Efficacy and safety of COVID-19 vaccines in older people

Roy L Soiza^{1,2}

Chiara Scicluna^{1,2}

Emma C. Thomson³

Affiliations:

- 1) NHS Grampian, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Aberdeen, UK
- 2) Ageing Clinical & Experimental Research (ACER) Group, University of Aberdeen
- 3) MRC- University of Glasgow Centre for Virus Research

Corresponding author:

Dr Roy L Soiza, c/o Ward 105, Aberdeen Royal Infirmary, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD; roy.soiza@nhs.scot

Declaration of Sources of Funding: None

Declaration of Conflicts of interest: RLS is a principal investigator in the Novavax COVID-19 vaccine trial. CS is a sub-investigator in the Novavax COVID-19 vaccine trial. ECT has no conflicts of interest to declare. Views expressed are the authors' own.

Keywords: Coronavirus, SARS-CoV-2, older people, geriatrics, vaccine

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Geriatrics Society. All rights reserved. For permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

Key points:

- Several vaccine candidates have been developed based on novel and traditional vaccine development models.
- COVID vaccine trials have generally excluded care home residents and frail older people, despite them being ear-marked as the earliest recipients in any national vaccination programme.
- Most trials show mild to moderate severity adverse events are common and self-limiting but less prevalent in older people. Serious adverse events are very rare.
- Phase II trials for many vaccines show good antibody responses.
- Unpublished Phase III analyses suggest high efficacy.

Abstract

Several vaccines against COVID-19 are on the cusp of regulatory approval. Their safety and efficacy in older people is critical to their success. Though care home residents and older people are likely to be amongst the first to be vaccinated, these patient groups are usually excluded from clinical trials. Data from several Phase II trials have given cause for optimism, with strong antibody responses and reassuring safety profiles but, with the exception of AstraZeneca's vaccine, recruited few older people. Overall, the sparse data from Phase II trials suggest a reduction in both antibody responses and mild to moderate adverse events in well older people compared to younger participants. Many of the Phase III trials have made a conscious effort to recruit more older people, and interim analyses of the Pfizer and Moderna vaccine have led to press releases announcing high degrees of efficacy. However, older people with co-morbidities and frailty have once again been largely excluded and there are no published data on safety and efficacy in this group. Although the speed and impact of the pandemic on older people with frailty justify an approach where they are offered vaccination first, patients and their carers and supervising health care professionals alike will need to make a decision on accepting vaccination based on limited evidence. Here we review the main candidate vaccines that may become available, with a focus on the evidence of safety and efficacy in older people.

As the world prepares for a mass roll-out of newly approved COVID-19 vaccines, older people with frailty are taking centre stage. Recognising that this group had borne the brunt of the pandemic, with most excess deaths occurring in the oldest age groups [1], the UK government's Vaccine Task Force strategy focussed on vaccines expected to elicit a good immune response in older people, stating it was 'essential' that they worked in this age group [2]. Residents in care homes and older people with co-morbidities are likely to be among the first to be vaccinated. But what is the evidence that the vaccines are safe and effective in this population? Here we briefly review the main candidate vaccines, with a focus on the evidence of their safety and efficacy and its relevance to the older population.

A summary of the main COVID-19 vaccines being developed for potential use is shown in Table 1. They differ in their mechanism of action, which may be of relevance to their safety and efficacy in older people.

mRNA vaccines

Two of the vaccines reporting the earliest Phase III results, manufactured by Pfizer and Moderna, are novel mRNA vaccines. Both reported initial results suggesting efficacies in excess of 90%. They work by injecting mRNA encoding the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein directly into the host. Although pure mRNA is rapidly downgraded, a number of technological advances in delivery methods and RNA carriers over the last decade allow efficient and safe uptake of mRNA into the cytosol, where ribosomes then translate the mRNA to produce a viable protein that can then stimulate an immune response. This technology has a number of theoretical advantages over more conventional vaccine types, including improved safety (as no infectious agents are involved in their production), low potential for mutations, lower risk of antigen degradation in vivo, and the potential for rapid mass production at lower cost, as in vitro reactions can rapidly generate high yields of the therapeutic agent [3]. However, little is known about the efficacy and safety of mRNA vaccines in older people, especially at the extremes of old age and in those with frailty. A phase I study of the Moderna vaccine in 'older adults' published in the New England Journal of Medicine received considerable media attention after it found antibody responses were similar to those seen in younger people [4]. However, this study included only 40 healthy people aged 58 or over, so its relevance to older people with frailty is unclear. Self-limiting mild to moderate adverse events were common, with all 20 participants aged 71 or over (mean age 72.6y) reporting local side effects such as pain at the injection site and 80% reporting systemic symptoms such as lethargy. Over 25% (around 8000) participants of the Moderna phase III study are aged 65 or over and a similar proportion have chronic diseases, so the evidence base will improve once full interim results are published. For the Pfizer vaccine, published data on older participants are even more sparse. However, a press release from Pfizer claimed over 95% efficacy in their over 65 age group (but with no supporting details or figures) [5] and over 40% of participants in their Phase III trial are aged between 56 and 84.

