

Modeling of SARS-CoV-2 treatment effects for informed drug repurposing

- 1 Charlotte Kern^{1,2,§}, Verena Schöning^{1,§}, Carlos Chaccour³, Felix Hammann^{1,*}
- ¹Clinical Pharmacology and Toxicology, Department of General Internal Medicine, Inselspital, Bern
- 3 University Hospital, University of Bern, Switzerland
- 4 ²Graduate School for Health Sciences, University of Bern, Switzerland
- 5 ³ISGlobal, Hospital Clínic Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain
- 6 §contributed equally
- 7 * Correspondence:
- 8 Felix Hammann
- 9 Felix.Hammann@insel.ch
- 10 **Keywords:** COVID-19; disease modelling; drug repurposing; viral kinetics, pharmacometrics
- 11 Abstract
- 12 Several repurposed drugs are currently under investigation in the fight against coronavirus disease
- 13 2019 (COVID-19). Candidates are often selected solely by their effective concentrations in vitro, an
- approach that has largely not lived up to expectations in COVID-19. Cell lines used in *in vitro*
- experiments are not necessarily representative of lung tissue. Yet, even if the proposed mode of
- action is indeed true, viral dynamics *in vivo*, host response, and concentration-time profiles must also
- be considered. Here we address the latter issue and describe a model of human SARS-CoV-2 viral
- 18 kinetics with acquired immune response to investigate the dynamic impact of timing and dosing
- regimens of hydroxychloroquine, lopinavir/ritonavir, ivermectin, artemisinin, and nitazoxanide.
- We observed greatest benefits when treatments were given immediately at the time of diagnosis.
- 21 Even interventions with minor antiviral effect may reduce host exposure if timed correctly.
- 22 Ivermectin seems to be at least partially effective: given on positivity, peak viral load dropped by 0.3-
- 23 0.6 log units and exposure by 8.8-22.3%. The other drugs had little to no appreciable effect. Given
- 24 how well previous clinical trial results for hydroxychloroquine and lopinavir/ritonavir are explained
- by the models presented here, similar strategies should be considered in future drug candidate
- prioritization efforts.

27 1 Introduction

- 28 Since the beginning of the ongoing global outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus
- 29 2 (SARS-CoV-2) a variety of drug therapies have been proposed. Some are based on expert opinion,
- 30 some on promising *in vitro* results, some on findings in case series from compassionate or off-label
- 31 treatments. Unfortunately, whenever they are put through the rigorous process of randomized clinical
- trials, little evidence for palpable real-world benefits remains.
- Novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) spreads rapidly not only from host to host but within
- each host as well. The infection progresses at a staggering speed in individual patients which may
- become infectious after 2-3 days and reach peak viral loads only a few days after the reverse-



- 36 transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test becomes positive (To et al., 2020). The need
- 37 for early initiation of drug therapy has been recognized as key for successful treatment of infectious
- 38 diseases, and COVID-19 is unlikely to be an exception (Gonçalves et al., 2020).
- 39 The repurposing of drugs with established supply chains and low manufacturing costs seems the
- straightest path towards a timely pharmacological intervention. Because our understanding of the 40
- 41 pathophysiology of COVID-19 is still evolving, the selection of viable candidates is mostly dictated
- 42 by extrapolations from in vitro and in silico evidence. Identified drug targets include the viral
- 43 structural spike (S) protein; the host type 2 transmembrane serine protease (TMPRSS2); 3-
- 44 chymotrypsin-like (3CL) protease mediating proteolysis; RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; and
- 45 interleukin-6 receptors (Arshad et al.; Sanders et al., 2020).
- 46 A basic tenant of clinical pharmacology states that unbound drug must reach its target at sufficient
- 47 concentrations (e.g. half-maximal effective target concentrations (EC₅₀)) and maintain them to exert
- 48 effects. This is a common criterion for drug candidate selection and has been applied to COVID-19
- 49 early on in well-conducted comprehensive surveys (Arshad et al.). Unfortunately, the candidates with
- 50 highest probability of success have largely failed in practice, and it appears the EC₅₀ approach might
- 51 be too simplistic for this disease, as it is not only important whether EC₅₀ is reached, but also for how
- 52 long concentrations (above EC₅₀) can be maintained, especially at the target site.
- 53 One reason may be failure to account for host factors. For instance, a crucial element of treatment
- 54 response is host immunity. There are *in vivo* studies on the temporal dynamics of immune response
- and seroconversion (Long et al., 2020; To et al., 2020; Young et al., 2020). Early suppression of viral 55
- 56 load even for brief periods may be beneficial by providing more time for the host to mount a defense
- 57 and assist in clearing an otherwise overwhelming infection.
- 58 The viral kinetics of several diseases have been successfully described mathematically in the past,
- 59 e.g. influenza, hepatitis C, or Ebola (Beauchemin and Handel, 2011; Canini and Perelson, 2014; Oakes
- 60 et al., 2018). For COVID-19, Kim et al. used a target cell limited model to show the importance of
- 61 early initiation of treatment and drug mode of action (Kim et al., 2020b). Other authors arrive at
- 62 similar results with eclipse models (Czuppon et al., 2020;Gonçalves et al., 2020;Hernandez-Vargas
- 63 and Velasco-Hernandez, 2020). None of these studies however directly used pharmacokinetic
- 64 profiles in their models.
- 65 With this modeling and simulation study we aimed to understand the influence of different modes of
- 66 action, concentration profiles, dosing schedules, and timing of interventions on key parameters of
- 67 viral load (peak load, duration of positivity, and total exposure as measured by area under the curve
- 68 (AUC)) in acute COVID-19. We developed a model of the within-host viral kinetics of SARS-CoV-2
- 69 from published patient data and drove antiviral effect with simulated pharmacokinetic (PK) profiles
- 70 of selected drugs with different dose regimens. These drugs include hydroxychloroquine (HCQ,
- 71 considered a blocker of viral entry), ritonavir-boosted lopinavir (LPV/r, a 3CL inhibitor), ivermectin
- 72 (IVM, a broad spectrum anthelminthic with antiviral activity), nitazoxanide (NZT, an antiparasitic
- 73 agent with antiviral activity), and artemisinin (ART, the primary component of sweet wormwood,
- 74 believed to inhibit viral entry and intracellular reproduction of SARS-CoV-2) (Li et al., 2005; Caly et
- 75 al., 2020; Choy et al., 2020; Gordon et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020a; Wu et al., 2020).
- Our selection was influenced by perceived research interest (HCQ, LPV/r, NZT) and lay use of drugs 76
- 77 in the general public as self-medication (HCQ, IVM, ART) (Martins-Filho et al., 2020; Molento,
- 78 2020; Nordling, 2020; Owens, 2020; WHO, 2020). Although remdesivir has so far shown the greatest

promise, there is currently not enough published data to allow for pharmacometric simulation in the model proposed here, and hence the drug was not included (Beigel et al., 2020).

2 Methods

81

82

94

2.1 Data sources

- Viral kinetic profiles of COVID-19 patients were taken from Young et al. (Young et al., 2020), a
- study that followed the first patients (n=18) in four hospitals in Singapore (Chinese nationals: n=16,
- Singapore residents: n=2). We read out values using a digitizing software. Most (n=13) were not on
- specific therapy and were included in the analysis. Viral load was measured from nasopharyngeal
- 87 swabs with RT-PCR and presented in cycle threshold (Ct) values (Young et al., 2020). As the
- 88 correlation between Ct values and viral load varies by laboratory and analytical conditions, we chose
- to relate model output with observed Ct values with a published regression fit (Chu et al., 2020).
- 90 Since the time of infection was not recorded, this value had to be estimated. Although the incubation
- 91 period varies between patients, an average incubation period of 5 days fitted well for all patients
- 92 (Lauer et al., 2020). We fixed the positivity threshold at 35 cycles, corresponding to $10^{1.58}$ copies/mL
- 93 (Wang et al., 2020b).

