuspension rate of species	$\{0.1;0.01;0.001\} * \{10^{-5},0.1\}$

Table 1: Definition of main state variables and parameters of the model. Fixed values or distributions are estimated from the literature. When a set or a range of values is given, the sensitivity of the model related to changes in parameters has been assessed.

Saturating interactions

$$N_{t+h,i,c} = \frac{e^{r_i(T)} N_{t,i,c}}{1 + \sum_{i} \alpha_{ij} N_{t,j,c}} - l N_{t,i,c}$$

There are both competitive $(\alpha_{ij}>0)$ and facilitative $(\alpha_{ij}<0)$ interactions, which means that the denominator $1+\sum_j \alpha_{ij}N_{t,j,c}$ can be very close to 0, or negative, thus leading to unrealistic growth rate values. Ignoring possible overyielding, we should have $\sum \alpha_{ij}N_{t,j,c} \geq 0$, as $e^{r_i(T)}$ is considered a maximum growth rate in ideal conditions.

For now, coefficients are directly adapted from the MAR model (exact equivalence).

We consider saturating interactions, for both competition and facilitation. I did not find direct formulations of such feature in a Beverton-Holt growth model. My only idea is loosely based on the Unique Interaction Model of Qian and Akçay (2020) who however use a continuous-time, linear model. I only added a saturating competition in addition to saturating facilitation:

$$N_{t+h,i,c} = \frac{e^{r_i(T)} N_{t,i,c}}{1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_{ij} N_{t,j,c}}{H_C + N_{t,j,c}} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_{ij} N_{t,j,c}}{H_F + N_{t,j,c}}} - l N_{t,i,c}$$

where \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{F} are the sets of competitive and facilitative interactions.

Jacobian ($\alpha = \alpha_{ij}$)

We can try and start with a simplified version of the model, without the loss term.

$$N_{t+1,i} = \frac{e^{r_i} N_{t,i}}{1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_{ij} N_{t,j}}{H_C + N_{t,j}} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_{ij} N_{t,j}}{H_F + N_{t,j}}}$$

Let's have $n_{t,i} = \log(N_t)$.

$$n_{t+1,i,c} = r_i + n_{t,i} - \log(1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_{ij} N_{t,j}}{H_C + N_{t,j}} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_{ij} N_{t,j}}{H_F + N_{t,j}})$$

We assume that there is an equilibrium for $N_i = e^{n_i}$ (we just remove the time subscript) and we have $X_i = \log(1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_C + N_j} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_F + N_j})$. We want to compute $\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial n_i}$ and $\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial n_j}$.

$$\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial n_i} = \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial N_i} \frac{\partial N_i}{\partial n_i} = \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial N_i} e^{n_i}$$

Let's take it step by step: $X_i = \log(u(N_i))$ and $u(N_i) = 1 + \sum_j \frac{f_i(N_j)}{g_*(N_j)}$ where $g_*(N_j)$ can be either $H_C + N_j$ or $H_F + N_j$. The sum on j can be simplified by the derivation:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial N_j} = \partial \frac{\alpha_{ij} N_j}{H_{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} + N_j} \frac{1}{\partial N_j} = \frac{a_{ij} (H_{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} + N_j) - a_{ij} N_j}{(H_{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} + N_j)^2} = \frac{a_{ij}}{(H_{\boldsymbol{\cdot}} + N_j)^2}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial n_j} = \frac{a_{ij}H.}{(H. + N_i)2} \frac{N_j}{\left(1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_C + N_i} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_E + N_i}\right)}$$

If we have b_{ij} the MAR coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} b_{ii} - 1 = & \frac{a_{ii}H_C}{(H_C + N_i)2} \frac{N_i}{\left(1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_C + N_j} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_F + N_j}\right)} \\ b_{ij,i \neq j} = & \begin{cases} \frac{a_{ij}H_C}{(H_C + N_j)2} \frac{N_j}{\left(1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_C + N_j} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_F + N_j}\right)} \\ \frac{a_{ij}H_F}{(H_F + N_j)2} \frac{N_j}{\left(1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_C + N_j} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_{ij}N_j}{H_F + N_j}\right)} \end{cases} \quad \forall a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$$

Alternative $(H = H_{ij})$

According to FB:

$$N_{t+1,i} = \frac{e^{r_i} N_{t,i}}{1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_C N_{t,j}}{H_{i,i} + N_{t,i}} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_M N_{t,j}}{H_{i,i} + N_{t,i}}}$$

How to choose α_C et α_M ?

$$\alpha_C, \alpha_M$$
 so that $\forall t, 1 + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\alpha_C N_{t,j}}{H_{ij} + N_{t,j}} + \sum_{j/\alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_M N_{t,j}}{H_{ij} + N_{t,j}} > \exp(r_{i,max}(T))$

To compute α_C , compute $b_{i,max} = -\sum_{j,j\neq i} |b_{ij}| N_j$ and translate into α_C (with classical BH formula? or with saturating interactions (see equations above)? With LV model? Using $\overline{N_j}$, $N_{j,max}$?) (or should we first compute α_{ij} and then $\alpha_C = \sum_{j,j\neq i} |\alpha_{ij}| N_j$.

And use $H_{ij} = \frac{\alpha_C}{\alpha_{ij}}$?

Note from CP:

- I don't think dimensions match.
- Wouldn't $\sum \frac{\alpha_C N_{j,max}}{H_{ij} + N_{j,max}} >> \sum_{\in \mathbb{F}} \frac{\alpha_M N_{j,max}}{H_{ij} + N_{j,max}}$ be enough?