Genetically modified organism (virus vector) vaccines

The vaccines developed by both the University of Oxford/AstraZeneca (ChAdOx1) and Janssen (Ad26.COV2); frequently referred to as the Johnson & Johnson vaccine, particularly in the US media) rely on the genetic modification of adenoviruses that are inactivated due to deletion of the E1 gene which is replaced with the spike gene. The Janssen Ad26.COV2 vaccine is based on a human adenovirus while the Oxford vaccine is based on a chimpanzee (ChAdOx1) adenovirus, both of which

are replication defective. The choice of a chimpanzee adenovirus in the Oxford design was to reduce the impact of human adenovirus antibodies acquired through natural exposure to human adenoviruses over time – a factor likely to be more important in older patients. Spike protein is expressed on the virus particle surface, triggering both antibody and T cell responses that may be protective against COVID-19. Use of genetically modified organisms as vaccines dates back to the early 1980s [6] and has the advantage that the safety of the adenovirus vector at low doses is well established and likely to be transferable to new vaccines, though the vector has never been used in large numbers of older people with frailty. Janssen's phase II trial included just 15 participants aged 65 and over, with rates of adverse events lower (36%) than in younger people (64%) [7]. More robust Phase II safety data have been published for the AstraZeneca vaccine, including 200 people aged 70 or over without severe comorbidities or frailty [8]. The vaccine was safe and well tolerated, with neutralising antibodies developing in almost 100% of participants at 28 days follow-up across all age groups. There were no serious or unexpected adverse events and, consistent with the findings for the Janssen study, the incidence of mild and moderate severity adverse events in the immediate post-vaccination period was lower in the older age groups. Both the AstraZeneca and Janssen vaccines are currently undergoing Phase III testing in the UK as part of international trials. Early results from the AstraZeneca vaccine suggested the vaccine averaged 70% efficacy overall. Of note, adenovirus vectored vaccines have also been developed and tested in China (Cansino Biological) and Russia (Gamileya Research Institute). Cansino's vaccine elicited neutralising antibody and T-cell mediated responses in a dose-dependent manner with lower levels in those aged over 55. Gamileya reported in a press release its Sputnik vaccine was 92% effective, but this analysis was based on only 20 positive cases and no age breakdown for the trial has been provided to date.

Adjuvanted protein vaccines

A more traditional approach to vaccine development is the use of purified protein extracts from the offending organism, usually given in combination with an adjuvant to boost the immune response. Both the Novavax and GSK/Sanofi vaccines consist of purified pre-fusion stabilised SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, harvested from genetically modified viruses, akin to those described above. Novavax has staged its first phase III study of 15000 people exclusively in the UK, with another planned in the USA due to start before the end of the year. Although the trials will recruit a minimum of 25% of people aged 65 and over, those aged 85 or over and those with complex comorbidity are excluded. Phase II data for older people have not been published to date – in younger people, much like the other candidate vaccines, a strong antibody response was observed with self-limiting mild to moderate local and systemic side effects observed in over a third of recipients [9]. The GSK/Sanofi candidate vaccine entered phase I/II trials in September, recruiting 400 healthy participants from the USA and is yet to report any results despite plans to start Phase III testing in December 2020. Therefore, published safety and efficacy data for adjuvanted protein vaccines in older people are currently minimal, though initial results from ongoing studies are expected imminently.

Live-attenuated and inactivated virus vaccines

Traditional vaccines have often involved live-attenuated or inactivated organisms. The principal advantage of such a vaccine is that the similarity to the natural infection may makes a stronger and longer-lasting immune response more likely. No one knows how long immunity lasts after infection with SARS-CoV-2, though the very few cases of confirmed re-infection since the start of the

pandemic suggests a high level of immunity is conferred for a minimum of one year and possibly much longer. However, live vaccines may be risky in those with immunosuppression and frail immune systems, potentially including those at highest risk of COVID-19 such as older people with frailty. Consequently, there are few live-attenuated vaccines in development. A safer alternative may be to develop inactivated viruses, though these generally confer less long-acting immunity and typically require regular boosters [6,10]. Valneva has developed an inactivated SARS CoV2 virus that is ready for testing in Phase I/II trials prior to commencing Phase III in early 2021. China has also developed two inactivated virus vaccines showing promising antibody responses and low adverse events, with both lower in older age groups but only up to the age of 59. No data are yet available from Phase III studies or their Phase I/II study in people aged over 60.