2.2 Viral kinetics models

- 95 In the standard target cell limited model, virus particles V infect a pool of susceptible (target) cells T
- with the cellular infection rate β . Infected cells I begin shedding virions at a production rate p (Canini
- and Perelson, 2014). The parameters c and δ determine the rate of clearance of virus and cell death of
- 98 infected cells, respectively. The time-dependent number of susceptible cells (Eq. 1), infected cells
- 99 (Eq. 2) and viral load (Eq. 3) are described by a system of ordinary differential equations as follows:

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = -(1 - \eta)\beta TV \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{dI}{dt} = (1 - \eta)\beta TV - \delta I \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = (1 - \varepsilon)pI - cV \tag{3}$$

- The effects of pharmacological treatments by different modes of action are described by the
- following variables: inhibition of viral entry into susceptible cells, by decreasing the cellular
- infection rate with effectiveness η , and/or by blocking viral production rate within infected cells with
- 106 effectiveness ε. We modeled treatment effect based on the IC₅₀ or EC₅₀ values of the drugs on their
- respective targets using a sigmoidal E_{max} model (Eq. 4), with C(t) being the concentration of the drug
- 108 at a given time:

109
$$\varepsilon \operatorname{or} \eta = \frac{E_{\max} \times C(t)}{EC_{50} + C(t)}$$
 (4)

- We also considered an eclipse model, an extension in which infected cells enter an eclipse phase (E)
- for an average duration k^{-1} until they begin shedding virions. Initial conditions were set as

$$T(0) = T_0$$

$$V(0) = V_0,$$

I(0) = 0,

and additionally, for the eclipse model,

116
$$E(0) = 0$$

- where T_0 is the number of susceptible cells fixed to 1×10^5 (based on prior modeling efforts and
- accounting for ~1% of alveolar cells expressing ACE2, the main point of entry for SARS-CoV-2)
- 119 (Baccam et al., 2006; Li et al., 2020b), V_0 the initial viral load on inoculation (fixed at 1×10^0
- 120 copies/mL), and E₀ the number of cells in eclipse state. The within-host reproduction number R₀ was
- set to 3.79 (Li et al., 2020a). This value is also approximately in the same range as other within-host
- virus kinetic models (Hernandez-Vargas and Velasco-Hernandez, 2020;Kim et al., 2020b). Other
- parameters need to be estimated by numerical optimization, i.e. viral clearance c, the production rate
- 124 p, and the death rate of infected cells δ . The cellular infection rate β of the virus is dynamically
- calculated (Eq. 5).

128

138

$$\beta = \frac{c\delta R_0}{(p - \delta R_0)T_0} \tag{5}$$

127 **Supplementary Table S2** shows all model parameters and sources.

2.3 Immune response

- Our understanding of SARS-CoV-2 immunity is still evolving. Immunity could involve cells entering
- into a refractory state or an antibody mediated increase in viral clearance. Adding an additional state
- would increase model complexity beyond what seems supported by the source data. We therefore
- chose to enter acquired immune response as a time-dependent covariate effect on viral clearance c.
- 133 Temporal dynamics are based on Long et al. (Long et al., 2020) who evaluated seroconversion for
- 134 IgM and IgG in 285 patients from three hospitals in Chongqing (neighboring Hubei Province). Data
- were extracted with a digitizing software and fitted to a sigmoidal E_{max} model. As effect size of the
- immune response in SARS-CoV-2 infection (E_{max, immunity}) is unknown, we estimated this value
- together with the models of viral kinetics.

2.4 Pharmacokinetic models

- We simulated pharmacokinetics (PK) of HCO, IVM, LPV/r and ART from published population
- pharmacokinetics models. Profiles for HCQ were simulated from healthy volunteers reported by Lim
- et al. (Lim et al., 2009) The IVM model was taken from Duthaler et al. and simulated using fed state
- dosing (Duthaler et al., 2019). The LPV/r model by Dickinson et al. (Dickinson et al., 2011) was
- built from data of healthy volunteers receiving 400/100mg, the dose that was under investigation in
- 144 WHO Solidarity. For ART we directly implemented the model developed by Birgersson et al. in
- healthy male volunteers with a dosing regimen of 500 mg daily for 5 days (similar to historical
- dosing recommendations in malaria) (Birgersson et al., 2016). No published pharmacometric model
- is available for NTZ. The drug is rapidly and completely hydrolysed to an active metabolite,
- 148 tizoxanide (TZ). We therefore extracted the mean TZ pharmacokinetic profile from a study in healthy
- Mexican volunteers with a digitizing software, fitted a one-compartment oral absorption model with
- lag time, and used this for simulation (Balderas-Acata et al., 2011).
- 151 As the protein-bound fraction of a drug is considered not to interact with its target, we considered
- only the unbound fraction of the drugs where available (**Supplementary Table S2**). i.e. 50% for

- 153 HCQ (Furst, 1996), 7% for IVM (Klotz et al., 1990), 1% for NTZ (FDA, 2005), and 1% for LPV
- 154 (Boffito et al., 2004). No human *in vivo* data exist for lung concentrations in any of the drugs in this
- study. We used literature-based approximations to adjust for differences between plasma and lung
- 156 concentration profiles. The issue of lung tissue concentrations is particularly contentious for HCQ,
- with some reports of lung:plasma ratio ranging from 27 to 177 in macaques (Maisonnasse et al.,
- 158 2020). Recent evidence suggests that in COVID-19 HCQ plasma concentrations are more
- representative (Fan et al., 2020). For IVM lung accumulation, we used cattle data published by
- Lifschitz et al., an approach also used in another publication discussing the potential role of IVM in
- 161 COVID-19 (Lifschitz et al., 2000; Schmith et al., 2020). LPV concentrations in lung tissue were
- assumed to be 1.78 times higher than in plasma, and protein binding was set to 99% (Atzori et al.,
- 2003; FDA, 2013). For NTZ we used estimates from a recently pre-published physiology-based
- pharmacokinetic (PBPK) model for lung partitioning (Rajoli et al., 2020).

2.5 Pharmacodynamic effects

- The effectiveness of HCQ was shown in vitro in Vero E6 cells by Liu et al., (Liu et al., 2020). The
- 167 EC₅₀ values at 48h ranged between 4.06 and 12.96 μM, depending on the amount inoculated. We
- enter the mean of these values (8.51 μ M) as an effect on the reduction of the cellular infection rate β .
- We simulated dosages of 200 mg q8h for 10 days as proposed by Gautret et al. and the scheme
- previously employed in the WHO Solidarity trial, 800 mg q12h on the first day (loading dose) and
- 171 400 mg q12h on day 2-10 (Gautret et al., 2020; WHO, 2020).
- 172 For IVM, we assumed two pharmacodynamic effects: the inhibition of RNA helicase and inhibition
- of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChR). The inhibitory effect of IVM on helicase has been
- previously reported for flaviviridae, i.e. yellow fever virus (YFV, IC₅₀ 0.12 µM), Dengue virus
- 175 (DENV, IC₅₀ 0.5 μ M), and West Nile Virus (WNV, IC₅₀ 0.35 μ M) (Mastrangelo et al., 2012). There
- are no in vitro data for SARS-CoV-2 yet, although Caly et al. (Caly et al., 2020) have reported a
- strong maximal inhibition of virus replication in the Vero E6 cell line with an IC₅₀ of about 2 μ M.
- Higher concentrations (10-25 μM) need to be achieved for similar inhibition of DENV replication
- 179 (Wagstaff et al., 2012). The difficulties in achieving micromolar concentrations have led some
- authors to speculate IVM is not druggable in the context of COVID-19 (Bray et al., 2020). Strikingly,
- despite the higher IC₅₀ in DENV infected Vero E6 cells, a small trial of IVM 3x400 μg/kg in DENV
- patients demonstrated antiviral effects in vivo (Yamasmith et al., 2018). Due to the higher
- susceptibility of SARS-CoV-2 to IVM than DENV in Vero E6 cells, we used a conservatively
- reduced IC₅₀ of 0.1 μ M in the simulations as an inhibitory influence on viral production p.
- In addition, IVM interacts with nAChR (IC₅₀ 156 nM) (Degani-Katzav et al., 2017). It has been
- hypothesized that inhibition of nAChR downregulates angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2)
- expression and thus reduces the points of entry for SARS-CoV-2 (Oakes et al., 2018). We enter this
- as a net inhibitory effect on the cellular infection rate β . In contrast to direct inhibition of viral entry,
- this is an antiviral activity mediated by the host and therefore not easily captured in *in vitro* assays.
- 190 For IVM, we evaluated 300 μg/kg and 600 μg/kg q24h for three days. These dosages are not
- approved, but safety and tolerability of single fixed doses of 120 mg were shown previously in
- healthy volunteers (Guzzo et al., 2002).
- 193 LPV and RTV are both protease inhibitors. Their use in COVID-19 was investigated as a now
- discontinued arm of the WHO solidarity trial (LPV 400 mg and RTV 100 mg q12h for 14 d) (WHO,
- 195 2020). LPV reduced the viral RNA copies of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro with an EC₅₀ of 26.1 μM,
- whereas RTV has an EC₅₀>100 μ M (Choy et al., 2020). As RTV in this co-formulation (LPV/r) is

- only intended to boost the bioavailability of LPV, we only consider the antiviral effect of LPV on the
- viral production rate *p* (Chandwani and Shuter, 2008).
- 199 ART as the main component of A. annua (sweet wormwood) extract has not been studied in SARS-
- 200 CoV-2. Nair et al. reported an antiviral effect in Vero E6 cells of artesiminin on SARS-CoV-2 with
- an EC₅₀ of 19.8 μ g/ml (=70 μ M) (Nair et al., 2021). Studies suggest that artemisinin interferes with
- viral entry by interaction with the spike protein (Sehailia and Chemat, 2020), but also effects post-
- entry steps of infection (Cao et al., 2020b; Nair et al., 2021) We entered this as an effect on viral
- 204 production rate p and the cellular infection rate β .
- NTZ has shown in vitro activity against SARS-CoV-2 in Vero E6 cells at an EC₅₀ of 2.12 µM (Wang
- et al., 2020a). The mechanism of action is unclear but it has been hypothesized that NTZ inhibits
- viral entry as well as replication. We used both effects in the simulations (Arshad et al.).

