# Projet Cpp Maths

julien.g.baudin@gmail.com

November 2019

## Introduction

We work with the Black-Scholes Partial Differential Equation to which we apply the variable change x=log(S):

$$0 = -\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x,t) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x,t) + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,t) + rf(x,t)$$
 (1)

With the final condition  $f(x,T) = V(x) = V(e^S)$  where V is the payoff.

Starting from the option value at maturity, a common method to solve this PDE and retrieve the price of the option today is to use finite differences to approximate the derivatives on a discrete mesh. We are going to solve the Crank-Nicholson scheme which merges both implicit and explicit methods to combine their properties.

## Working out the maths

## Solving the problem

First, let us define:

$$L_i^n = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x,t) + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,t) + rf(x,t)$$
 (2)

The Delta Greek equals:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_i, t_n) = \frac{f_{i+1}^n - f_{i-1}^n}{2dx} \tag{3}$$

The Gamma Greek equals:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x_i, t_n) = \frac{f_{i+1}^n + f_{i-1}^n - 2f_i^n}{dx^2}$$
 (4)

Then, the Theta Greek equals:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x_i, t_n) = \frac{f_i^{n+1} + f_i^n}{dt} \tag{5}$$

For each step on the discrete mesh, solving the Crank Nicholson scheme comes to solve the following equation:

$$\frac{f_i^{n+1} - f_i^n}{dt} = \theta L_i^n + (1 - \theta) L_i^{n+1}$$
 (6)

Where dt is the time step on the mesh, index n stands for the time step. Index i stands for the price step for the underlying asset, and dx is the price step on the mesh.  $\theta$  is an input defining the contribution of both implicit and explicit schemes to the Crank-Nicholson one.

Substituting equations 2, 3 and 4 into 6, one can obtain:

$$f_{i}^{n+1} - f_{i}^{n} = dt\theta\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\frac{f_{i+1}^{n} + f_{i-1}^{n} - 2f_{i}^{n}}{dx^{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2} - r\right)\frac{f_{i+1}^{n} - f_{i-1}^{n}}{2dx} + rf_{i}^{n}\right) + dt(1 - \theta)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}\frac{f_{i+1}^{n+1} + f_{i-1}^{n+1} - 2f_{i}^{n+1}}{dx^{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2} - r\right)\frac{f_{i+1}^{n+1} - f_{i-1}^{n+1}}{2dx} + rf_{i}^{n+1}\right)$$

$$(7)$$

After reorganising the right side of equation 7, let us denote:

$$A_{i}^{n} = f_{i+1}^{n} \left( dt \theta \left( \frac{-\sigma^{2}}{2dx^{2}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{4dx^{2}} - \frac{r}{2dx} \right) + f_{i-1}^{n} \left( dt \theta \left( \frac{-\sigma^{2}}{2dx^{2}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{4dx^{2}} + \frac{r}{2dx} \right) + f_{i}^{n} \left( dt \theta \left( \frac{\sigma^{2}}{dx^{2}} + r \right) \right)$$
(8)

$$A_i^{n+1} = f_{i+1}^n (dt(1-\theta)(\frac{-\sigma^2}{2dx^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4dx^2} - \frac{r}{2dx})) + f_{i-1}^n (dt(1-\theta)(\frac{-\sigma^2}{2dx^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4dx^2} + \frac{r}{2dx})) + f_i^n (dt(1-\theta)(\frac{\sigma^2}{dx^2} + r))$$
(9)

Let us denote:

$$\alpha^{n} = dt\theta \left(\frac{-\sigma^{2}}{2dx^{2}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{4dx^{2}} - \frac{r}{2dx}\right)$$
$$\beta^{n} = dt\theta \left(\frac{-\sigma^{2}}{2dx^{2}} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{4dx^{2}} + \frac{r}{2dx}\right)$$
$$\gamma^{n} = dt\theta \left(\frac{\sigma^{2}}{dx^{2}} + r\right)$$

$$\alpha^{n+1} = dt(1-\theta)(\frac{-\sigma^2}{2dx^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4dx^2} - \frac{r}{2dx})$$
$$\beta^{n+1} = dt(1-\theta)(\frac{-\sigma^2}{2dx^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{4dx^2} + \frac{r}{2dx})$$
$$\gamma^{n+1} = dt(1-\theta)(\frac{\sigma^2}{dx^2} + r)$$

One can rewrite equation 6:

$$A_i^n + f_i^n = f_i^{n+1} - A_i^{n+1} (10)$$

Substituting  $A^n$  and  $A^{n+1}$  yields:

$$f_{i+1}^n \alpha^n + f_{i-1}^n \beta^n + f_i^n \gamma^n + f_i^n = f_i^{n+1} - (f_{i+1}^{n+1} \alpha^{n+1} + f_{i-1}^{n+1} \beta^{n+1} + f_i^{n+1} \gamma^{n+1})$$
 (11)

Simplifying equation 11, one can obtain:

$$f_{i+1}^{n}\alpha^{n} + f_{i-1}^{n}\beta^{n} + f_{i}^{n}(\gamma^{n} + 1) = f_{i+1}^{n+1}(-\alpha^{n+1}) + f_{i-1}^{n+1}(-\beta^{n+1}) + f_{i}^{n+1}(1 - \gamma^{n+1})$$
(12)

Which implies we must solve the following matrix system to find  $f_i^n$  for all  $i \in \{0, 1, ..., N\}$ :

For the points on the mesh border, we need to set boundary conditions. One can either set values of f at the boundaries, or can impose values for the derivatives. The former approach is called Dirichlet conditions, the latter is the Neumann conditions.