Generalisability to older people with frailty

The efficacy of vaccines in general in older people is not well studied [11]. Typically, surrogate markers of efficacy measures are antibody titres, antibody isotypes and the ability of the immune system to neutralise pathogens. Immunosenescence is a broad term used to encompass declining immunity with age, encompassing both quantitative and qualitative aspects of immune system responses that are likely to impact on the observed safety and efficacy profile of vaccines. With advancing age there is a reduction in naive T cells available to respond to a vaccine. The normal ratio of CD4:CD8 cells becomes much higher in older age, due to a significant decrease in CD8 T cells. Ageing also brings a loss of T cell receptor diversity in both CD8 and CD4 cells, and overall reduced T cell survival. Qualitative changes include the favoured production of short-lived effector T cells over memory precursor cells, resulting in an impaired response of T follicular helper cells to vaccination. Naive T cells are also genetically and phenotypically more alike to central memory T cells than they are in a younger population, impacting their plasticity [11]. B cell numbers remain more consistent with age but, due to a reduced expression of select proteins in old age, fewer functional antibodies are produced [12]. Theoretically therefore, vaccines are likely to be somewhat less effective in older people. Moreover, the relative importance of cellular aspects of the immune response in COVD-19 is unclear, even more so in older people, so antibody levels may not be adequate surrogates for immunity [13]. The impact of immunosenescence on vaccine safety is even more uncertain. Though the risk of serious adverse events mediated by over-activation of the immune system is theoretically lower, this may be offset by increased predisposition to adverse events overall, as this is the hallmark of frailty.

Perspective

In summary, it is likely that a vaccine programme will be rolled out starting with older people with frailty despite scant evidence of efficacy or safety in this group. The rapidly evolving and devastating nature of the pandemic arguably justifies this approach and health officials will want to atone for mistakes made in the first wave, where a policy of discharging hospitalised care home residents with COVID-19 whilst still infectious led to outbreaks and cost lives [14]. The exclusion of older people, particularly those with frailty, from clinical trials of therapeutics that they may most benefit from has been recognised for decades [15]. Although the speed with which vaccines have been developed, tested and rolled out has been rightly widely lauded, it is a pity that some old habits have remained unchanged. The safety and efficacy of COVID-19 vaccines in the population that should most benefit from them may only become apparent after they have been given. No pharmacovigilance studies have been formally proposed or announced. Key information on safety and efficacy may therefore need to be acquired retrospectively through usual regulatory authority surveillance systems and epidemiological studies, though no design can substitute for the information that could have been acquired in more inclusive randomised controlled trials. Even the benefits of annual vaccination of

older people against influenza are unquantified and disputed [16], so the same may occur with COVID-19 vaccination programmes. Some may argue that the inclusion of older people with frailty or complex co-morbidity would slow down development of a working vaccine, as the risk of severe adverse events and pauses to trials increase. However, trials in these populations are possible and judicious application of pause rules and other safety criteria could mitigate against the risk of unnecessary and costly delays. Better engagement between teams working on vaccine trials and those with experience of running trials in older people with frailty is needed to help achieve a closer match between trial and key 'real world' populations.

References:

- Kontopantelis E, Mamas MA, Deanfiled J, Asaria M, Doran T. Excess mortality in England and Wales during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic. J Epidemiol Community Health 2020 (in press) http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech-2020-214764
- 2. Bingham K. The UK Government's Vaccine Taskforce: strategy for protecting the UK and the world. Lancet 2020 (in press) https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32175-9
- 3. Pardi N, Hogan MJ, Porter FW, Weismman D. mRNA vaccines a new era in vaccinology. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2018;17:261-279
- Anderson EJ, Rouphael NG, Widge AT et al for the mRNA-1273 Study Group. Safety and Immunogenicity of SARS-CoV-2 mRNA-1273 Vaccine in Older Adults. NEJM 2020 (in press) https://DOI:10.1056/NEJMoa2028436
- 5. https://www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-release-detail/pfizer-and-biontech-conclude-phase-3-study-covid-19-vaccine [accessed 26th November 2020]
- 6. Small JC, Ertl HJC. Viruses from pathogens to vaccine carriers. Curr Opin Virol 2011;241-245
- 7. Sadoff J, Le Gars M, Shukarev G et al. Safety and immunogenicity of the Ad26.COV2.S COVID-19 vaccine candidate: interim results of a phase 1/2a, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. medRxiv 2020 (preprint published online Sept 25) https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.23.20199604
- 8. Ramasamy MN, Minassian AM, Ewer KJ et al. Safety and immunogenicity of ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine administered in a prime-boost regimen in young and old adults (COV002): a single-blind, randomised, controlled, phase 2/3 trial. Lancet 2020 (in press) https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32466-1
- 9. Keech C, Albert G, Cho I, et al. Phase 1–2 Trial of a SARS-CoV-2 Recombinant Spike Protein Nanoparticle Vaccine. NEJM 2020 (in press) https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2026920
- 10. Le TT, Andreadakis Z, Kumar A, Gomez Roman R, Tollefsen S, Saville M, Mayhew S. The COVID-19 vaccine development landscape. Nat Rev Drug Disc 2020;19:305-306
- 11. Gustafson CE, Chulwoo K, Weyand C, Goronzy JJ. Influence of immune aging on vaccine responses. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020;145:1309-1321
- 12. Frasca D, Diaz A, Romero M et al. The generation of memory B cells is maintained, but the antibody response is not, in the elderly after repeated influenza immunizations. Vaccine 2016;34:2834–40
- 13. Poland GA, Ovsyannikova IG, Kennedy RB. SARS-CoV-2 immunity: review and applications to phase 3 vaccine candidates. Lancet 2020;396:1595-1606
- Amnesty International. As if expendable: The UK Government's failure to protect older people in care homes during the COVID-19 pandemic. www.amnesty.org/download/Documents/EUR4531522020ENGLISH.PDF (downloaded 26th Nov 2020)
- 15. Van Marum RJ. Underrepresentation of the elderly in clinical trials, time for action. BJCP 2020 (in press) https://doi/10.1111/bcp.14539
- 16. Doshi P. Will covid-19 vaccines save lives? Current trials aren't designed to tell us. BMJ 2020;371:m4037

Table 1: Experimental COVID-19 vaccines

	Vaccine	Type	UK stockpile (doses ordered)	Main Phase III Inclusion Criteria	Main Phase III Exclusion Criteria	Comments
_	AstraZeneca AZD 1222	Modified adenovirus	100M	Adults aged 18 or over	Significant other medical condition	Phase II trials in those aged 70-84 show good antibody response and low reactogenecity events. Phase III trial in UK & Brazil showed 70% efficacy
	Novavax NVX- CoV2373	Protein adjuvant	60M	Adults aged 18-84 yr	People aged 85+ Taking anticoagulants or anti-platelets Immunocompromised Chronic neurological diseases	Phase II trials in those aged 65-84 show good antibody response and low reactogenecity events. Phase III trial in UK ongoing — initial results expected Jan 2021
	GSK/Sanofi	Protein adjuvant	60M	Únpublished	Unpublished	Still in Phase I/II. Expected to enter Phase III in early 2021
	Valneva VLA2001	Inactivated live virus	60M	Unpublished	Unpublished	Still in Phase I/II. Expected to enter Phase III by start of 2021.
	Pfizer/BioNTech BNT162	mRNA	40M	Adults aged 18 or over at higher risk of COVID-19	Significant other medical or psychiatric illness	Phase III trial early results show >90% efficacy
	Janssen Ad26.CoV2.S	Modified adenovirus	30M	Adults aged 18 or over	Significant acute or chronic medical condition	Phase III trial ongoing – initial results expected Mar 2021
	Moderna	mRNA	5M	Adults aged	Immunosuppression	Phase III trial

mRNA-1273			18 or over, medically stable		early results show 95% efficacy
Gamaleya GAM-COVID- VAC (Sputnik V)	Modified adenovirus	0	Adults aged 18 or over	Immunosuppression, neoplasms, chronic infections	Phase III trial early results on 20 positive cases suggest 92% efficacy
Cansino Ad5- nCoV	Modified adenovirus	0	Adults aged 18 or over at high risk of COVID-19	Immunosuppression, Any severe co- morbidity	Phase II data showed good antibody response after a single dose, but few over 55s
Sinovac CoronaVac (two versions)	Inactivated live virus	0	Adults aged 18-59	Immunosuppression, poorly controlled chronic disease	Phase I/II study in older people yet to report