208 **2.6 Software**

- We modelled and simulated pharmacokinetic profiles with Pkanalix and mlxR (version 4.1.3), an R
- 210 package for interfacing with Monolix (version 2019R2, http://www.lixoft.com, Antony, France).
- Data for viral loads and NTZ were read out with WebPlotDigitizer (version 4.2,
- 212 https://automeris.io/WebPlotDigitizer). Immunity E_{max} and EC₅₀ were estimated using the R package
- 213 rstanemax (version 0.1.2). Data checkout, analysis and visualization were performed in GNU R
- 214 (version 3.6.3, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, http://www.R-project.org, Vienna, Austria).
- Ordinary differential equation (ODE) systems and parameter estimations were implemented with the
- 216 R packages deSolve (version 1.28) and dfoptim (version 2018.2-1).

217 3 Results

218

3.1 Viral kinetics models

- We used the viral load profiles of untreated patients published by Young et al. (n=13, supplemental
- 220 material) (Young et al., 2020). We evaluated target cell limited and eclipse models, both with a time-
- varying effect on viral clearance c following a sigmoidal E_{max} model fitted to reported seroconversion
- data (Long et al., 2020). The averaged parameters estimates from individual profiles with the Nelder-
- Mead method were (see also Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Figure S1):
- 224 viral clearance *c*: 5.07,
- 225 production rate *p*: 10.2,
- 226 death rate of infected cells δ : 0.54, and
- maximal immune effect on clearance E_{max,immunity}: 57.0
- Non-linear mixed effects implementations of these models proved less robust to changes in initial
- estimates and suffered from numerical identifiability problems.
- 230 Profiles were best described by a standard target cell limited model. The addition of an eclipse phase
- 231 did not improve fits and also introduced identifiability issues, as was already noted in another study
- 232 (Hernandez-Vargas and Velasco-Hernandez, 2020). Left untreated, viral load exceeds the RT-PCR
- positivity threshold of 35 cycles at 5.4 dpi, peaks at 10.4 dpi with a Ct value of 28.4 cycles, and drops
- below the positivity limit at 18.9 dpi, similar to reports from clinical studies (Kim et al., 2020a; Lauer
- et al., 2020;To et al., 2020). Total viral exposure (measured as AUC) was
- 236 12'003 days*log(copies/mL).

3.2 Dosage and effectiveness of treatment

- Temporal impact of treatment is shown as individual curves in **Figure 1**. Effect on viral exposure as
- 239 difference in area under the curve (AUC), relative change in duration, and change in peak cycle (Ct)
- are presented in **Figure 2**. Full results including changes in peak viral load and duration of disease
- are available in **Supplementary Table S3** and **Supplementary Figure S2**. The PK curves of the
- treatments and the corresponding effect on SARS-CoV-2 viral kinetics are shown in **Supplementary**
- 243 Figure S2 S5.

237

- 244 HCQ reduced peak viral load by 0.2-0.3 log units and exposure by 4.6-8.2% when given on
- positivity. Treatment started around peak viral load (10.2 dpi) had no appreciable effect on total viral
- load or duration of disease. Between both dose regimens, the WHO Solidarity trial arm resulted in
- the more pronounced reduction in total viral load. Effects of IVM were more pronounced: given on
- positivity, peak viral load dropped by 0.3-0.6 log units and exposure by 8.8-22.3 %. Exposure
- reductions are associated with slightly prolonged durations of shedding from 13.5 days (untreated) to
- 250 14.2-15.6 days for IVM and 14.1-14.5 days for HCQ, and a shift of T_{max} from day 10.2 (untreated) to
- 251 day 10.9-12.3 and day 10.5-10.9, respectively. Interestingly and in contrast to HCQ some effects
- remain when treatment is initiated around peak viral load (3.4-13.2 % difference in exposure). LPV/r,
- 253 ART and NTZ had no influence on viral dynamics, independent of time of initiation.

254 4 Discussion

- Our modeling and simulation study described patient viral load well and captured the essential
- 256 milestones of SARS-CoV-2 viral kinetics, e.g. duration of viral shedding, and peak viral loads. It also
- shows that the window of opportunity to treat COVID-19 is narrow. As the infection spreads rapidly
- 258 throughout the host, the pool of susceptible cells is quickly depleted. Drugs inhibiting viral entry
- 259 (like HCQ) therefore only appear to have a role, if any, in the first days after inoculation (post-
- 260 exposure prophylaxis) or as primary prophylactic agents handed out to at-risk individuals.
- These findings may help to explain the disappointing results of clinical trials with HCO: by the time
- patients are hospitalized or even transferred to critical care, few susceptible cells are left, so little
- impact can be made at this point (Annie et al., 2020; Cavalcanti et al., 2020; Molina et al., 2020; Tang
- et al., 2020a). The WHO Solidarity trial's dosing scheme was clearly more effective than the one
- proposed by Gautret et al. (Gautret et al., 2020). However, even with the higher dosing scheme used
- in the WHO Solidarity trial, no appreciable effect of HCQ was observed and the treatment arm was
- prematurely terminated on June 18, 2020 (Pan et al., 2020). Of note, recent trials have also failed to
- find benefits for HCQ in pre- and post-exposure prophylactic indications (Boulware et al.,
- 269 2020; Rajasingham et al., 2020). Since viral load is not the only determinant of disease state, one
- 270 cannot directly deduce clinical effect of any of the regimens from these simulations. Given the
- 271 negative results of previous trials with HCQ, we suggest that HCQ results should be used as a lower
- 2/1 liegative results of previous trials with freq, we suggest that freq results should be used as a lowe
- threshold to rank other drugs against.
- We found greatest effects for IVM. Again, the earlier and longer the exposure, the better, but
- 274 compounds like IVM still convey some benefit if initiated at a later stage. When held to the HCO
- benchmark, IVM 600 μg/kg daily for 3 days, particularly when given around time of positivity, may
- 276 have meaningful impact whereas IVM 300 µg/kg daily for 3 days had efficacy comparable to HCO
- 277 regimens. This finding is in contrast to other analyses suggesting IVM is poorly druggable in
- 278 COVID-19, e.g. (Schmith et al., 2020). It is important to stress that these IVM doses, while
- apparently safe in healthy volunteers, are far higher than any dose approved for other indications
- 280 (1x200 μg/kg to 1x400 μg/kg). At 3x600 μg/kg in a 70 kg patient, doses are similar to the maximum