### **Dirichlet Boundary Conditions**

We impose values to  $f_0^n$  and  $f_N^n \, \forall n \in \{0, 1, ..., T\}$ . Let us say  $f_0^n = l$  and  $f_0^n = h$ , substituting in equation 13 yields:

It remains to isolate the unknown vector  $X^n$  to solve this system of linear equations:

$$X^{n} = A^{-1} \left( B X^{n+1} + C^{n+1} - C^{n} \right)$$

$$(N-1) \times (N-1) \left( (N-1) \times (N-1)(N-1) \times 1 + (N-1) \times 1 - (N-1) \times 1 \right)$$

$$(16)$$

## **Neumann Boundary Conditions**

With Neumann conditions, we impose values for the first and second-order derivatives for all n in  $\{0, 1, ..., T\}$ .

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0, t_n) = k_1$$

(17)

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_N, t_n) = k_3$$

(18)

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x_0, t_n) = k_2 \tag{19}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x_N, t_n) = k_4$$

(20)

Then, for  $f_0^n$ , substituting constants  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  into equation 6, one obtains:

$$f_0^{n+1} - f_0^n = dt\theta(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k_1 + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)k_2 + rf_0^n + dt(1-\theta)(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k_1 + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)k_2 + rf_0^{n+1})$$
(21)

with equation 21, one obtains:

$$f_0^n = \frac{f_0^{n+1} - dt\theta(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2k_1 + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)k_2) - dt(1-\theta)(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2k_1 + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)k_2 + rf_0^{n+1})}{(1+dt\theta r)}$$
(22)

$$f_0^n = \frac{f_0^{n+1} - dt(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k_1 + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)k_2 + (1-\theta)rf_0^{n+1})}{(1 + dt\theta r)}$$
(23)

Same idea with  $f_N^n$ , replacing derivatives by constants  $k_3$  and  $k_4$ , it yields:

$$f_N^{n+1} - f_N^n = dt\theta(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k_3 + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)k_4 + rf_N^n + dt(1-\theta)(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k_3 + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)k_4 + rf_N^{n+1})$$
(24)

Which leads to the same type of result:

$$f_N^n = \frac{f_N^{n+1} - dt(-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 k_3 + (\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 - r)k_4 + (1-\theta)rf_0^{n+1})}{(1+dt\theta r)}$$
(25)

We can now substitute equations 23 and 25 into equation 13 and solve this matrix system just like we did in equation 16.

## Determination of the greeks

Now that we have computed the option value at each point of the grid (in particular at time t=0 and t=1), we can compute an approximation of the option greeks at time t=0 using the following formulas:

### • Delta of the option (central difference approximation):

The use of the central difference approximation is more accurate than either the forward or backward difference. In particular, its error is  $\mathcal{O}(dx^2)$ .

$$\forall i \in \{1, ..., N-1\}, \delta_i^0 = \frac{f_{i+1}^0 - f_{i-1}^0}{2dx}$$

#### • Gamma of the option (central difference approximation):

The error in this approximation is also  $\mathcal{O}(dx^2)$ .

$$\forall i \in \{1, ..., N-1\}, \gamma_i^0 = \frac{f_{i+1}^0 - 2f_i^0 + f_{i-1}^0}{dx^2}$$

#### • Theta of the option (forward difference approximation):

The forward difference approximation is the usual approximation of theta in the Crank-Nicolson scheme.

$$\forall i \in \{1, ..., N-1\}, \theta_i^0 = \frac{f_i^1 - f_i^0}{dt}$$

#### • Management of the boundary conditions:

- In the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions, the user inputs constant boundary values. Thus we consider a null value for the derivative of the option price on each point of these boundaries. We then assume a null Delta, Gamma and Theta on these boundaries.
- In the case of Neumann boundary conditions, the user inputs K1, K2, K3, K4 which represent the first and second derivative of the option price with respect to x on each point of the boundaries. We then simply consider these values as Delta and Gamma on the boundaries. On the other hand, we compute theta on the boundaries with a forward difference approximation formula, as if it was a regular point of the grid.

#### • Approach for computing the Vega of the option:

Let's consider the value of the option at time 0 for a given value i of the underlying:  $f_i^0$ . A simple approach to compute the Vega of the option would then be to compare its value obtained with a volatility  $\sigma$  and its value obtained with a volatility  $\sigma + \epsilon$ .  $\epsilon$  represents the level of precision of our computation. Then we could obtain the Vega of the option using the following formula:

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \forall i \in \{0, ..., N\}, \vartheta_i^0 = \frac{f_{i+1}^0(\sigma + \epsilon) - f_i^0(\sigma)}{\epsilon}$$