- doses (120 mg single administration) described by Guzzo et al. (Guzzo et al., 2002). Boosting
- 282 exposure to IVM by co-administering inhibitors of its metabolism or elimination (such as the
- 283 CYP3A4 and P-glycoprotein (P-gp) inhibitor ritonavir) is a theoretical option (Chaccour et al., 2017).
- However, there are concerns that inhibition of P-gp as an integral part of the functional blood brain
- barrier could lead to more central nervous adverse events (Chandler, 2018). Until this interaction has
- been studied systematically, it seems unwise to explore this strategy. For IVM, no results of clinical
- trials regarding its effectiveness in Covid-19 have been published yet.
- ART, NTZ and LPV/r had no noteworthy effect and do not appear suitable candidates for follow-up
- at this point. We attribute this in part to their strong protein binding (88-99%), leaving little free drug
- 290 to engage with targets. Additionally, the EC₅₀ for ART is rather high at 70 μM and not likely to be
- even partially achieved. For LPV/r our findings are confirmed by clinical trial results (Cao et al.,
- 292 2020a, 24), notably the RECOVERY trial (University of Oxford, 2020) and the WHO Solidarity trial,
- 293 who discontinued the LPV/r treatment arm on July 4, 2020 (Pan et al., 2020). As of now, no trials on
- NTZ and ART have reported results.
- Our study has several limitations. Our model parameter estimates are based on assumptions of
- incubation time and number of target cells in the lungs, both of which introduce bias. Covid-19 was
- 297 initially described from a cluster of pneumonia cases, and while symptoms of the upper and lower
- airways are most recognizable, vascular, thromboembolic, gastrointestinal, and neurological
- symptoms have been widely described (Klok et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2020; Struyf et al., 2020; Zhang
- et al., 2020). Expression levels of ACE2 are also much higher in other tissues, e.g. the small intestine,
- the kidneys, and the heart (Li et al., 2020b). Hence it seems unlikely that systemic viral loads are
- 302 solely a product of alveolar epithelial cells. Extent of viral burden is dependent on disease severity
- and also site of sampling (e.g. oropharyngeal, nasopharyngeal, plasma (Fainzylber et al., 2020)).
- Ethnicity is thought to affect clinical outcomes (Sze et al., 2020), yet there is inconclusive evidence
- as to the impact of ethnicity on viral load (Magleby et al., 2020). In conclusion, we suggest that the
- size of the pool of target cells be re-estimated in different populations.
- Point estimates of viral kinetics parameters yielded realistic estimates of viral load profiles with
- reasonable uncertainty around point estimates (%CV: 30-43). We did not normalize the
- 309 asynchronous dynamics of the source data (e.g. viral peak at different dpi), which might have
- improved fits. However, currently no accepted procedure exists (Hernandez-Vargas, 2019). Other
- authors have used more sophisticated methods such as non-linear mixed effects (nlme) modeling on
- 312 the same source data, implementing other structural models such as eclipse models (Czuppon et al.,
- 313 2020; Goncalves et al., 2020). Our nlme implementations of the models suffered from the same
- 314 numerical identifiability issues seen by other authors (Hernandez-Vargas and Velasco-Hernandez,
- 315 2020). Given that the model presented here is structurally different from other target cell limited or
- eclipse models, some point estimates can differ from other implementations (such as the reproduction
- number R_0 , or number of susceptible cells T_0 , eg. (Gonçalves et al., 2020)).
- Virus extinction is not captured by any models like the one proposed here. We therefore decided not
- 319 to model prophylactic dosing (prior to or on exposure), and resorted to return to negativity on RT-
- 320 PCR as a surrogate measure for disease duration. This is supported by data suggesting that late stage
- 321 shedding is of non-infectious virus particles only (Walsh et al., 2020).
- We made several assumptions on modes of drug action and their efficacy. We used the published
- mode of action of HCQ on SARS-CoV-2, which were performed in the African green monkey
- kidney-derived cell line Vero (Wang et al., 2020a), even though there is evidence that this cell line

- might not be suitable to represent lung tissue (Hoffmann et al., 2020). The model places HCQ effects
- only on viral entry, although it might also have other modes of actions which might affect the
- production of virions within infected cells (Quiros Roldan et al., 2020; Tripathy et al., 2020).
- 328 Additionally, HCQ has immunomodulatory effects and might hinder the activation of B and T cells
- and thus inhibit the host-innate immune response (Goldman et al., 2000;Quiros Roldan et al., 2020).
- No data for SARS-CoV-2 were available for modeling. If relevant at all, our model would be
- overestimating the effectiveness of HCQ.
- 332 The *in vitro* evidence of efficacy of IVM against SARS-CoV-2 is not detailed enough to model
- effects with greater precision. Based on data from other flaviviridae, particularly Dengue virus, the
- proposed inhibition of replication seems reasonable (Mastrangelo et al., 2012). Inclusion of effects on
- viral entry follows pathophysiological reasoning and has yet to be confirmed in studies. IVM engages
- with nAChR, which leads to a reduction of ACE2 and in turn decreases the points of entry for SARS-
- 337 CoV-2, influencing the cellular infection rate. Even though the IC₅₀ for IVM on nAChR has been
- experimentally determined, we do not know how exactly this relates to the reduction of ACE2
- expression. Therefore, we decided to model it solely based on plasma levels and the available IC₅₀.
- In HCQ and IVM, even though total viral load is reduced, the duration of virus shedding might be
- increased, a consequence of a 'flattening of the curve' similar to what is observed on a population
- scale. However, this gives the immune response more time to develop an immune response. For this
- reason, overall total viral load decreases in the simulated models. Changes in peak viral load were
- moderate at best (< 1 log unit). While convenient endpoints to measure in a clinical setting, AUCs of
- viral load appear more appropriate for drug discovery.
- 346 ART and NZT have only recently received attention in COVID-19 treatment. Despite having little
- 347 effect in our study they would make excellent candidates from economic and logistic points of view.
- We selected ART as it is the primary active ingredient in sweet wormwood. Herbal concoctions of
- wormwood are being promoted as a cheap, easily accessible form of self-medication in COVID-19
- 350 (Deutsche Welle, 2020). A. annua and derivatives (artesunate, dihydroartesunate) are widely used as
- antimalarials. Effective concentrations have yet to be determined for ART and its derivatives,
- although the large degrees of protein binding imply that effective target concentrations need to be in
- 353 the low micromolar range. Studies suggest that artemisinin has also anti-inflammatory and
- immunomodulatory effects, which might be beneficial when treating COVID-19 (Tang et al., 2020b).
- However, as these effects are not related to the viral kinetic, we were not able to include these in our
- simulations. Even then its use would have one crucial limitation: the WHO is discouraging use of
- oral artemisinin monotherapy (AMT) in malaria as it is considered to be a major factor for the
- development of parasite resistance (WHO, 2014). A renaissance of oral AMT in malaria endemic
- regions during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic could cause more harm than good.
- For NTZ, pharmacokinetic simulations were based on a mean curve of a single dose of NTZ 500 mg.
- 361 The EC₅₀ value was determined for NTZ, not the immediately formed active metabolite tiaxozanide,
- which would be the active compound expected to reach tissue (Wang et al., 2020a). When more
- detailed results for tiaxozanide are available, simulated efficacy could change.
- In conclusion, while *in vitro* studies are very well suited to identify possible modes of actions of
- potential treatments for COVID-19, they are unable to predict the clinical efficacy of a drug. Our
- simulation of treatments fitted well with available results from clinical trials, even though several
- estimations had to be made and limitations accepted. Although early initiation was a strong
- determinant for treatment effect, none of the interventions studied showed major impact on viral

- dynamics. Efforts should focus on identification of more efficacious drug candidates and vaccine
- development. Until then, general social and hygiene measures remain the best interventions to
- 371 combat COVID-19.

372 **5** Conflict of Interest

- 373 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
- relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

375 6 Author Contributions

- 376 FH conceived the project; CK, VS, FH performed the analyses; FH, CK, VS wrote the first draft of
- 377 the manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.
- **378 7 Funding**
- None to declare.
- 380 8 Acknowledgments
- 381 None.
- 382 9 Supplementary Material
- 383 The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at:
- [needs to be completed]

385 10 Data Availability Statement

- 386 The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary materials,
- further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s. The source code is available on
- 388 GitHub: https://github.com/cptbern/sars2-viral-kinetics.

389 11 References

- 390 Annie, F.H., Sirbu, C., Frazier, K.R., Broce, M., and Lucas, B.D. (2020). Hydroxychloroquine in
- hospitalized COVID-19 patients: Real world experience assessing mortality.
- 392 Pharmacotherapy.
- 393 Arshad, U., Pertinez, H., Box, H., Tatham, L., Rajoli, R.K.R., Curley, P., Neary, M., Sharp, J., Liptrott,
- N.J., Valentijn, A., David, C., Rannard, S.P., O'neill, P.M., Aljayyoussi, G., Pennington, S.H.,
- 395 Ward, S.A., Hill, A., Back, D.J., Khoo, S.H., Bray, P.G., Biagini, G.A., and Owen, A. (2020).
- 396 Prioritization of Anti-SARS-Cov-2 Drug Repurposing Opportunities Based on Plasma and
- Target Site Concentrations Derived from their Established Human Pharmacokinetics. *Clinical*
- 398 Pharmacology & Therapeutics n/a.
- Atzori, C., Villani, P., Regazzi, M., Maruzzi, M., and Cargnel, A. (2003). Detection of intrapulmonary concentration of lopinavir in an HIV-infected patient. *AIDS (London, England)* 17, 1710-1711.
- Baccam, P., Beauchemin, C., Macken, C.A., Hayden, F.G., and Perelson, A.S. (2006). Kinetics of influenza A virus infection in humans. *J Virol* 80, 7590-7599.
- Balderas-Acata, J.I., Ríos-Rogríguezbueno, E.P., Pérez-Becerril, F., Espinosa-Martínez, C., Burke-Fraga, V., and Parra, M.G.-D.L. (2011). Bioavailability of Two Oral-Suspension Formulations

- of a Single Dose of Nitazoxanide 500 mg: An Open-Label, Randomized-Sequence, Two-Period Crossover, Comparison in Healthy Fasted Mexican Adult Volunteers. *J Bioequiv Availab* 3, 43-47.
- Beauchemin, C.A., and Handel, A. (2011). A review of mathematical models of influenza A infections within a host or cell culture: lessons learned and challenges ahead. *BMC Public Health* 11 Suppl 1, S7.
- 411 Beigel, J.H., Tomashek, K.M., Dodd, L.E., Mehta, A.K., Zingman, B.S., Kalil, A.C., Hohmann, E., 412 Chu, H.Y., Luetkemeyer, A., Kline, S., Lopez De Castilla, D., Finberg, R.W., Dierberg, K., 413 Tapson, V., Hsieh, L., Patterson, T.F., Paredes, R., Sweeney, D.A., Short, W.R., Touloumi, G., 414 Lye, D.C., Ohmagari, N., Oh, M.D., Ruiz-Palacios, G.M., Benfield, T., Fatkenheuer, G., 415 Kortepeter, M.G., Atmar, R.L., Creech, C.B., Lundgren, J., Babiker, A.G., Pett, S., Neaton, 416 J.D., Burgess, T.H., Bonnett, T., Green, M., Makowski, M., Osinusi, A., Nayak, S., Lane, H.C., 417 and Members, A.-S.G. (2020). Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 - Preliminary Report. 418 N Engl J Med.
- Birgersson, S., Van Toi, P., Truong, N.T., Dung, N.T., Ashton, M., Hien, T.T., Abelo, A., and Tarning, J. (2016). Population pharmacokinetic properties of artemisinin in healthy male Vietnamese volunteers. *Malar J* 15, 90.

426

427

428

429

430

434

- Boffito, M., Hoggard, P.G., Lindup, W.E., Bonora, S., Sinicco, A., Khoo, S.H., Di Perri, G., and Back, D.J. (2004). Lopinavir protein binding in vivo through the 12-hour dosing interval. *Ther Drug Monit* 26, 35-39.
 - Boulware, D.R., Pullen, M.F., Bangdiwala, A.S., Pastick, K.A., Lofgren, S.M., Okafor, E.C., Skipper, C.P., Nascene, A.A., Nicol, M.R., Abassi, M., Engen, N.W., Cheng, M.P., Labar, D., Lother, S.A., Mackenzie, L.J., Drobot, G., Marten, N., Zarychanski, R., Kelly, L.E., Schwartz, I.S., Mcdonald, E.G., Rajasingham, R., Lee, T.C., and Hullsiek, K.H. (2020). A Randomized Trial of Hydroxychloroquine as Postexposure Prophylaxis for Covid-19. *New England Journal of Medicine*.
- Bray, M., Rayner, C., Noël, F., Jans, D., and Wagstaff, K. (2020). Ivermectin and COVID-19: A report in Antiviral Research, widespread interest, an FDA warning, two letters to the editor and the authors' responses. *Antiviral research* 178, 104805.
 - Caly, L., Druce, J.D., Catton, M.G., Jans, D.A., and Wagstaff, K.M. (2020). The FDA-approved drug ivermectin inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. *Antiviral Research* 178, 104787.
- Canini, L., and Perelson, A.S. (2014). Viral kinetic modeling: state of the art. *J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn* 41, 431-443.
- 438 Cao, B., Wang, Y., Wen, D., Liu, W., Wang, J., Fan, G., Ruan, L., Song, B., Cai, Y., Wei, M., Li, X., 439 Xia, J., Chen, N., Xiang, J., Yu, T., Bai, T., Xie, X., Zhang, L., Li, C., Yuan, Y., Chen, H., Li, 440 H., Huang, H., Tu, S., Gong, F., Liu, Y., Wei, Y., Dong, C., Zhou, F., Gu, X., Xu, J., Liu, Z., 441 Zhang, Y., Li, H., Shang, L., Wang, K., Li, K., Zhou, X., Dong, X., Qu, Z., Lu, S., Hu, X., 442 Ruan, S., Luo, S., Wu, J., Peng, L., Cheng, F., Pan, L., Zou, J., Jia, C., Wang, J., Liu, X., Wang, 443 S., Wu, X., Ge, Q., He, J., Zhan, H., Qiu, F., Guo, L., Huang, C., Jaki, T., Hayden, F.G., Horby, 444 P.W., Zhang, D., and Wang, C. (2020a). A Trial of Lopinavir–Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized 445 with Severe Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine 382, 1787-1799.
- Cao, R., Hu, H., Li, Y., Wang, X., Xu, M., Liu, J., Zhang, H., Yan, Y., Zhao, L., Li, W., Zhang, T.,
 Xiao, D., Guo, X., Li, Y., Yang, J., Hu, Z., Wang, M., and Zhong, W. (2020b). Anti-SARSCoV-2 Potential of Artemisinins In Vitro. ACS Infectious Diseases 6, 2524-2531.
- Cavalcanti, A.B., Zampieri, F.G., Rosa, R.G., Azevedo, L.C.P., Veiga, V.C., Avezum, A., Damiani,
 L.P., Marcadenti, A., Kawano-Dourado, L., Lisboa, T., Junqueira, D.L.M., De Barros,
 E.S.P.G.M., Tramujas, L., Abreu-Silva, E.O., Laranjeira, L.N., Soares, A.T., Echenique, L.S.,
 Pereira, A.J., Freitas, F.G.R., Gebara, O.C.E., Dantas, V.C.S., Furtado, R.H.M., Milan, E.P.,
 Golin, N.A., Cardoso, F.F., Maia, I.S., Hoffmann Filho, C.R., Kormann, A.P.M., Amazonas,

- 454 R.B., Bocchi De Oliveira, M.F., Serpa-Neto, A., Falavigna, M., Lopes, R.D., Machado, F.R., 455 Berwanger, O., and Coalition Covid-19 Brazil, I.I. (2020). Hydroxychloroguine with or without Azithromycin in Mild-to-Moderate Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 456
- 457 Chaccour, C., Hammann, F., and Rabinovich, N.R. (2017). Ivermeetin to reduce malaria transmission I. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic considerations regarding efficacy and safety. Malar 458 459 J 16, 161.
- 460 Chandler, R.E. (2018). Serious Neurological Adverse Events after Ivermectin-Do They Occur beyond 461 the Indication of Onchocerciasis? Am J Trop Med Hvg 98, 382-388.

463

464

465 466

467 468

469

470

471

472 473

474

475

476

477

478 479

480

481

482

483

484

485 486

487

- Chandwani, A., and Shuter, J. (2008). Lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of HIV-1 infection: a review. Therapeutics and clinical risk management 4, 1023-1033.
- Choy, K.-T., Wong, A.Y.-L., Kaewpreedee, P., Sia, S.F., Chen, D., Hui, K.P.Y., Chu, D.K.W., Chan, M.C.W., Cheung, P.P.-H., Huang, X., Peiris, M., and Yen, H.-L. (2020). Remdesivir, lopinavir, emetine, and homoharringtonine inhibit SARS-CoV-2 replication in vitro. Antiviral research 178, 104786-104786.
- Chu, D.K.W., Pan, Y., Cheng, S.M.S., Hui, K.P.Y., Krishnan, P., Liu, Y., Ng, D.Y.M., Wan, C.K.C., Yang, P., Wang, O., Peiris, M., and Poon, L.L.M. (2020). Molecular Diagnosis of a Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Causing an Outbreak of Pneumonia. Clinical Chemistry 66, 549-555.
- Czuppon, P., Débarre, F., Gonçalves, A., Tenaillon, O., Perelson, A.S., Guedj, J., and Blanquart, F. (2020). Predicted success of prophylactic antiviral therapy to block or delay SARS-CoV-2 infection depends on the targeted mechanism. medRxiv preprint.
- Degani-Katzav, N., Klein, M., Har-Even, M., Gortler, R., Tobi, R., and Paas, Y. (2017). Trapping of ivermectin by a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel upon open-to-closed isomerization. Scientific Reports 7, 42481.
- Deutsche welle (2020). COVID-19: Tests for 'miracle cure' herb Artemisia begin [Online]. Available: https://www.dw.com/en/covid-19-tests-for-miracle-cure-herb-artemisia-begin/a-53442366 [Accessed].
- Dickinson, L., Boffito, M., Back, D., Else, L., Von Hentig, N., Davies, G., Khoo, S., Pozniak, A., Moyle, G., and Aarons, L. (2011). Sequential Population Pharmacokinetic Modeling of Lopinavir and Ritonavir in Healthy Volunteers and Assessment of Different Dosing Strategies. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy 55, 2775-2782.
- Duthaler, U., Leisegang, R., Karlsson, M.O., Krähenbühl, S., and Hammann, F. (2019). The effect of food on the pharmacokinetics of oral ivermectin. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 75, 438-440.
- 488 Fajnzylber, J., Regan, J., Coxen, K., Corry, H., Wong, C., Rosenthal, A., Worrall, D., Giguel, F., 489 Piechocka-Trocha, A., Atyeo, C., Fischinger, S., Chan, A., Flaherty, K.T., Hall, K., Dougan, 490 M., Ryan, E.T., Gillespie, E., Chishti, R., Li, Y., Jilg, N., Hanidziar, D., Baron, R.M., Baden, L., Tsibris, A.M., Armstrong, K.A., Kuritzkes, D.R., Alter, G., Walker, B.D., Yu, X., and Li, 492 J.Z. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 viral load is associated with increased disease severity and mortality. 493 Nat Commun 11, 5493.
- 494 Fan, E., Beitler, J.R., Brochard, L., Calfee, C.S., Ferguson, N.D., Slutsky, A.S., and Brodie, D. (2020). 495 COVID-19-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome: is a different approach to 496 management warranted? Lancet Respir Med.
- 497 Fda (2005). "PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: Alinia® (nitazoxanide) Tablets (nitazoxanide) for 498 Oral Suspension ".).
- 499 Fda (2013). "PRESCRIBING INFORMATION: KALETRA (lopinavir/ritonavir) tablet, film coated 500 for oral use, KALETRA (lopinavir/ritonavir) solution for oral use ".).
- 501 Furst, D.E. (1996). Pharmacokinetics of hydroxychloroguine and chloroguine during treatment of 502 rheumatic diseases. Lupus 5 Suppl 1, S11-15.

- Gautret, P., Lagier, J.-C., Parola, P., Hoang, V.T., Meddeb, L., Mailhe, M., Doudier, B., Courjon, J., Giordanengo, V., Vieira, V.E., Dupont, H.T., Honoré, S., Colson, P., Chabrière, E., La Scola, B., Rolain, J.-M., Brouqui, P., and Raoult, D. (2020). Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 105949.
- Goldman, F.D., Gilman, A.L., Hollenback, C., Kato, R.M., Premack, B.A., and Rawlings, D.J. (2000).
 Hydroxychloroquine inhibits calcium signals in T cells: A new mechanism to explain its immunomodulatory properties. *Blood* 95, 3460-3466.
- Gonçalves, A., Bertrand, J., Ke, R., Comets, E., De Lamballerie, X., Malvy, D., Pizzorno, A., Terrier,
 O., Rosa Calatrava, M., Mentré, F., Smith, P., Perelson, A.S., and Guedj, J. (2020). Timing of
 Antiviral Treatment Initiation is Critical to Reduce SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load. CPT:
 Pharmacometrics & Systems Pharmacology 9, 509-514.
- 515 Gordon, D.E., Jang, G.M., Bouhaddou, M., Xu, J., Obernier, K., White, K.M., O'meara, M.J., Rezelj, 516 V.V., Guo, J.Z., Swaney, D.L., Tummino, T.A., Huettenhain, R., Kaake, R.M., Richards, A.L., 517 Tutuncuoglu, B., Foussard, H., Batra, J., Haas, K., Modak, M., Kim, M., Haas, P., Polacco, 518 B.J., Braberg, H., Fabius, J.M., Eckhardt, M., Soucheray, M., Bennett, M.J., Cakir, M., 519 Mcgregor, M.J., Li, Q., Meyer, B., Roesch, F., Vallet, T., Mac Kain, A., Miorin, L., Moreno, 520 E., Naing, Z.Z.C., Zhou, Y., Peng, S., Shi, Y., Zhang, Z., Shen, W., Kirby, I.T., Melnyk, J.E., 521 Chorba, J.S., Lou, K., Dai, S.A., Barrio-Hernandez, I., Memon, D., Hernandez-Armenta, C., 522 Lyu, J., Mathy, C.J.P., Perica, T., Pilla, K.B., Ganesan, S.J., Saltzberg, D.J., Rakesh, R., Liu, 523 X., Rosenthal, S.B., Calviello, L., Venkataramanan, S., Liboy-Lugo, J., Lin, Y., Huang, X.P., 524 Liu, Y., Wankowicz, S.A., Bohn, M., Safari, M., Ugur, F.S., Koh, C., Savar, N.S., Tran, Q.D., 525 Shengjuler, D., Fletcher, S.J., O'neal, M.C., Cai, Y., Chang, J.C.J., Broadhurst, D.J., Klippsten, 526 S., Sharp, P.P., Wenzell, N.A., Kuzuoglu, D., Wang, H.Y., Trenker, R., Young, J.M., Cavero, 527 D.A., Hiatt, J., Roth, T.L., Rathore, U., Subramanian, A., Noack, J., Hubert, M., Stroud, R.M., Frankel, A.D., Rosenberg, O.S., Verba, K.A., Agard, D.A., Ott, M., Emerman, M., Jura, N., et 528 529 al. (2020). A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. 530 Nature.
 - Guzzo, C.A., Furtek, C.I., Porras, A.G., Chen, C., Tipping, R., Clineschmidt, C.M., Sciberras, D.G., Hsieh, J.Y.-K., and Lasseter, K.C. (2002). Safety, Tolerability, and Pharmacokinetics of Escalating High Doses of Ivermectin in Healthy Adult Subjects. *The Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 42, 1122-1133.

532

- Hernandez-Vargas, E.A. (2019). "Chapter 3 Model Parameter Estimation," in *Modeling and Control* of *Infectious Diseases in the Host*, eds. E. Sánchez & E.A. Hernandez-Vargas. Academic Press), 35-61.
- Hernandez-Vargas, E.A., and Velasco-Hernandez, J.X. (2020). In-host Mathematical Modelling of COVID-19 in Humans. *Annual Reviews in Control* 50, 448-456.
- Hoffmann, M., Mösbauer, K., Hofmann-Winkler, H., Kaul, A., Kleine-Weber, H., Krüger, N., Gassen,
 N.C., Müller, M.A., Drosten, C., and Pöhlmann, S. (2020). Chloroquine does not inhibit
 infection of human lung cells with SARS-CoV-2. *Nature* 585, 588-590.
- Kim, J.Y., Ko, J.H., Kim, Y., Kim, Y.J., Kim, J.M., Chung, Y.S., Kim, H.M., Han, M.G., Kim, S.Y.,
 and Chin, B.S. (2020a). Viral Load Kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 Infection in First Two Patients in
 Korea. *J Korean Med Sci* 35, e86.
- Kim, K.S., Ejima, K., Yusuke Ito, Iwanami, S., Ohashi, H., Koizumi, Y., Asai, Y., Nakaoka, S.,
 Watashi, K., Thompson, R.N., and Iwami, S. (2020b). Modelling SARS-CoV-2 Dynamics:
 Implications for Therapy. *MedRxiv Preprint*.
- Klok, F.A., Kruip, M., Van Der Meer, N.J.M., Arbous, M.S., Gommers, D., Kant, K.M., Kaptein, F.H.J., Van Paassen, J., Stals, M.a.M., Huisman, M.V., and Endeman, H. (2020). Incidence of

- thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. *Thromb Res* 191, 145-147.
- Klotz, U., Ogbuokiri, J.E., and Okonkwo, P.O. (1990). Ivermectin binds avidly to plasma proteins. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 39, 607-608.
- Lauer, S.A., Grantz, K.H., Bi, Q., Jones, F.K., Zheng, Q., Meredith, H.R., Azman, A.S., Reich, N.G., and Lessler, J. (2020). The Incubation Period of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) From Publicly Reported Confirmed Cases: Estimation and Application. *Ann Intern Med* 172, 577-558
- 559 Li, C., Xu, J., Liu, J., and Zhou, Y. (2020a). The within-host viral kinetics of SARS-CoV-2. *MBE* 17, 2853–2861.
- Li, M.-Y., Li, L., Zhang, Y., and Wang, X.-S. (2020b). Expression of the SARS-CoV-2 cell receptor gene ACE2 in a wide variety of human tissues. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty* 9, 45.
- Li, S.Y., Chen, C., Zhang, H.Q., Guo, H.Y., Wang, H., Wang, L., Zhang, X., Hua, S.N., Yu, J., Xiao, P.G., Li, R.S., and Tan, X. (2005). Identification of natural compounds with antiviral activities against SARS-associated coronavirus. *Antiviral Res* 67, 18-23.
- Lifschitz, A., Virkel, G., Sallovitz, J., Sutra, J.F., Galtier, P., Alvinerie, M., and Lanusse, C. (2000).
 Comparative distribution of ivermectin and doramectin to parasite location tissues in cattle.

 Veterinary Parasitology 87, 327-338.
- Lim, H.S., Im, J.S., Cho, J.Y., Bae, K.S., Klein, T.A., Yeom, J.S., Kim, T.S., Choi, J.S., Jang, I.J., and Park, J.W. (2009). Pharmacokinetics of hydroxychloroquine and its clinical implications in chemoprophylaxis against malaria caused by Plasmodium vivax. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 53, 1468-1475.
- Liu, J., Cao, R., Xu, M., Wang, X., Zhang, H., Hu, H., Li, Y., Hu, Z., Zhong, W., and Wang, M. (2020).
 Hydroxychloroquine, a less toxic derivative of chloroquine, is effective in inhibiting SARS CoV-2 infection in vitro. *Cell Discovery* 6, 16.
- Long, Q.-X., Liu, B.-Z., Deng, H.-J., Wu, G.-C., Deng, K., Chen, Y.-K., Liao, P., Qiu, J.-F., Lin, Y., 576 577 Cai, X.-F., Wang, D.-Q., Hu, Y., Ren, J.-H., Tang, N., Xu, Y.-Y., Yu, L.-H., Mo, Z., Gong, F., Zhang, X.-L., Tian, W.-G., Hu, L., Zhang, X.-X., Xiang, J.-L., Du, H.-X., Liu, H.-W., Lang, 578 579 C.-H., Luo, X.-H., Wu, S.-B., Cui, X.-P., Zhou, Z., Zhu, M.-M., Wang, J., Xue, C.-J., Li, X.-580 F., Wang, L., Li, Z.-J., Wang, K., Niu, C.-C., Yang, Q.-J., Tang, X.-J., Zhang, Y., Liu, X.-M., Li, J.-J., Zhang, D.-C., Zhang, F., Liu, P., Yuan, J., Li, Q., Hu, J.-L., Chen, J., and Huang, A.-581 582 L. (2020). Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. *Nature Medicine* 583 26, 845-848.
- Magleby, R., Westblade, L.F., Trzebucki, A., Simon, M.S., Rajan, M., Park, J., Goyal, P., Safford,
 M.M., and Satlin, M.J. (2020). Impact of SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load on Risk of Intubation and
 Mortality Among Hospitalized Patients with Coronavirus Disease 2019. Clin Infect Dis.
 - Maisonnasse, P., Guedj, J., Contreras, V., Behillil, S., Solas, C., Marlin, R., Naninck, T., Pizzorno, A., Lemaitre, J., Gonçalves, A., Kahlaoui, N., Terrier, O., Fan, R.H.T., Enouf, V., Dereuddre-Bosquet, N., Brisebarre, A., Toure, F., Chapon, C., Hoen, B., Lina, B., Calatrava, M.R., Werf, S.V.D., Lamballerie, X.D., and Grand, R.L. (2020). Hydroxychloroquine in the treatment and prophylaxis of SARS-CoV-2 infection in non-human primates. *Virology* Preprint (Version 1).
- Mao, L., Jin, H., Wang, M., Hu, Y., Chen, S., He, Q., Chang, J., Hong, C., Zhou, Y., Wang, D., Miao,
 X., Li, Y., and Hu, B. (2020). Neurologic Manifestations of Hospitalized Patients With
 Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. JAMA Neurol 77, 683-690.
- Martins-Filho, P., Barreto-Alves, J., and Fakhouri, R. (2020). Potential role for nitazoxanide in treating
 SARS-CoV-2 infection. *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology* 319, L35-L36.
- Mastrangelo, E., Pezzullo, M., De Burghgraeve, T., Kaptein, S., Pastorino, B., Dallmeier, K., De Lamballerie, X., Neyts, J., Hanson, A.M., Frick, D.N., Bolognesi, M., and Milani, M. (2012).

588

589

590

- Ivermectin is a potent inhibitor of flavivirus replication specifically targeting NS3 helicase activity: new prospects for an old drug. *The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy* 67, 1884-602 1894.
- Molento, M.B. (2020). COVID-19 and the rush for self-medication and self-dosing with ivermectin: A word of caution. *One Health* 10, 100148.
- Molina, J.M., Delaugerre, C., Le Goff, J., Mela-Lima, B., Ponscarme, D., Goldwirt, L., and De Castro,
 N. (2020). No evidence of rapid antiviral clearance or clinical benefit with the combination of
 hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin in patients with severe COVID-19 infection. *Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses* 50, 384.
- Nair, M.S., Huang, Y., Fidock, D.A., Polyak, S.J., Wagoner, J., Towler, M.J., and Weathers, P.J. (2021). *Artemisia annua* L. extracts prevent *in vitro* replication of SARS-CoV-2. *bioRxiv*, 2021.2001.2008.425825.
- Nordling, L. (2020). Unproven herbal remedy against COVID-19 could fuel drug-resistant malaria, scientists warn [Online]. Available: https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2020/05/unproven-herbal-remedy-against-covid-19-could-fuel-drug-resistant-malaria-scientists [Accessed].
- Oakes, J.M., Fuchs, R.M., Gardner, J.D., Lazartigues, E., and Yue, X. (2018). Nicotine and the reninangiotensin system. *American journal of physiology. Regulatory, integrative and comparative* physiology 315, R895-R906.
- 618 Owens, B. (2020). Excitement around hydroxychloroquine for treating COVID-19 causes challenges 619 for rheumatology. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2, e257.

621

622

623

624 625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633634

635 636

637

638

- Pan, H., Peto, R., Karim, Q.A., Alejandria, M., Henao-Restrepo, A.M., García, C.H., Kieny, M.-P., Malekzadeh, R., Murthy, S., Preziosi, M.-P., Reddy, S., Periago, M.R., Sathiyamoorthy, V., Røttingen, J.-A., and Swaminathan, S. (2020). Repurposed antiviral drugs for COVID-19 interim WHO SOLIDARITY trial results. *medRxiv*, 2020.2010.2015.20209817.
- Quiros Roldan, E., Biasiotto, G., Magro, P., and Zanella, I. (2020). The possible mechanisms of action of 4-aminoquinolines (chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine) against Sars-Cov-2 infection (COVID-19): A role for iron homeostasis? *Pharmacological research* 158, 104904.
- Rajasingham, R., Bangdiwala, A.S., Nicol, M.R., Skipper, C.P., Pastick, K.A., Axelrod, M.L., Pullen, M.F., Nascene, A.A., Williams, D.A., Engen, N.W., Okafor, E.C., Rini, B.I., Mayer, I.A., Mcdonald, E.G., Lee, T.C., Li, P., Mackenzie, L.J., Balko, J.M., Dunlop, S.J., Hullsiek, K.H., Boulware, D.R., Lofgren, S.M., and On Behalf of The, C.P.T. (2020). Hydroxychloroquine as pre-exposure prophylaxis for COVID-19 in healthcare workers: a randomized trial. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*.
- Rajoli, R.K., Pertinez, H., Arshad, U., Box, H., Tatham, L., Curley, P., Neary, M., Sharp, J., Liptrott, N.J., Valentijn, A., David, C., Rannard, S.P., Aljayyoussi, G., Pennington, S.H., Hill, A., Boffito, M., Ward, S.A., Khoo, S.H., Bray, P.G., O'neill, P.M., Hong, W.D., Biagini, G., and Owen, A. (2020). Dose prediction for repurposing nitazoxanide in SARS-CoV-2 treatment or chemoprophylaxis. *medRxiv*: the preprint server for health sciences, 2020.2005.2001.20087130.
- Sanders, J.M., Monogue, M.L., Jodlowski, T.Z., and Cutrell, J.B. (2020). Pharmacologic Treatments for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Review. *JAMA*.
- Schmith, V.D., Zhou, J., and Lohmer, L.R.L. (2020). The Approved Dose of Ivermectin Alone is not the Ideal Dose for the Treatment of COVID-19. *Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics* n/a.
- Sehailia, M., and Chemat, S. (2020). Antimalarial-agent artemisinin and derivatives portray more potent binding to Lys353 and Lys31-binding hotspots of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein than hydroxychloroquine: potential repurposing of artenimol for COVID-19. *Journal of Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics*, 1-11.
- Struyf, T., Deeks, J.J., Dinnes, J., Takwoingi, Y., Davenport, C., Leeflang, M.M., Spijker, R., Hooft,
 L., Emperador, D., Dittrich, S., Domen, J., Horn, S.R.A., and Van Den Bruel, A. (2020). Signs

- and symptoms to determine if a patient presenting in primary care or hospital outpatient settings has COVID-19 disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 7, Cd013665.
- Sze, S., Pan, D., Nevill, C.R., Gray, L.J., Martin, C.A., Nazareth, J., Minhas, J.S., Divall, P., Khunti, K., Abrams, K.R., Nellums, L.B., and Pareek, M. (2020). Ethnicity and clinical outcomes in COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *EClinicalMedicine* 29, 100630.
- Tang, W., Cao, Z., Han, M., Wang, Z., Chen, J., Sun, W., Wu, Y., Xiao, W., Liu, S., Chen, E., Chen,
 W., Wang, X., Yang, J., Lin, J., Zhao, Q., Yan, Y., Xie, Z., Li, D., Yang, Y., Liu, L., Qu, J.,
 Ning, G., Shi, G., and Xie, Q. (2020a). Hydroxychloroquine in patients with mainly mild to
 moderate coronavirus disease 2019: open label, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 369, m1849.

- Tang, Y., Liu, J., Zhang, D., Xu, Z., Ji, J., and Wen, C. (2020b). Cytokine Storm in COVID-19: The Current Evidence and Treatment Strategies. *Frontiers in Immunology* 11.
- To, K.K.-W., Tsang, O.T.-Y., Leung, W.-S., Tam, A.R., Wu, T.-C., Lung, D.C., Yip, C.C.-Y., Cai, J.-P., Chan, J.M.-C., Chik, T.S.-H., Lau, D.P.-L., Choi, C.Y.-C., Chen, L.-L., Chan, W.-M., Chan, K.-H., Ip, J.D., Ng, A.C.-K., Poon, R.W.-S., Luo, C.-T., Cheng, V.C.-C., Chan, J.F.-W., Hung, I.F.-N., Chen, Z., Chen, H., and Yuen, K.-Y. (2020). Temporal profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and serum antibody responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 20, 565-574.
- Tripathy, S., Dassarma, B., Roy, S., Chabalala, H., and Matsabisa, M.G. (2020). A review on possible modes of action of chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine: repurposing against SAR-CoV-2 (COVID-19) pandemic. *International journal of antimicrobial agents*, 106028-106028.
- University of oxford (2020). Statement from the Chief Investigators of the Randomised Evaluation of COVid-19 thERapY (RECOVERY) Trial on lopinavir-ritonavir, 29 June 2020 [Online]. Available: https://www.recoverytrial.net/files/lopinavir-ritonavir-recovery-statement-29062020 final.pdf [Accessed 22.07.2020].
- Wagstaff, Kylie m., Sivakumaran, H., Heaton, Steven m., Harrich, D., and Jans, David a. (2012). Ivermectin is a specific inhibitor of importin α/β -mediated nuclear import able to inhibit replication of HIV-1 and dengue virus. *Biochemical Journal* 443, 851-856.
- Walsh, K.A., Jordan, K., Clyne, B., Rohde, D., Drummond, L., Byrne, P., Ahern, S., Carty, P.G., O'brien, K.K., O'murchu, E., O'neill, M., Smith, S.M., Ryan, M., and Harrington, P. (2020). SARS-CoV-2 detection, viral load and infectivity over the course of an infection. *The Journal of infection*, S0163-4453(0120)30449-30447.
- Wang, M., Cao, R., Zhang, L., Yang, X., Liu, J., Xu, M., Shi, Z., Hu, Z., Zhong, W., and Xiao, G. (2020a). Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. *Cell Research* 30, 269-271.
- Wang, W., Xu, Y., Gao, R., Lu, R., Han, K., Wu, G., and Tan, W. (2020b). Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Different Types of Clinical Specimens. *JAMA* 323, 1843-1844.
- Who (2014). Emergence and spread of artemisinin resistance calls for intensified efforts to withdraw oral artemisinin monotherapy from the market. [Online]. Geneva. Available: http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/atoz/oral-artemisinin-based-monotherapies-
 [May 2014.pdf. [Accessed].
- Who (2020). "Solidarity" clinical trial for COVID-19 treatments [Online]. Available: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/global-research-on-novel-coronavirus-2019-ncov/solidarity-clinical-trial-for-covid-19-treatments [Accessed 09.07.2020].
- Wu, R., Wang, L., Kuo, H.-C.D., Shannar, A., Peter, R., Chou, P.J., Li, S., Hudlikar, R., Liu, X., Liu,
 Z., Poiani, G.J., Amorosa, L., Brunetti, L., and Kong, A.-N. (2020). An Update on Current
 Therapeutic Drugs Treating COVID-19. Current Pharmacology Reports 6, 56-70.
- Yamasmith, E., Saleh-Arong, F.a.-H., Avirutnan, P., Angkasekwinai, N., Mairiang, D., Wongsawat,
 E., Tanrumluk, S., Fongsri, U., and Suputtamongkol, Y. (2018). "Efficacy and Safety of

- Ivermectin against Dengue Infection: A Phase III, Randomized, Double-blind, Placebocontrolled Trial ", in: *The 34th Annual Meeting The Royal College of Physicians of Thailand* 700 *'Internal Medicine and One Health'*. (PEACH Royal Cliff Beach Resort, Pattaya, Chonburi, 701 Thailand).
- Young, B.E., Ong, S.W.X., Kalimuddin, S., Low, J.G., Tan, S.Y., Loh, J., Ng, O.T., Marimuthu, K.,
 Ang, L.W., Mak, T.M., Lau, S.K., Anderson, D.E., Chan, K.S., Tan, T.Y., Ng, T.Y., Cui, L.,
 Said, Z., Kurupatham, L., Chen, M.I., Chan, M., Vasoo, S., Wang, L.F., Tan, B.H., Lin, R.T.P.,
 Lee, V.J.M., Leo, Y.S., Lye, D.C., and Singapore Novel Coronavirus Outbreak Research, T.
 (2020). Epidemiologic Features and Clinical Course of Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 in
 Singapore. JAMA.
- Zhang, Y., Xiao, M., Zhang, S., Xia, P., Cao, W., Jiang, W., Chen, H., Ding, X., Zhao, H., Zhang, H.,
 Wang, C., Zhao, J., Sun, X., Tian, R., Wu, W., Wu, D., Ma, J., Chen, Y., Zhang, D., Xie, J.,
 Yan, X., Zhou, X., Liu, Z., Wang, J., Du, B., Qin, Y., Gao, P., Qin, X., Xu, Y., Zhang, W., Li,
 T., Zhang, F., Zhao, Y., Li, Y., and Zhang, S. (2020). Coagulopathy and Antiphospholipid
 Antibodies in Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 382, e38.

714 Figure captions

713

- 715 **Figure 1** Viral load profiles of SARS-CoV-2 following different treatment regimens and initiation
- of treatment (green: untreated, blue: on positivity (5.4 days post infection), red: on peak (10.2 days
- 717 post infection)). Lines may overlap so that only one color is visible; simulations were always run for
- all time points. Ct: serial cycle threshold values; ART: artemisinin; HCQ: hydroxychloroquine IVM:
- 719 ivermectin; LPV/r: lopinavir/ritonavir; NTZ: nitazoxanide. Dosing of different modeled treatment
- 720 regimens: HCQ 200: 200 mg every 8h for 10 days; HCQ 800: 800 mg every 12h for 1 day, then 400
- 721 mg every 12h for 9 days; IVM 300: 300 μg/kg every 24h for 3 days; IVM 600: IVM 600 μg/kg every
- day for 3 days; NTZ 1200: NTZ 1200 mg every 6h for 5 days; NTZ 2900: NTZ 2900 mg every 12h
- 723 for 5 days; ART 500: ART 500 mg once a day for 5 days; LPV/r 400/100: LPV/r 400/100 mg every
- 724 12h for 14 days.
- 725 Figure 2 Treatment effects on viral exposure as difference in area under the curve (AUC), relative
- change in duration, and change in peak cycles (Ct) following different initiation time of treatment (on
- positivity: 5.4 days post infection, on peak: 10.2 days post infection). HCQ: hydroxychloroquine;
- 728 IVM: ivermectin; NTZ: nitazoxanide; ART: artemisinin; LPV/r: lopinavir/ritonavir. Dosing of
- different modeled treatment regimens: HCQ 200: 200 mg every 8h for 10 days; HCQ 800: 800 mg
- 730 every 12h for 1 day, then 400 mg every 12h for 9 days; IVM 300: 300 μg/kg every 24h for 3 days;
- 731 IVM 600: IVM 600 µg/kg every day for 3 days; NTZ 1200: NTZ 1200 mg every 6h for 5 days; NTZ
- 732 2900: NTZ 2900 mg every 12h for 5 days; ART 500: ART 500 mg once a day for 5 days; LPV/r
- 733 400/100: LPV/r 400/100 mg every 12h for 14 days.